



Ugu District Municipality

Final
2019/2020 IDP

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Chapter 1: Executive Summary | 10 |
| 1.1 Who Are We? | 10 |
| 1.1.1 Demographics Overview | 13 |
| 1.2 How was this IDP Developed? | 13 |
| 1.3 Our Key Development Challenges | 15 |
| 1.3.1 Basic Services | 15 |
| 1.3.2 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development | 15 |
| 1.3.3 Local Economic Development..... | 16 |
| 1.3.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management..... | 16 |
| 1.5 What will we do to unlock and Address our Key Challenges? | 16 |
| 1.5.1 Basic Services..... | 16 |
| 1.5.2 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development | 16 |
| 1.5.3 Local Economic Development..... | 16 |
| 1.5.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management..... | 17 |
| 1.5.5 Good Governance and Public Participation | 17 |
| 1.5.6 Cross Cutting Issues..... | 17 |
| 1.6 What could you expect from us in the next five years? | 17 |
| 1.7 How will our progress be measured? | 18 |
| 1.7.1 Two Level Scorecard..... | 18 |
| 1.7.2 Monitoring and Evaluation | 19 |
| Chapter 2 Government Priorities..... | 20 |
| 2.1 Sustainable Development Goals | 20 |
| 2.1.1 Applicability to Ugu | 21 |
| 2.2 National Development Plan: 2030..... | 21 |
| 2.2.1 Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF) | 21 |
| 2.2.2 State of the Nation Address..... | 22 |
| 2.2.3 Applicability to Ugu District..... | 23 |
| 2.3 KZN Provincial Growth Development Strategy | 23 |
| 2.3.1 State of the Province Address..... | 24 |
| 2.3.2 Applicability to Ugu District | 25 |
| 2.4 Local Government Back to Basics Programme | 25 |
| 2.4.1 Applicability to Ugu..... | 26 |
| 2.5 Ugu District Growth and Development Plan..... | 28 |
| 2.5.1 Applicability to Ugu | 28 |
| 2.6 Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act | 28 |

| | |
|---|----|
| CHAPTER 3: SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS | 31 |
| 3.1 Cross Cutting Interventions | 31 |
| 3.1.1 Regional Context | 31 |
| 3.1.2 Administrative Entities | 31 |
| 3.1.3 Existing Nodes and Corridors | 33 |
| 3.1.4 Broad Land Use Patterns | 37 |
| 3.1.5 Land Ownership | 42 |
| 3.1.6 Land Reform..... | 44 |
| 3.1.7 Land Potential | 44 |
| 3.2 Environmental Management Analysis | 46 |
| 3.2.1 Key Hydrological features | 47 |
| 3.2.2 Protected areas | 48 |
| 3.2.3 Management Effectiveness and Pressures Facing Protected Areas | 51 |
| 3.2.4 Biodiversity..... | 54 |
| 3.2.5 Households located in hazardous areas | 54 |
| 3.2.6 Vegetation..... | 55 |
| 3.2.7 Climate Change | 58 |
| 3.3 Environmental Health Services (EHS) | 61 |
| 3.3.1 Food Control | 61 |
| 3.3.2 Water Quality Monitoring..... | 61 |
| 3.3.3 Communicable Diseases Control | 62 |
| 3.3.4 Vector Control..... | 62 |
| 3.3.5 Hazardous Chemical Substances..... | 62 |
| 3.3.6 Disposal of the Dead | 63 |
| 3.3.7 Surveillance of Premises | 63 |
| 3.3.8 Environmental Health Education | 63 |
| 3.3.9 Environmental Pollution | 64 |
| 3.3.10 Waste management control | 64 |
| 3.4 Spatial and Environment Trend Analysis | 65 |
| 3.5 Spatial and Environment SWOT Analysis | 66 |
| 3.6 Disaster Management | 67 |
| 3.6.1 Municipal Institutional Capacity | 68 |
| Support Local Municipalities for the 2018/19 financial year | 69 |
| Enhancement of Fire Services Capacity in the District & Local Level | 69 |
| Fire And Disaster Management Policies..... | 70 |
| <i>Table 3.6.9.2 Challenges and Interventions for DM and Fire Services as per SWOT Analysis</i> | 71 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3.7 Demographic Characteristics | 73 |
| 3.7.1 Population | 73 |
| 3.7.2 Population Distribution | 74 |
| 3.7.3 Population Density | 74 |
| 3.7.4 Households | 77 |
| 3.7.5 Demographic Analysis Emerging Key Issues | 78 |
| 3.8 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development Analysis | 78 |
| 3.8.1 Powers and Functions | 78 |
| 3.8.2 Institutional Arrangement | 79 |
| 3.8.3 Municipal Entities | 80 |
| 3.8.4 Organisational Analysis | 81 |
| 3.8.5 Human Resources | 82 |
| 3.8.6 Skills Analysis | 83 |
| 3.8.7 Health and Safety in the Workplace | 86 |
| 3.8.8 Analysis of Employee Health and Wellness | 89 |
| 3.8.10 Employer / Employee relations | 92 |
| 3.8.11 Employment Equity Plan | 92 |
| 3.8.12 Secretariat, Registry, and Auxiliary Services | 93 |
| 3.8.13 Fleet Management | 94 |
| 3.9 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development SWOT Analysis | 95 |
| 3.10 Basic Service Delivery | 95 |
| 3.10.1 Water Service Provision | 96 |
| 3.10.2 Sanitation Service Provision | 106 |
| 3.10.3 Solid Waste and Cemeteries | 112 |
| 3.10.4 Transportation Infrastructure | 118 |
| 3.10.5 Electricity | 124 |
| 3.10.6 Telecommunications | 128 |
| 3.10.7 Access to Community Facilities | 128 |
| 3.10.8 Human Settlements | 130 |
| 3.10.9 Basic Service Delivery SWOT Analysis | 131 |
| 3.11 Local Economic Development | 131 |
| 3.11.1 Municipal Comparative & Competitive Advantages | 132 |
| 3.11.2 Main Economic Contributors | 132 |
| 3.11.3 Employment and Income Levels | 134 |
| 3.11.4 Creation of Job Opportunities | 139 |
| 3.11.5 SMMEs and cooperatives | 143 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 3.11.6 Agricultural Sector | 143 |
| 3.11.7 Tourism | 144 |
| 3.11.8 Manufacturing (Industrial) | 147 |
| 3.11.9 Retail Trade | 148 |
| 3.11.10 Mining | 149 |
| 3.11.11 Local Economic Development SWOT Analysis | 149 |
| 3.12 Social Development Analysis | 150 |
| 3.12.1 Educational status | 150 |
| 3.12.2 Health | 152 |
| 3.12.3 Broad Based Community Needs | 154 |
| 3.12.4 Safety and Security | 154 |
| 3.12.5 Nation Building and Social Cohesion | 154 |
| 3.12.6 Community Development with Focus on Vulnerable Groups | 155 |
| 3.12.7 Social Development: SWOT Analysis | 157 |
| 3.13 Municipal Financial Viability and Management Analysis | 158 |
| 3.13.1 Financial Ratios | 158 |
| 3.13.2 Capability to execute Capital Projects | 159 |
| 3.13.3 Indigent Support (including Free Basic Services) | 160 |
| 3.13.4 Revenue Enhancement and Protection Strategies | 160 |
| 3.13.5 Municipal Consumer Debt Position | 161 |
| 3.13.6 Grants and Borrowings | 162 |
| 3.13.7 Municipal Infrastructure Assets and Maintenance | 162 |
| 3.13.8 Auditor General Report | 163 |
| 3.13.9 Supply Chain Management (SCM) | 163 |
| 3.13.10 Cash Flow Management | 163 |
| 3.13.11 Municipal Financial Viability and Management SWOT Analysis | 164 |
| 3.14 Good Governance and Public Participation Analysis | 164 |
| 3.14.1 National and Provincial Programmes rolled-out | 165 |
| 3.14.2 Intergovernmental Government Relations | 167 |
| 3.14.3 Internal Information and Communication Technology | 169 |
| 3.14.4 Municipal Structures | 170 |
| 3.14.5 Internal Audit | 173 |
| 3.14.6 Community Participation Strategy | 173 |
| 3.14.7 Customer Relations | 174 |
| 3.14.8 Role of Amakhosi and Communities in the IDP | 175 |
| 3.14.9 Risk Management | 175 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3.14.10 Annual Report | 175 |
| 3.16 Key Challenges | 179 |
| Chapter 4: DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES | 187 |
| 4.1 Vision and Mission | 187 |
| 4.2 Strategic Framework..... | 188 |
| 4.2.1 Who do we serve? | 188 |
| 4.2.2 Service or product we provide..... | 188 |
| 4.2.3 Higher Level Benefits Gained | 188 |
| 4.2.4 What do our customers want from us? | 188 |
| 4.2.5 Ugu District Municipality Stakeholders..... | 188 |
| 4.2.6 Stakeholders' interest in Ugu District Municipality | 188 |
| 4.2.7 Value proposition to customers and stakeholders | 189 |
| 4.2.8 Our focus areas | 189 |
| 4.2.9 Expected Results | 189 |
| 4.3 Strategic Objectives | 189 |
| Chapter 5: STRATEGIC MAPPING AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN | 264 |
| 5.1 Strategic Mapping..... | 264 |
| 5.1.1 Desired Spatial Outcomes..... | 264 |
| 5.1.2 Desired Spatial Form and Land Use | 264 |
| 5.1.3 Spatial Reconstruction of the Municipality..... | 265 |
| 5.1.4 Land Use Management Guidelines | 267 |
| 5.1.5 Spatial Alignment with Neighbouring Municipalities | 267 |
| 5.1.6 Capital Investment Framework (CIF) | 270 |
| 5.1.7 Areas Requiring Strategic Intervention..... | 271 |
| 5.2 Five-Year Implementation Plan..... | 275 |
| 5.2.1 Catalytic Projects..... | 277 |
| CHAPTER 6: FINANCIAL PLAN..... | 279 |
| 6.1 Municipal Budget Overview..... | 279 |
| 6.1.1 South African Economy and Inflation Targets | 279 |
| 6.1.2 Proposed Tariffs Increments for 2018 / 2019..... | 279 |
| 6.1.4 Operating Expenditure Budget 2018 / 2019 – 2020 / 2021..... | 284 |
| 6.2 Five-Year Financial Plan | 286 |
| CHAPTER 7: ORGANISATIONAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | 287 |
| 7.1 Organisational Performance Management System..... | 287 |
| 7.1.1 Departmental Level..... | 288 |
| 7.1.2 Strategic / Organisational Level | 288 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 7.1.3 Political Level | 289 |
| 7.2 Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan | 289 |
| 7.2.1 Legislative Mandates | 289 |
| 7.2.2 Monitoring Performance | 289 |
| 7.2.3 Performance Evaluation..... | 290 |
| CHAPTER 8: ANNEXURES..... | 291 |

| | | | |
|--------|---|--------|--|
| AG | Auditor General | ISRDP | Integrated Sustainable Rural Development Programme |
| AIDS | Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome | IWMP | Integrated Waste Management Plan |
| ART | Antiretroviral Therapy | KPA | Key Performance Area |
| ARV | Antiretroviral | LED | Local Economic Development |
| BBBEE | Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment | LGSETA | Local Government SETA |
| CDWs | Community Development Workers | LM | Local Municipality |
| CWP | Community Workers Programme | LRAD | Land Redistribution Programme |
| CoGTA | Co Department Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs | LTT | Local Task Team |
| DEDTEA | Department of Economic Tourism & Development Environmental Affairs | LUMS | Land Use Management System |
| DEA | Department of Environmental Affairs | LUMF | Land Use Management Framework |
| DoT | Department of Transport | MMR | Maternal Mortality Rate |
| DM | District Municipality | MEC | Member of the Executive Council |
| DoHS | Department of Human Settlement | M&E | Monitoring & Evaluation |
| DTT | District Task Team | MDG | Millennium Development Goals |
| DWA | Department of Water Affairs | MIG | Municipal Improvement Grant |
| EAP | Employee Assistance Programme | MSIG | Municipal Systems Improvement Grant |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment | NEMA | National Environmental Management Act |
| EKZNW | Ezemvelo KZN Wildlife | PGDS | Provincial Growth and Development Strategy |
| EMF | Environmental Management Framework | PHC | Primary Health Care |
| EMP | Environmental Management Plan | PMS | Performance Management System |
| EPWP | Expanded Public Works Programme | POE | Portfolio of Evidence |

| | | | |
|-------|--|---------|--|
| FBS | Free Basic Services | PSEDS | Provincial Spatial Economic Development Strategy |
| FET | Further Education and Training | SANRAL | South African National Roads Agency Limited |
| GDS | Growth and Development Strategy | SDBIP | Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan |
| HAST | HIV, AIDS, STI, TB | SDIP | Service Delivery Implementation Plan |
| HH | Households | SDF | Spatial Development Framework |
| HIV | Human Immunodeficiency Virus | SEA | Strategic Environmental Assessment |
| HR | Human Resources | SONA | State of the Nation Address |
| ICMA | Integrated Coastal Management Act | SOPA | State of the Province Address |
| ICT | Information and Communication Technology | SSMP | Sanitation Service Master Plan |
| IDP | Integrated Development Plan | STATSSA | Statistics South Africa |
| IDPRF | Integrated Development Plan Representative Forum | VCT | Voluntary Counselling and Testing |
| IMCI | Integrated Management of Childhood Illness | WHO | World Health Organisation |
| IGR | Inter-Governmental Relations | WIT | Ward Task Team |
| IPT | Ionized Preventive Therapy | | |

Chapter 1: Executive Summary

In compliance with the Local Government Municipal Systems Act, 32 of 2000, the Ugu District Council adopted a five-year Integrated Development Plan in June 2018. This plan is meant to provide a basis for both public and private sector investment in the district and is reviewed on an annual basis.

1.1 Who Are We?


Ugu District Municipality is a category C municipality which is a municipality that has municipal executive and legislative authority in an area that includes more than one municipality. It is 4 908 km² in extent and boasts a spectacular coastline of 112 kilometres, which forms its Eastern border. The region is bordered on the North by the eThekweni, in the West by Umgungundlovu and Harry Gwala District municipalities and on the Southern side shares its borders with the Eastern Cape Province. The municipality consists of 85 municipal wards, which culminate into four local municipalities, namely Ray Nkonyeni, Umuziwabantu, Umzumbe and Umdoni. Ugu District municipality has forty (40) traditional council areas within its jurisdictional area and these are:


Table 1.1.1.1 Key Demographic Information and Service levels

| Municipality | Tribal Authority Area |
|--------------|--|
| Umdoni | Mbele; Qiko; Zembeni; Izimpethuzendlovu; Emandleni; Ukuthula; AmaNyuswa; Cele; and Malangeni. |
| Umzumbe | Bhekani; Cele P; Nhlanguwini; Mabheleni; KwaBombo; Nyavini; Ndelu; Hlongwa; Thulini; Qwabe N; Cele K; Dungeni; Emadungeni; Qoloqolo; Qwabe P; and Hlubi. |
| Ray Nkonyeni | Qiniselani/Manyuswa; Mavundla/Nkumbini; Cele/Vukuzithathe; Xolo; Nzimakwe; Mavundla; Nsimbini; Lushaba; and Madlala. |
| Umuziwabantu | Kwa-Fodo; Thokozani/Madumisa; Jabulani/Beshwayo; Mbotho; Jali/Nhlangano; and Maci/Isibonda. |

Source: Ugu District CoGTA, 2018

The statistics, shows that the Ray Nkonyeni Municipality is the district's economic hub. Ugu District Municipality's main economic sectors are Wholesale, retail trade and Tourism; Finance, insurance, real estate and business services; Community, social and personal services; Manufacturing; Construction; Transport, storage and communication; Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fisheries; and Electricity, gas and water supply; and Mining and quarrying. Commercial agriculture in the district produces one-fifth of all bananas consumed in South Africa, with numerous companies successfully exporting these and other products to some of the most exclusive packers in the United Kingdom. Ugu District is the proud owner of Blue Flag Status beaches, which has marked tourism as a major economic cultivator. The improvement of its infrastructure, education, health, and recreational facilities contributes immensely to its appeal. The nurturing of the local economy highly features tourism and agriculture and includes the district's very own Ugu Jazz Festival. It also includes other renowned annual activities, such as the Africa Bike Week, which has gained momentum worldwide.





Map 1.1.2: Ugu District Traditional Authorities

Source: Ugu District GIS, 2018

1.1.1 Demographics Overview

According to the Stats SA Community Survey 2016, the total population of the Ugu District Municipality area of jurisdiction is 753 336 with a growth rate of 0.042. The district has a relatively young population with the children and youth making up 38.7% of total population. The dominant population group is Africans making up 90% of the total population. Indians; Coloureds and Whites makes up the other 10% of the population. Table 1.1.1.1: shows Key Demographic Information and Service Levels. The sex ratio as per 2011 census is 92 males per 100 females.

Table 1.1.1.1 Key Demographic Information and Service levels

| Population | | Economic Profile | |
|--|---------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Total Population | 753 336 | Total Number of Households | 175 146 |
| Young (0-14) | 286 823 | Average Household Size | 4 (persons / hh) |
| Working age (15-64) | 433 417 | Access to Piped Water | 125 308 |
| Elderly (65+) | 33 097 | Access to Electricity | 149 224 |
| Area in km ² | 4 908 | Access to Sanitation | 124 354 |
| Population Density per km ² | 153.49 | Unemployment(official) | 29.1 |
| Growth Rates | 0.042 | Unemployment Rate (Youth) | 36,0 |
| Total Fertility Rate | 67.5 | Main Occupation Sector | Formal sector |
| Total Mortality Rate | 6.0 | Indigent Households | 25 750 |
| Sex Ratio (male/100 women) | 92 | Social Grants Recipients | 288 728 |
| Dependency Ratio | 0.74 | Literacy Rate | 78 |

Source: Stats SA Community Survey, 2016

The Ugu District Municipality area of jurisdiction has a total number of 175 146 households with an average size of five persons per household. In terms of access to basic service delivery 67% of the households has access to water; 74% have access to electricity and 91% has access to sanitation.

1.2 How was this IDP Developed?

The Ugu District Municipality IDP was prepared in terms of the legislative requirements as entailed in chapter five (5) of the Municipal Systems Act. Consideration was given to the MEC's comments that were raised in the 2018 /19 IDP Review. An in-house team led by the Office of the Municipal Manager was assigned the task of developing the IDP. To ensure ownership of the process and to gather community inputs, existing municipal structures and systems i.e. IDP Representative Forum, IDP and Budget Road Shows were also used. Table 1.2.1 below provides a summary of the Process Plan and its implementation.

Table 1.2.1: Ugu IDP Development Action Programme

| Phase | Activity | Timeframe |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Preparatory | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drafting of Framework and Process Plan Submission draft Framework and Process Plan to CoGTA for comment Submit draft Framework & Process Plan to IDP Steering Committee, IDPRF and EXCO for consideration and recommendation Adoption by Council Advertise on local newspaper for commencement of the IDP development process | 01 July – 30 September 2018 |
| Analysis | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evaluate the changed circumstances and conduct socio analysis research Develop IDP Implementation Plan (by developing alignment of objectives strategies and projects against the METF budget) Determine funding availability and requirements and all the necessary preparations Determine which sector plans need to be reviewed and commence with the process of reviewing thereof Consider MEC Comments Action Plan to Address MEC Comments Conduct Community Needs Consultation Mayoral Izimbizo Ward Committee Imbizo Workshop | 01 October – 31 December 2018 |
| Strategy & Projects | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hold strategic sessions (to include sector departments and feed local analysis into sector strategic plans thereof) Align the strategic framework with internal and external policies Assess financial Feasibility of proposed new projects based on existing and potential funds. (Budget Examination) Submit the draft reviewed strategic framework to the Steering Committee and IDPRF Sector - Municipal Alignment sessions under the auspices of COGTA Integrate Reviewed Sector Plans into the IDP Alignment meetings with neighbouring District Municipalities | 01 January – 28 February 2019 |
| Integration | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1st draft 2018/2019 IDP Submit 1st draft IDP to Steering Committee, IDRF and EXCO for recommendations Submit 1st draft IDP to Council for consideration Submit 1st draft IDP to province (CoGTA) for assessment. | 31 March – 30 April 2019 |
| Approval | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ugu Decentralised IDP Assessment Forums Incorporate comments received from IDP Provincial Assessment and all other outstanding comments Conduct Community Consultation Final draft 2018/2019 IDP Submit final draft IDP to Steering Committee, IDRF and EXCO for recommendations Submit final IDP to Council for adoption Submit final draft IDP to province (CoGTA) for assessment | 30 April – 30 June 2019 |

Source: 2018 / 19 IDP, IPMS and Budget Process Plan and Framework

1.3 Our Key Development Challenges

The key development challenges that are faced by Ugu District Municipality are summarised as per the five National Key Performance Area (KPA) in the subsequent subsections.

1.3.1 Basic Services

The major basic service challenge faced by the district is the slow pace of backlog eradication and high levels of aged infrastructure. The ageing infrastructure results in high maintenance costs which have an adverse effect on the eradication of backlog programme due to limited funding.

This also has a direct impact on the municipality's compliance with minimum requirement of the No Drop, Green Drop, and Blue Drop standard. Furthermore, the municipality's Water and Sanitation Master Plans and thus prioritisation and planning of projects, is outdated and in the process of development.

There is also a challenge of high levels of illegal connections which further strains the available resources.

1.3.2 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development

The key Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development challenges include but not limited to:

- ☐ High Employee Costs;
- ☐ Disengaged Employees;
- ☐ Slow Implementation of Individual Performance Management;
- ☐ Bloated Organisational structure;
- ☐ Lack of Monitoring and Evaluation;
- ☐ Manipulation of Recruitment Processes;
- ☐ Poor Conflict Resolution and Management;
- ☐ Poor Manager and employee relations;
- ☐ Lack of Skills Transfers;
- ☐ Old Aging Fleet;
- ☐ Clashing of Meetings;
- ☐ Limited Office Space;
- ☐ High Security Costs;
- ☐ High Printing and Copying Costs;
- ☐ Lack of Human Resource Capacity; and
- ☐ Delegations of authorities are not clear

1.3.3 Local Economic Development

The key LED challenge is the triple challenge which is poverty, inequality, and unemployment. Furthermore, there is no clear District LED mandate which results in duplication of resources and efforts between the district and local municipalities. LED is still poorly resourced across the district.

1.3.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management

The key Municipal Financial Viability And Management challenges include but not limited to:

- ☐ Budget Management and Financial Reporting
- ☐ Supply chain Management
- ☐ Revenue management
- ☐ Asset management
- ☐ Non-compliance with MFMA
- ☐ Expenditure Management

1.5 What will we do to unlock and Address our Key Challenges?

Ugu District Municipality has set in place 23 goals and 33 strategic objectives to unlock the identified key challenges. These strategic objectives and goals were grouped as per the five National KPAs as discussed in the subsequent sections.

1.5.1 Basic Services

The district is committed to ensuring universal access to basic services, water and sanitation in particular. Through the planned projects, the district will reduce the current backlogs by 31% for access to water and 21% for access to decent sanitation for the current period of the IDP. The district will further replace 100 kms of aged water and sanitation pipelines. The district also has plans in place to ensure compliance to the Blue Drop, Green Drop, and No Drop minimum requirements. The fight against illegal connections which strains the existing infrastructure will also be reinforced.

1.5.2 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development

The district is committed to creating a conducive environment for the highly motivated, skilled, productive, and disciplined workforce. This is to be done through programmes such as Employee Wellness, Skills Development, and continuous investment on building team spirit amongst all employees. The district will also implement vigorous Performance, Monitoring, and Evaluation mechanism, ensure constant renewal of aging fleet, and explore paperless administration in certain areas of the municipality.

1.5.3 Local Economic Development

The district is committed to dealing with the triple threat challenge which is poverty, inequality, and unemployment. A total of 3 450 job opportunities will be created, and 450 SMMEs, Cooperatives, and Informal

Business Sector will be trained during the current IDP period. Furthermore, the district will ensure the development of the main economic sectors such as agriculture and explore green economic initiatives. In terms of community development, the municipality will ensure implementation of seven programmes per annum for vulnerable groups and consistent promotion of youth development.

1.5.4 Municipal Financial Viability and Management

In a bid to ensuring that Ugu District Municipality is financially viable and sustainable institution, the municipality is committed to ensuring:

- There is zero fruitless and wasteful expenditure through optimisation of procurement processes
- There is zero unauthorised, irregular expenditure and payment of creditors within 30 days through optimisation of expenditure;
- 100% accurate billing to improve revenue collection rate;
- Reduction of overdue debt through the optimisation of debt management;
- GRAP Compliant Asset Register through optimised asset management.
- mScoa compliant budgeting and expenditure

Full compliance with the MFMA will also be ensured for sound financial management.

1.5.5 Good Governance and Public Participation

The key Communication and Batho Pele Strategies will be reviewed and institutionalised to ensure effective communication and stakeholder involvement. The municipality is also committed to achieving Unqualified Audit Opinion with no matters of emphasis and plans have been put in place. The municipality is determined to be a clean social government institution and a series of anticorruption awareness campaigns have been planned to this effect. Furthermore, the public participation mechanisms will be integrated to ensure that the communities are properly consulted and are involved in the affairs of the municipality. The municipality also plans in conducting customer satisfaction surveys annually.

1.5.6 Cross Cutting Issues

The capacity of Disaster Management will be bolstered across the district to improve disaster prevention and management. Through various environmental health and management programmes, the municipality will ensure a healthy, safe, and sustainable environment, as well as enhance the measures to reduce community exposure to diseases and health risk. Every effort will be made through the development of relevant development plans to improve planning and coordination in the district.

1.6 What could you expect from us in the next five years?

If operational excellence is achieved the result will be good governance and administration, sound financial management and viability, innovative, effective, and efficient institution, and administration.

In general, Ugu District Municipality through stakeholder relations is striving for:

- ☐ Coordinated, effective and efficient planning and service delivery.
- ☐ A credible and well informed IDP
- ☐ Better investments and reduced dependency on grants.
- ☐ Protest free and happy communities.
- ☐ Confident investors and partners in service delivery.
- ☐ Social cohesion.
- ☐ Quality input and engagements.
- ☐ Vibrant and active tourism, increasing investment and job opportunities.
- ☐ Realisation of a developmental state

1.7 How will our progress be measured?

Once the IDP is adopted, the Organisational Scorecard and SDBIP are created. The indicators and targets for efficiency, effectiveness and impact are set. The Organisational Performance Management System is used as a tool for:

- ☐ Monitoring, review and improve the implementation of IDP;
- ☐ Monitoring actual performance against targets and contractual obligations;
- ☐ Effective management, including planning, budgeting, implementation, monitoring and reporting; and
- ☐ Facilitation of effective accountability, identify the scope for improvement, provide early warning signals and facilitate decision making.

The Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan and Organisational Scorecard are tools to:

- ☐ Regularly plan;
- ☐ Continuously monitor; and
- ☐ Periodically measure and review performance.

1.7.1 Two Level Scorecard

The municipality utilises the two-level scorecard approach which is the Organisational and SDBIP scorecards.

The Strategic or Organizational Scorecard:

- Reflect KPA's, objectives, indicators, and targets at a strategic level;
- Align directly with the IDP priorities; and
- Follow SDBIP but would not have monthly financial cash flow projections and the ward level projects.

The SDBIP or Departmental Scorecards reflect objectives, indicators and targets at a departmental level and informs the individual scorecards of the Section 54 and 56 Managers.

The two levels of scorecards form Organisational Performance Management System of the municipality. All reporting on the municipality's performance is informed by information derived from the two-level scorecards and reflect the municipality's performance on the 23 goals and 33 strategic objectives.

1.7.2 Monitoring and Evaluation

The performance monitoring and evaluation is done through a periodic analysis assessment of milestones achieved which is done quarterly, half yearly and annually. Analysis of planned and actual achievements considers the assessment of:

- ☐ Inputs vs. Outputs,
- ☐ Cost of results achieved
- ☐ Impact of results

This then assist management with the review of the IDP and setting of new performance targets.

Chapter 2 Government Priorities

Alignment of government policies and plans is crucial in the strategic planning processes of the municipality. Therefore, the relevant binding and non-binding national and provincial policies including programmes and strategies need to be considered in the municipal development planning process and interventions. Our implementation and proposed interventions will focus only on the key mandates relevant to the municipal context in cooperative governance and those will be considered and addressed.

2.1 Sustainable Development Goals

In September 2015, the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which includes a set of 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to end poverty, fight inequality and injustice and tackle climate change was adopted. The SDGs build on the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) eight antipoverty targets that the world committed to achieving by 2015. Enormous progress was made on the MDGs, showing the value of a unifying agenda underpinned by goals and targets. Despite this success, the indignity of poverty has not been ended for all.

The new Global Goals, and the broader sustainability agenda, go much further than the MDGs, addressing the root causes of poverty and the universal need for development that works for all people. The SDG is an attempt at global co-ordinated efforts to ensure that the goals South Africa and the rest of Africa sets itself including ending poverty and hunger, improving health and education, making cities more sustainable, combating climate change, and protecting oceans and forests are achieved in the shortest possible time and in the most efficient way imaginable.

The 17 Sustainable Development Goals are:

- 1) End poverty in all its forms everywhere
- 2) End hunger, achieve food security, improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture
- 3) Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages
- 4) Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all
- 5) Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girl children
- 6) Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all
- 7) Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable, and modern energy for all
- 8) Promote sustained, inclusive, and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all
- 9) Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation
- 10) Reduce inequality within and among countries
- 11) Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient, and sustainable
- 12) Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns
- 13) Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts
- 14) Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas, and marine resources for sustainable development

- 15) Protect, restore, and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
- 16) Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable, and inclusive institutions at all levels
- 17) Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development

2.1.1 Applicability to Ugu

The MDGs drove progress in several important areas such as, income, poverty, access to improved sources of water, primary school enrolment and child mortality. The Ugu District is still committed to these areas. Furthermore, SDG goal 6 talks directly to the Ugu District's commitment to availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all.

2.2 National Development Plan: 2030

The National Development Plan aims to eliminate poverty and reduce inequality by 2030. South Africa has the potential and capacity to eliminate poverty and reduce inequality over the next decade. This requires a new approach – one that moves from a passive citizenry receiving services from the State to one that systematically includes the socially and economically excluded, where people are active champions of their own development, and where government works effectively to develop people's capabilities to lead the lives they desire. The achievement of this vision is based on the following nine elements:

- i. Creating jobs and livelihoods,
- ii. Expanding infrastructure,
- iii. Transitioning to a low-carbon economy,
- iv. Transforming urban and rural spaces,
- v. Improving education and training,
- vi. Providing quality health care,
- vii. Building a capable state,
- viii. Fighting corruption and enhancing accountability,
- ix. Transforming society and uniting the nation.

2.2.1 Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF)

The Medium Term Strategic Framework (MTSF) is Government's strategic plan for the electoral term. It reflects the commitments made in the election manifesto of the governing party, including the commitment to implement the NDP. The MTSF sets out the actions Government will take and targets to be achieved. It also provides a framework for the other plans of national, provincial, and local government.

The aim of the MTSF is to ensure policy coherence, alignment and coordination across government plans as well as alignment with budgeting processes. Performance agreements between the President and each Minister will reflect the relevant actions, indicators and targets set out in this MTSF. In the presence of the NDP as an overarching, long-term plan the MTSF process has been reoriented towards conversion of the NDP into medium term five-year plans. The intention of the MTSF is to directly inform departments' planning and oversight of plans and performance. The 2014 – 2019 MTSF has two overarching themes which are radical economic transformation and improving service delivery and 14 priority outcomes which are building on previous MTSF and the NDP. Within each priority outcome there are broad objectives and themes. The 14 priority outcomes are as follows:

1. Quality basic education;
2. A long and healthy life for all South Africans;
3. All people in South Africa are and feel safe;
4. Decent employment through inclusive growth;
5. A skilled and capable workforce to support an inclusive growth path;
6. An efficient, competitive, and responsive economic infrastructure network
7. Vibrant, equitable, sustainable rural communities contributing towards food security for all;
8. Sustainable human settlements and improved quality of household life;
9. Responsive, accountable, effective, and efficient local government;
10. Protect and enhance our environmental assets and natural resources;
11. Create a better South Africa and contribute to a better Africa and a better world;
12. An efficient, effective, and development-oriented public service;
13. A comprehensive, responsive, and sustainable social protection system;
14. A diverse, socially cohesive society with a common national identity.

2.2.2 State of the Nation Address

In the State of the Nation address, His Excellency Cyril Ramaphosa, president of the Republic of South Africa, pronounced that the 2019 theme is "Following up on our commitments: Making Your Future Work Better".

He then highlighted five (5) central tasks that should be adopted by all South Africans:

- Acceleration of inclusive economic growth and job creation.
- Improvement in the education system and development of skills.
- Improvement of conditions of life for all South Africans, especially the poor
- Fight against corruption and state capture.
- Strengthening of the capacity of the State to address the needs of the people.

2.2.3 Applicability to Ugu District

The Ugu District Municipality has a major role to play in realising the NDP 2030 vision especially with regards to the expansion of infrastructure which in the case of Ugu is mainly water and sanitation infrastructure to ensure universal access to clean portable water and sanitation dignity for all people of Ugu area of jurisdiction.

Furthermore, priority outcome nine of the 14 priority outcomes of the MTSF talks to a responsive, accountable, effective and efficient local government. Given the role at the front line' of service delivery, local government has a critical role to play in the NDP's vision and as a district, Ugu has to ensure co-ordinated effort with its family of municipalities that the five sub-outcomes are achieved and therefore the five IDPs of the district's family needs to address and ensure:

1. Members of society have sustainable and reliable access to basic services
 - a Intergovernmental and democratic governance arrangements for a functional system of cooperative governance strengthened
2. Sound financial and administrative management
3. Promotion of social and economic development
4. Ugu District Municipality and its four local municipalities have a task to fight corruption and state capture
5. Ugu District Municipality and its four local municipalities have a task to develop skills both internally and externally

Furthermore, the District is currently championing the implementation of Agri-Park in the district in partnership with the Department of Rural Development. The Operation Phakisa is also being implemented in the district with Ray Nkonyeni Municipality being a major stakeholder as they have the longest coastline in the district.

2.3 KZN Provincial Growth Development Strategy

The 2012 Provincial Growth and Development Strategy (KZN PGDS) bolsters the Province's commitment to achieving the vision of a "Prosperous Province with a healthy, secure and skilled population, acting as a gateway to Africa and the world". The PGDS aims to build this gateway by growing the economy for the development and the improvement of the quality of life of all people living in the Province.

The PGDS provides a reasoned strategic framework for accelerating and sharing economic growth through catalytic and developmental interventions, within a coherent equitable spatial development architecture, putting people first, particularly the poor and vulnerable, and building sustainable communities, livelihoods and living environments. Concomitant attention is also given to the provision of infrastructure and services, to restoring natural resources, to public sector leadership, delivery and accountability, thus ensuring that these changes are responded to with resilience, innovation and adaptability. This strategy will lay the foundations for attracting and instilling confidence from potential investors and to develop social compacts that address the Provincial challenges.

The main purpose of the KZN PGDS can be summarised as, being the primary growth and development strategy for KwaZulu-Natal to 2030; mobilising and synchronising strategic plans and investment priorities in all spheres of government, state owned entities, business, higher education institutions, labour, civil society and all other social partners in order to achieve the desired growth and development goals, objectives and outcomes; to spatially contextualise and prioritise interventions so as to achieve greater spatial equity; and to develop clearly defined institutional arrangements that ensure decisive leadership, robust management, thorough implementation and ongoing review of the growth and development plan.

The KZN PGDS sets out seven goals which are as follows:

1. Inclusive Economic Growth
2. Human Resource Development
3. Human and Community Development
4. Strategic Infrastructure
5. Environmental Sustainability
6. Governance and Policy
7. Spatial Equity

2.3.1 State of the Province Address

The State of the Province Address delivered by the Premier of KwaZulu-Natal Mr. T.W. Mchunu on 27 February 2019 was premised on the theme “We are ready to handover, towards even a better and faster growth and development of our Province and its people.”. The Premier of KwaZulu-Natal Mr. T.W. Mchunu reiterated the Province’s

commitment to the realisation of the Country’s as well as the Province’s visions. The provincial vision is to be realised through continued implementation of the seven provincial strategic goals as outlined in the PGDS. The following eight (8) provincial priorities were highlighted in the 2019 SOPA:

- ☐ Build an inclusive Economy that creates decent jobs
- ☐ Transformation of rural areas
- ☐ Ensure decent living conditions and sustainable human settlement
- ☐ Improve and expand education and training
- ☐ Ensure quality health care for all
- ☐ Expand comprehensive social security
- ☐ Fight crime and corruption
- ☐ Build a united nation and promote social cohesion

Other provincial objectives:

- ☐ Human and Community Development – To address eradication of poverty and inequality, the province has reaffirmed its commitments to the ward-based approach to attend to matters related to the communities.

- Development of Strategic Infrastructure – Infrastructure is essential in the sustainability of the social and economic growth and development needs of the province. With this regard, the province is committing itself to the following:
 - Improvement of the facilitation of the coordination of infrastructure delivery;
 - Ensure allocation of and appropriate portion of the budget to the development, operation, and adequate maintenance to extend the lifespan of these strategic assets. The benchmark of at least 20% of the total budget has been set.
 - Ensure robust infrastructure planning to attract potential investors.
 - Ensure provision of at least 75 litres of water per person per day and that economic growth is sustained.

- Ensuring Environmental Sustainability – The increased tensions between competing land uses, as well as increasing demand on land for development, requires an intensified focus on land use planning, management, and governance. Furthermore, increased attention is required to protect and conserve not only the terrestrial and atmospheric environment, but the marine environment is important within the context of the marine economy.

- Providing Good Governance with clear and relevant policies – A provincial framework for improved intergovernmental relations has been developed to ensure better functionality and unity. The province continues to be committed to effectively combat fraud and corruption and reduce unauthorised, irregular, fruitless, and wasteful expenditure. Special measures will also be put in place to ensure standards of paying off creditors within 30 days is met.

- Striving for Spatial Equity – The need for sustainable rural development focused on all-inclusive, integrated, and well-coordinated development is required.

2.3.2 Applicability to Ugu District

The Ugu District Municipality as a water services provider will contribute immensely in the development of strategic infrastructure in its endeavours to eradicate water and sanitation backlogs in the district. Furthermore, the district municipality as the champion of the Ugu DGDS needs to ensure that the four local municipalities work together towards a shared vision and that tourism and agriculture which are the core economic sectors are strategically positioned in the path that the province is taking to ensure that the population of the Ugu District area of jurisdiction benefits optimally.

2.4 Local Government Back to Basics Programme

The core services that local government provides is clean drinking water, sanitation, electricity, shelter, waste removal and roads which are basic human rights, essential components of the right to dignity enshrined in the Constitution and Bill of Rights. The government vision of developmental local government was that it would be the building block on which the reconstruction and development of the country and society was built, a place in which the citizens of the country could engage in a meaningful and direct way with the institutions of the state.

Local government is where most citizens interface with government, and its foundational ethos must be about serving people.

Local government has been a primary site for the delivery of services in South Africa since 1994. A tremendous progress in delivering water, electricity, sanitation and refuse removal at a local level has been made. However, notwithstanding delivery achievements thus far, it is evidently clear that much needs to be done to support, educate and where needed, enforce implementation of local government's mandate for delivery. The transformation of the local government sector remains a priority for the current administration.

It is against this background that CoGTA introduced the Back to Basics programme. Back to Basics is the understanding of where local government is, where it could be and what needs to be done to improve performance. The main goal of Back to Basics is to improve the functioning of municipalities to better serve communities by getting the basics right.

The Back to Basics approach recognises that there are widely divergent levels of performance between different categories of municipalities. The aim therefore to encourage all municipalities to become positively functional centres of good governance and need to set proper standards for municipal performance. The Back to Basics programme is founded on five pillars which are reflected in Table 2.4.1.

2.4.1 Applicability to Ugu

The Ugu District Municipality in 2014 was amongst those 8 municipalities that were requiring intervention by CoGTA. CoGTA will aim at the very least, that the municipalities in a requiring intervention state, perform the basic functions of local government and will do this through enforcement of current policies and legislation, systematically managing performance and accountability, and ensuring that there are consequences for underperformance. Minimum performance requirements include ensuring the proper functioning of council structures and council processes, the provision of basic services, and the appointment of competent staff and these are non-negotiable.

The Ugu District Municipality has therefore aligned its resources with the Back to Basics programme to ensure that the functionality is improved, and the district's community is served better as outlined in Table 2.4.1.

Table 2.4.1 Alignment of Back to Basics with the Ugu IDP

| No. | B 2 B Pillars | Description | Goal / Desired Outcome |
|-----|---------------|--|---|
| 1. | People First | Placing people and their concerns first and ensuring constant contact with communities through effective public participation platforms. | Effective Communication and stakeholder involvement |
| | | | Effective and integrated approach to Public participation |

| | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Effective Disaster prevention and management |
| 2. | Basic Services | Creating conditions for decent living by consistently delivering municipal services to the right quality and standard. This includes planning for and delivery of infrastructure and amenities, maintenance, and upkeep, including the budgeting to do this. Ensuring there are no failures in services and where there are, restore services with urgency. | Universal access to Basic Services |
| | | | Compliance with No Drop |
| | | | Minimum Blue Drop Score of 95% |
| | | | Minimum Green Drop Score of 90% |
| 3. | Good Governance | Ensuring municipalities are well governed and demonstrate good governance and administration, cut wastage, spend public funds prudently, hire competent staff, ensure transparency and accountability. | Effective Governance and Leadership |
| | | | Clean and Social Government |
| 4. | Financial Management | Ensuring sound financial management and accounting, and prudently manage resources to sustainably deliver services and bring development to communities. | Zero unauthorised, irregular expenditure |
| | | | Creditors paid within 30 days |
| | | | Zero fruitless and wasteful expenditure |
| | | | 100% compliance with all laws and regulations |
| | | | 100% accurate billing |
| | | | GRAP compliant asset register |
| | | | Reduction of overdue debt |

| | | | |
|----|--|---|---|
| 5. | Capable Local Government institutions | Building and maintaining sound institutional and administrative capabilities, administered, and managed by dedicated and skilled personnel at all levels. | Highly Motivated, Skilled, Productive and Disciplined Workforce |
| | | | Sound and Efficient Municipal Systems and Operations |

Source: Ugu District Office of the Municipal Manager

2.5 Ugu District Growth and Development Plan

The Ugu Growth and Development Strategy (GDS) commits all stakeholders (public, private, and civil society) to achieving a shared vision that by 2030 the

Ugu District will be a leading tourism destination as well as manufacturing and agricultural hub where jobs are created, and everyone benefits equally from

socio-economic opportunities and services. The DGDS has seven drivers which are:

1. Spatial Integration and Facilitating Security of Tenure
2. Strategic Infrastructure Investment
3. Education and Skills Development
4. Institutional Development
5. Sectoral Development and Support
6. Environmental Sustainability
7. Safety Nets & Civic Empowerment

2.5.1 Applicability to Ugu

The district municipality needs to craft its IDP and LED programmes around these cascaded national and provincial policies. This will ensure that there is common understanding of issues that need to be addressed. This in turn will go a long way in co-ordinating government efforts around eradicating under development and thus bring about prosperity in an integrated fashion.

2.6 Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act

The SPLUMA seeks to bridge the racial divide in spatial terms and to transform the settlement patterns of the country in a manner that gives effect to the key constitutional provisions. The Act addresses the legacy of the discriminatory, inefficient, and costly special pattern that puts a considerable burden on the public resources. The Act also ensures that the restructuring of South African cities, towns and settlements is in line with priorities and principles of the democratic government. in a manner that gives effect to the key constitutional provisions. The Act has six objectives as listed below.

1. Provision of a uniform, effective and comprehensive system of spatial and land use

management;

2. Ensuring of a spatial planning and land use management system that promotes social and economic inclusion;
3. Provision of development principles and norms and standards;
4. Provisions for a sustainable and efficient use of land;
5. Provision of cooperative government and intergovernmental relations amongst the national provincial and local spheres of government; and
6. Redressing imbalance of the past and ensuring of equitable application of spatial development planning and land use management systems.

Furthermore, the Act provides five principles that must be applied to all aspects of spatial development planning, land development and land use management. These principles are outlined in Table 2.6.1.

Table 2.6.1: SPLUMA Development Principles

| Principle | Definition | Applicability to Ugu |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| Spatial Justice | Concerned with the past spatial and other development imbalances through improved access and ensuring the inclusion of previously disadvantaged communities. Furthermore, the land development procedures need to accommodate access to secure tenure. | The focus of development on urban coastal strip in the past has resulted in an imbalance development leading to the rural communities always lagging. The district family is also on a process of implementing wall to wall schemes which are anticipated have an impact reshaping the spatial footprint of the district. |
| Spatial Sustainability | For viable communities, there needs to be promotion of land development to happen within the fiscal, Institutional, and administrative means. The protection of prime and unique land should be given special consideration and the consistency of land use measures in accordance with the environmental management tools. Furthermore, the future and current costs of infrastructure and social services provision in land development need to be considered by all parties. | One of main the economic contributors in Ugu is agriculture and thus the agricultural land needs to be protected to ensure continued productivity and preservation. Furthermore, the DGDS talks about Strategic Infrastructure, that needs to be properly costed and be considered by all parties involved. The environmental viability is also of utmost importance as tourism is the key economic contributor in the district. |
| Efficiency | The land development should optimise the use of existing resources and infrastructure and the decision-making procedures to be designed in a way that limits negative financial, social, economic, or environmental | The need for optimisation of existing resources and infrastructure has been identified in Ugu and the importance of infrastructure operation and maintenance plan emphasised to a |

| | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|
| | impacts. | longer lifespan. Furthermore, even the decision making with regards to infrastructure deployment is guided by relevant policies and plans to ensure integrated implementation. |
| Spatial Resilience | In ensuring sustainable livelihoods in communities most likely to suffer the impacts of economic and environmental shocks, the spatial plans, policies, and land use management systems flexibility needs to be accommodated. | With the high levels of poverty and unemployment in Ugu there is a need to ensure sustainable livelihoods and SPLUMA offers that opportunity through spatial and environmental plans and policies flexibility is accommodated. |
| Good Administration | Ensuring an integrated approach by government (all 3 spheres) to land use and land development as guided by spatial planning and land use systems embodied in the Act. All sectors need to ensure they provide necessary inputs and comply with all the prescribed requirements. There must also be transparency in policies, legislation, and procedures so that members of the community are empowered and informed. | Through the development of one or the IDP the district aims to ensure an integrated approach inclusive of all departments and local municipalities. Public participation mechanisms are also in place to ensure transparency and the members of the community are kept informed and empowered. |

Source: Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, No.16 of 2013

CHAPTER 3: SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS

The Situational Analysis chapter provides a more concise snapshot of the key elements of the Status Quo. The information presented is a combination of desktop analysis; comprehensive community engagement workshops; 2011 census and 2016 community survey by Statistics South Africa; as well as from targeted interviews and discussions.

3.1 Cross Cutting Interventions

The Spatial Development Framework which informs the major part of this section forms an integral part of the IDP. It identifies what physical / spatial development should take place and where and provides the physical location and spatial interrelationship of social, economic, environmental development. It provides guidance for all future development in Ugu. The Framework does not identify every detail but establishes the strategic development direction. Furthermore, this analysis is also informed by the DGDS document.


3.1.1 Regional Context

Ugu District is a notable service centre within the south of KwaZulu-Natal and the northern part of the Eastern Cape Province. It provides highly competitive tertiary services within these regional parts of both provinces, such services mainly include commercial, retail and tourism. The district also attracts a number of people for public services including medical care given the amount of public and private health care facilities.

3.1.2 Administrative Entities

The municipality is bordered in the north by EThekweni Municipality, in the West by UMgungundlovu and Harry Gwala District Municipalities and on the Southern side shares its borders with the Eastern Cape Province. The municipality is accessed via N2 and the R102 from EThekweni on the north. The N2 is an important access point as it stretches throughout the district and provides regional access to Eastern Cape on the western border where it interfaces with Harry Gwala District Municipality. R61 (National Road) links the district with the Eastern Cape on the South where it interfaces with Alfred Nzo District Municipality whilst the R102 links the district with UMgungundlovu to the west. It is located on the southern part of KwaZulu-Natal province with a number of strategic features that embraces this location such as the following:

- ☐ It is highly accessible by the N2 and R61 which connects the area with a number of towns within KwaZulu-Natal as well as Eastern Cape and beyond;
- ☐ It is situated within a highly admired coastal strip which is affectionately known referred to as the South Coast by the tourists, residents and general travellers;
- ☐ It shares borders with EThekweni Municipality which is the economic powerhouse of KwaZulu-Natal and a very notable economic point within the country; and
- ☐ It accommodates the first coastal commercial hubs from Eastern Cape to KwaZulu-Natal.



Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

3.1.3 Existing Nodes and Corridors

In August 2015, KZN COGTA published a report titled “Towards a framework for the classification of development nodes in KwaZulu-Natal – Regional spatial restructuring for an efficient delivery of services” The aims of the report were to provide a standardised classification of nodes throughout the province. The study identified the following categories of nodes:



Figure 3.1.3.1: Ugu District System of Activity Nodes
Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

District development nodes: These nodes play an integral role in the regional economy and contribute substantially to the provincial economy. They serve as major clusters of economic activities and provincial population. They have considerably developed and diversified economies. They serve as both economic hubs and administrative centre, but also perform some service centre functions. Municipal Development Nodes: are physically connected to each other and to urban centres outside their regions (districts), by frequent and reliable transport and all-weather roads. They offer diversified commercial, financial, professional and administrative duties and services. They accommodate sub-regional offices of national government departments and branch offices of provincial government departments. They provide facilities for large scale and diversified markets, function as communication nodes for a broad rural hinterland, and provides sites for agri-business and largescale agriculture processing. They also provide space for the location of small-scale consumer goods industries, repair workshops, and light durable goods. They offer higher educational opportunities and more specialised vocational training; and provide diversified and multi-purpose hospitals and health clinics. Municipal offices would mostly be located in these development nodes.

Community Development Nodes: are small towns that provide an area-wide exchange point household and common consumer products, and farm inputs. They serve as nodes of transportation and distribution linked to regional centres within the province. They provide higher-level administrative services that cannot be found in community development nodes and offer vocational and secondary education, health and childcare services, and rural commercial services.

Neighbourhood/Settlement Development Nodes: These would locate a settlement or cluster of settlements. Its service area would be limited to the surrounding settlements and would include lower order public, shopping and

small business enterprise facilities. It serves as linkage between the local communities and the major towns as such they should locate in accessible areas along or at the intersection on public transport routes. The majority of these are in the rural areas and would be discussed in detail in the local municipality SDF's.

3.1.3.1 Hierarchy of Development Corridors

Corridors are areas of street or route-oriented uses which incorporate a mix of retail, employment and residential uses, developed at overall greater densities, located along arterial roads serving as major transit routes. Corridors link Nodes and important areas of activity within the district and are intended to be key locations for residential intensification. Corridors may form the boundaries of residential subdivisions or neighbourhoods but should act as a linear focus for activities and uses within the community. The Districts Corridors provide a significant opportunity for creating vibrant pedestrian and transit-oriented places through investment in hard and soft infrastructure, residential intensification, infill and re-development.


The concept of spatial development requires an understanding of the movement networks of people, goods and services which are channelled along specific routes. These routes are described as networks of interaction. The level and intensity of activity that these networks of interaction provide result in the concept of "Development Corridors" which are broad areas of development which are centred on activity and development routes. They are characterised by dynamic, mutually supporting relationships between land uses and the supporting movement system. These development corridors are generally supported by a hierarchy of transport services which functions as an integrated system to facilitate and foster ease of movement for private and public transport users.

A key element of corridor development is intensification. A large portion of the Districts land use intensification target is directed to Nodes and Corridors. In older Corridors, intensification stabilizes and grows the population, helping to support local businesses, institutions and community facilities such as community centres, parks and schools, and returning vitality to these areas. In new or developing corridors, intensification, supported by transit, provides a diversity of housing types and living environments that reduce the dependency on automobiles, creating liveable environments.

The SDF recognizes Corridors as key structural elements which for Municipal, neighbourhood and precinct planning; however, some corridors function as an integral part of a much broader environment with a national, provincial and district wide impact (N2). Therefore, a central element of corridor planning will be to identify the unique role played by each corridor and ensure that proper planning co-ordination and guidance is provided for each of the corridors identified, whilst embracing the general ethos of corridor development planning.

Development corridors in Ugu DM occur at various scales and are dependent on function and categorisation of the transportation route that forms the basis of the corridor. They carry flows of people and trade between two points (origin and destination) and foster nodal development at strategic points. Corridor development forms the basis of spatial structuring, and is a tool for economic growth, seeks to create functional linkages between areas

of higher thresholds and economic potential, with those that have insufficient thresholds. This, in turn, enables areas that are poorly serviced, to be linked to areas of opportunity and benefit and with higher thresholds.



Map 3.1.3.1: Ugu District Nodes and Corridors

Source: Ugu Distric SDF, 2018

3.1.4 Broad Land Use Patterns

3.1.4.1 *Coastal Tourism Towns and Surrounding Suburbs*

There are a number of coastal tourism towns that are located along Marine Drive, Ocean Drive and Finnis Road. These are mostly dominant within Ray Nkonyeni Local Municipality, specifically along R61 from Port Shepstone to Port Edward, however coastal tourism is also seen in Scottburgh, Park Rynie, Pennington, Sunwhich Port, Hibberdene etc. These towns are also surrounded by associated formal suburbs. These towns have a number of commercial and entertainment activities within it which were introduced in order to embolden this tourism environment.

3.1.4.2 *Urban Towns and Surrounding Suburbs*

The main urban centres within the study area are Port Shepstone, Hibberdene, Scottburgh, Margate, Port Edward and Harding Town. These serve as the main towns for the municipal area with the highest agglomeration of commercial activities.

3.1.4.3 *Rural Town and Surrounding Peri-Urban Settlements*

Ezingolweni is the main rural town that is found within the municipal area. Turton within Umzumbe is experiencing an increase in density marked by a phenomenal increase in the number of people that live within the area. It is surrounded by the densely populated rural settlements which have grown around it over the years.

3.1.4.4 *Rural Villages and Settlements*

Majority of the inland area is occupied by rural villages and settlements. These are spread within different parts of the administrative boundaries of traditional authorities. These villages and settlements have a number of commercial and social activities within it. The kind of commercial activities are limited to small local convenient shops, taverns as well as scale manufacturing activities (block making) and personnel services such as salons and small-scale agricultural activities (ploughing field and food gardens).

3.1.4.5 *Commercial Agriculture*

Most commercial agriculture is intensive in one or more ways. Forms that rely especially heavily on industrial methods are often called industrial agriculture, which is characterised by innovations designed to increase yield. Techniques include planting multiple crops per year, reducing the frequency of fallow years, and improving cultivars. It also involves increased use of fertilizers, plant growth regulators, pesticides and mechanised agriculture, controlled by increased and more detailed analysis of growing conditions, including weather, soil, water, weeds and pests. This system is supported by ongoing innovation in agricultural machinery and farming methods, genetic technology, techniques for achieving economies of scale, logistics, and data collection and analysis technology. Intensive farms are widespread in developed nations and increasingly prevalent worldwide.

Most of the meat, dairy, eggs, fruits and vegetables available in supermarkets are produced by such farms. Smaller intensive farms usually include higher inputs of labour and more often use sustainable intensive methods. The farming practices commonly found on such farms are referred to as appropriate technology. These farms are less widespread in both developed countries and worldwide but are growing more rapidly. Most of the food available in specialty markets such as farmers markets is produced by these smallholder farms.

The district municipality has an abundant amount of agricultural land which is geographically located between the urban and rural areas in the form of commercial agricultural farms. The agricultural pattern within the area is primarily due to the undulating topography, which prescribes the available land parcels out of the valley lines and along other major structuring elements. The agricultural industry is a prominent feature within the KwaZulu-Natal south coast, and therefore becomes a predominant land use within the area. The predominance is due to the rich natural resources and climatic conditions, which allow for the farming of produces such as sugar, bananas, timber, pawpaw's, coffee, tea and exotic nuts along the coast, maize, legumes, cattle, vast pine, commercial forestry, wattle and eucalyptus plantations inland. Commercial agriculture activity is concentrated largely within a central band located south-east of Harding.

3.1.4.6 Subsistence Agriculture/Grazing Land

Rural subsistence farming activity is generally equated with the traditional settlement areas of specifically the rural areas. The agricultural practices within the Traditional Settlement areas of Ugu are diversified with many households producing food for subsistence purposes. Rural subsistence agriculture is far more extensive in the southern traditional authority areas of KwaJali and KwaMachi in Harding. Due to the often-large degree of transformation of these areas in terms of grazing cover as well as institutional factors, grazing capacity and other resource constraints limit the extent to which livestock as well as farming could be expanded. Settlements such as Odidini, Imfume, Dududu, Mikhunya, Kenterton, Qolodolo, Msinsini, Dweshula, Assissi, Qiniseleni and Izingolweni villages are low density settlements which still practice subsistence agriculture.

3.1.4.7 Conservation and Environmentally Sensitive Areas

The Conservation areas comprise a composite of Core Environmental areas, steep slope areas unsuitable for development and the 30m flood line buffers. There are two important grassland areas to the south and east of Odidini. These grassland areas are of high conservation value as they form part of the Interior South Coast Grasslands Belt, a Critically Endangered ecosystem. These grasslands occur within a Traditional Authority Area and have relatively low levels of settlement. Imfume is an area of grassland to the east, which is also of high conservation value as it forms part of the Interior South Coast Grasslands Belt, a Critically Endangered ecosystem. This area also provides an important wildlife corridor between the conservation areas along the iLovu River, Umgababa River, and the Umkhomazi River. This grassland occurs within a Traditional Authority Area and has relatively low levels of settlement.

Umkhomazi River Valley is a large area of Eastern Valley Bushveld, situated in the upper catchment of the Umkhomazi River, and which forms the northern boundary of the Ugu Municipal Area. Other formal environmental areas include Umtamvuna, Mbubazi, Mpenjati, Skyline, and Oribi Gorge. This area occurs within a Traditional Authority Area and has relatively low levels of settlement due to the steep topography. There are also a number of unprotected environmental areas within the area which are undeveloped /untransformed and consist of grassland, dense bush and forests. High biodiversity areas represent a substantial fraction of the area. Mpambanyoni River Valley is an area of Ngongoni Veld (Vulnerable) and Eastern Valley Bushveld situated in the upper catchment of the Mpambanyoni River. This area occurs within a Traditional Authority Area and has relatively low levels of settlement. Other river valleys which the district considers crucial, endangered, vulnerable and are considered environmentally sensitive areas, they include Fafa River Valley, Mtwalume River Valley, Quha River Valley, Kwa-Malukaka Valley, Mzumbe River Valley, and Umzimkhulu River Valley. There are several pockets of Scarp Forest occurring within and surrounding Vernon Crookes Nature Reserve. These forests occur on private properties, between areas of commercial sugarcane. Other forests which are environmentally sensitive, endangered and must be protected include Ntimbankulu Forest and Weza State Forest.

3.1.4.8 Industrial Hubs


There are several industrial hubs which are observed within the region, and they include Weza Sawmill Merensky which is a theatre of manufacturing and storage/ warehouse activities within Umuziwabantu area, Izotsha Industrial Development Corridor which is a theatre of manufacturing and storage/ warehouse activities within the RN area, Other industrial activities are found in towns such as Marburg and Port Shepstone which also extensively contribute in the economic growth of the municipality. The areas that are currently zoned as industrial areas within Umdoni include 1 Area in Scottburgh (adjacent to the N2), 1 Area in Park Rynie (adjacent to the N2 off ramp), 1 Area in Esparanza (south), Specific sites in Umzinto North and Specific sites in Umzinto South.

3.1.4.9 Tourism Activities and Farms

Tourism is a key sector which can and should be developed through the development of tourism products based on the diverse natural and cultural resource base of the municipality. within the area of Umzumbe, it is identified as one of the economic opportunity areas that requires attention and investment. The district has identified several tourism opportunities and projects in Umzumbe, with the focus being on agri-heritage and cultural tourism.

Tourism assets which umdoni area is richly blessed with includes; Beaches: Scottburgh Beach and its grass-covered banks is one of the main attractions as it has established itself as the popular holiday resort destination for South Africans and foreign dwellers; Golfing: Along the South Coast Umdoni is identified as one of the hidden treasures of the Golf coast. The Golf course has 18 holes and is situated on 200 hectares of indigenous coastal forest. It has fauna and flora and magnificent vistas that make it a breath-taking experience to explore; Caravan Parks and Camp Sites. Scottburgh Caravan parks are privately owned seaside resorts and campsites are owned by the municipality. TC Robertson Nature Reserve: This nature reserve is located just 10 min walk from the centre of Scottburgh and from the main beach area. It has become a popular picnic venue with over 180 species of birds, and bushbuck grey duiker and blue duiker and Croc World.

This tourist attraction was established in 1985 and it is popularly known for its spectacular inland valley views and indigenous trees and plants. It houses a large collection of snakes and showcases endangered other species such as tortoises and therefore described as the reptile and bird park. Adventure tourism is already an important draw card for the Ugu District, but to date the focus has been on coastal adventure tourism activities such as diving, fishing and related water sports. Other tourism activities ensue from Oribi Gorge include but not limited to hiking, river crafting, zip line, wild swing and sliding.



Map 3.1.4.1: Ugu District Municipality Broad Land Uses

3.1.5 Land Ownership

Most of the households in the Ugu District Municipality's area of jurisdiction are owned and fully paid off by their occupants (61%), occupied rent free is 9% and rented also 16%. The households that are owned but not yet paid off are 9%. It is important to further interrogate the tenure / ownership type of the ownership as most of the ownership type is long term lease or PTO the title deed being limited only to the urban areas as the rural areas are under Ingonyama Land Trust and 79% of the population reside in the traditional areas. The table and chart below show the land ownership of the district.





Figure 3.1.5.1: Ugu District Municipality Land Ownership
Source: Community Survey Stats SA 2016



Map 3.1.5.2: Ugu District Land Ownership Source: Ugu DM SDF, 2018


3.1.6 Land Reform

Land reform is an integral part of the transformation of the South African urban and rural landscape and limited success has been achieved to date across South Africa. Where it has been implemented it has been generally regarded as unsuccessful leaving communities and individuals destitute. There are quite a number of land claims that were lodged within the district. However, a few of these claims were transferred into projects, inter alia such as Nzimkwe, Impenjati and Khoshwani. There are also a number of gazetted restitution claims within the area. This includes Beshawu claim, Ezinqoleni claim, kwaXolo claim, Madlala claim, Masakhana claim, Mathulini claim, Mavundla claim and Oshabeni claim. The importance of implementing successful land reform initiatives can, however, not be doubted. Such initiatives should contribute to improving household food security and supporting economic development in the District. As the focus of spatial planning is on the appropriate use of land, it is of specific importance that the impact of spatial planning proposals in the district on all facets of land reform be considered. The different facets of the land reform programme include: land redistribution; land restitution; and land tenure.

3.1.7 Land Potential

Much of development proposals presented in local economic development strategies require access to land. Examples of this include the development of industrial areas, the expansion of agricultural production, the establishment of new residential developments and more. From initial spatial and land use assessment, it has, however, been concluded that land in the Ugu District should be viewed as a scarce resource. Map 3.1.7.1 quantifies the current land use situation in the Ugu District, and specifically considers the availability of undeveloped land for development.

Although there appears to be substantial land available for development in the Ugu District, much of this land has a slope of greater than 13° which severely restricts the development potential. Approximately 30% of the land in the District can be classified as settled areas (including the urban edge and dense rural settlement). Sugar cane and forestry occupies 20% of the land with commercial crops and orchards occupying only 0.5% of the land. The land available for development is generally located in small pockets and / or not well-located (i.e. located on river flood plains) and therefore not necessarily available for development. Low density rural and urban settlement areas also continue to expand and reduce the extent of land available for development. Also, to be considered is that sugar cane land, if used for alternative production or urban land uses, reduces the land for sugar cane and may impact on the longer-term sustainability of the two sugar mills located in the District. These are major contributors to the economic output of the District.



Map 3.1.6.1: Ugu District Land Reform Status

Management Plan, Air Quality Management Plan, Air Quality Management by-laws, etc. Other pockets of excellence include intergovernmental and inter-sectoral forums and social responsibility projects. However, law enforcement on formal mining activities remains a major area of concern. Major gaps were identified when the five-year IDP was developed in 2017 with respect to Coastal Management programme as required by the Integrated Coastal Management Act of 2009, Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation Strategy, Invasive Alien Species Management Plan, Health, and Hygiene Education Strategy. Some progress has been made to date the Coastal Management Programme and Climate Change Vulnerability Strategy are in place (See Chapter 8 for all the strategies and plans in place). The municipality will continue to prioritise the development of the remaining strategies and plans.

3.2.1 Key Hydrological features

The National Water Act (1998) recognises that water is a scarce resource and that there is a need for the integrated management of all aspects of water resources. The SDF promotes the concept of catchment management as a means of addressing biodiversity management, resource management and settlement planning. Catchment management therefore proposes that catchment systems be understood as a primary informant in planning processes for the purpose of improving and integrating the management of land, water and related natural resources, in order to achieve more sustainable use of available resources.

A critical factor here will be understanding the capacity of catchment systems to supply fresh water and to sustain land uses and settlement activity within the catchment area, even where land use and settlement growth is sensibly planned and effectively managed. Applying a catchment management approach means recognising that the land has limits in terms of its carrying capacity. It means aligning development to the identified limits

to the resources available using catchments as a tool for describing a specific resource unit which is appropriate for spatial planning at a regional scale. A catchment management approach must align with and respond to the establishment of the Mzumvubu to Kieskamma Catchment Management Agencies (CMA) to be established in terms of the National Water Act of 1998 and the latest National Water Resources Strategy.

The banks of these rivers contain high value biodiversity such as natural vegetation and ecosystems. The district is also characterised by a number of wetlands areas which are connected to the major catchments areas such as the rivers and the ocean. According to the Ugu Biodiversity Sector Plan (2014) the sub-quaternary catchments for the Mzimkhulu, Mtamvuna and portions of the Mtwalume catchment have been identified as National Freshwater Ecosystem Priority Areas (NFEPA) which are a priority for meeting national aquatic conservation targets.

Furthermore, it is also important to note that these two major perennial rivers are identified as being in a good condition (A/B class) despite the current levels of modification in the district (Ugu Biodiversity Sector Plan). In the context of Umuziwabantu, the Uvungu River is identified as being moderately affected by modification (C-class) due to the nature of a coastal area, there are a large number of catchments within the UDM, ranging from

small localised catchments spanning a few square kilometres to the Mzimkhulu and Umkomaas Catchments stretching back to their headwaters in the Drakensberg Mountains. There is a common theme throughout the UDM in that there are no major dams or impoundments, only several small local supply dams. The majority of the area is characterised as moderately erodible, with a smaller portion characterised as highly erodible (Rooseboom, 1992). This was thought to be as a result of the moderate to high rainfall erosivity, based on the EI30 (maximum rainfall intensity values for a 30-minute period) values for the area (Rooseboom, 1992). This subsection provides a brief summary of the remaining significant catchments that are smaller than the four main catchments listed about. These smaller significant catchments include the following:

- ☐ Mbizana River – Quaternary T40F.
- ☐ ☐ Mzinto River – Quaternary U80H.
- ☐ ☐ Ufafa River – Quaternary U80G.
- ☐ ☐ Vungu River – Quaternary T40G.
- ☐ ☐ Mzumbe River – Quaternary U80B and U80C.
- ☐ ☐ Mtwalume River – Quaternary U80E and U80F.
- ☐ ☐ Mpambonyani River – Quaternary U80J and U80K.

| Catchment | Description | Area (Km2) |
|----------------------------------|---|------------|
| Lovu River Catchment | The Lovu River Catchment extends up past the UDM boundary, beyond Richmond. This catchment is classified as Tertiary Catchment U70, which contains five Quaternary Catchments, namely U70A – U70E. | 1 031 |
| Umkomaas River Catchment | The Umkomaas River Catchment extends up past the UDM boundary, to its headwaters in the Drakensberg Mountains near Giants Castle. This catchment is classified as Tertiary Catchment U10, which contains 12 Quaternary Catchments, namely U10A – U10M. | 4 387 |
| Mzimkhulu River Catchment | The Mzimkhulu River Catchment extends up past the UDM boundary, to its headwaters in the Drakensberg Mountains adjacent to Lesotho. This catchment is classified by Tertiary Catchments T51 and T52, which contains 21 Quaternary Catchments, namely T51A – T51J and T52A – T52M. | 6 678 |
| Mtamvuna River Catchment | The Mtamvuna River Catchment extends up past the UDM boundary, to its headwaters located to the south of Kokstad. This catchment is classified by Tertiary Catchment T40, which contains nine Quaternary Catchments, namely T40A – T40J. | 2 737 |


3.2.2 Protected areas

Protected Areas (PAs) fulfil several critical functions within the landscape, most notably they function as the cornerstones of biodiversity conservation and ecological sustainability, biodiversity retrospectivity, climate

change adaptation, provision of ecosystem goods and services, and socioeconomic (particularly rural) development (DEA 2009). It is therefore not surprising that protected areas are seen as one of the most important mechanisms for protecting biodiversity.

Internationally, the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) committed governments to protecting a minimum of 10% of each habitat type by 2010. South Africa's current protected area network falls far short of sustaining biodiversity and ecological processes with only approximately 6% of our land surface set aside and managed as protected areas. This flat target of 10% is relatively arbitrary, with no compelling ecological rationale. In the South African context, with our globally exceptional levels of biodiversity richness, we need a higher level of protection (DEAT & SANBI, 2008).

At present, a total of seven terrestrial formally protected and two marine protected areas (Aliwal Shoal & Trafalgar) occur within the Ugu District (see Table 3.2.2.1). These range in extent from 17 ha (Skyline Nature Reserve) to over 12, 000 ha (Aliwal Shoal Marine Protected Area). Terrestrial protected areas cover a meagre 8883.1 hectares, representing only a small fraction (1.76%) of the land surface within the District (Figure 3.2.2.1). This figure is clearly well below international benchmarks and is grossly inadequate to protect the diversity of species, habitats and processes within the District.



Map 3.2.1.1.: Ugu District River and Catchment Areas

Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

While several informal conservation areas also exist, these areas are not formally protected by law and therefore provide little assurance of long-term protection. These areas include community conservation areas, privately owned reserves, and game ranches. Further efforts are clearly required to expand the existing formal conservation estate with opportunities for building on existing biodiversity commitments shown by landowners through these informal conservation initiatives.

Protected area expansion planning has been undertaken at both a National and Regional level to help direct conservation programs (Figure 3.2.2.1). Unfortunately, these plans have led to little formal action on the ground apart from the conceptual development of a Big 5 Reserve associated with the Mzimkhulu priority area identified in the national plan. Priority areas are also quite different with little alignment between the existing plans. It is hoped that the outcomes of the BSP will help to provide further focus for future conservation efforts.

| Site | Date Proclaimed | Extent (Ha) |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Oribi Gorge Nature Reserve | 1950 | 1745.7 |
| Skyline Nature Reserve | 1986 | 17.1 |
| Mbumbazi Nature Reserve | 1986 | 2022.9 |
| Mehlomnyama Nature Reserve (Managed by DWA) | 1908 | 160.6 |
| Umtamvuna Nature Reserve | 1971 | 2653.0 |
| Vernon Crookes Nature Reserve | 1973 | 2188.9 |
| Mpenjati Nature Reserve | 1985 | 94.9 |
| Total | | 8883.1 (1.76%) |
| Marine Protected Areas | | |
| Aliwal Shoal Marine Protected Area | 2004 | 12461.5 |
| Trafalgar Marine Reserve | 1979 | 552 |

Table 3.2.2.1: Formal Protected Areas within Ugu District

Source: Draft EMF, 2013

3.2.3 Management Effectiveness and Pressures Facing Protected Areas

An assessment of the management effectiveness of protected areas managed by EKZNW was undertaken in 2010. This assessment was based largely on the Management Effectiveness Tracking Tool (METT) developed by WWF and the World Bank (WB) in 2007. The results of the assessment are summarised in Table 3.2.2.2, below. This clearly shows that all the sites assessed fell short of the 77% minimum standard which was set by the Management Effectiveness Task Team with sites ranging in scores from

53% to 64%. This suggests that further efforts are required to improve management of existing protected areas and so ensure that the few biodiversity assets within such areas receive the protection required. The level of


pressure facing different protected areas is also worth noting (see Table 3.2.1.3.2). Of relevance, is the very high pressure associated

with Umtamvuna Nature Reserve which ranked highest in the Province. This suggests that special attention needs to be given to this area to ensure that these pressures are appropriately mitigated and managed.

Table 3.2.3.2: Summary results of the METT assessment

Source: Draft EMF, 2013

| Site | Extent | Effectiveness | Pressure |
|--|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Oribi Gorge Nature Reserve | 1745.7 ha | 60 % | Medium |
| Skyline Nature Reserve | 17.1 ha | 63 % | Medium |
| Mbumbazi Nature Reserve | 2022.9 ha | 53 % | Medium |
| Mehlomnyama Nature Reserve (Managed by DWA) | 160.6 ha | No Data | No Data |
| Umtamvuna Nature Reserve | 2653.0 ha | 60 % | Very High |
| Vernon Crookes Nature Reserve | 2188.9 ha | 59 % | Low |
| Mpenjati Nature Reserve | 94.9 ha | 64 % | High |



Map 3.2.2.1: Ugu District Protected Areas

Source: Ugu GIS, 2017

3.2.4 Biodiversity

Critical Biodiversity Areas are natural or near natural landscapes that are considered critical for meeting biodiversity targets and thresholds, and which safeguard areas required for the persistence of viable populations of species and the functionality of ecosystems. Critical Biodiversity Areas or CBAs include features, habitats and landscapes that are considered to be most important for biodiversity conservation and securing the preservation of important ecosystem functioning and processes. Terrestrial, aquatic, estuarine and marine CBAs. Terrestrial CBAs describe terrestrial areas within the District which are of particularly high conservation significance.

Protected Areas and sites of conservation importance are automatically included in the CBA network by virtue of their formal protection status. Additional datasets used to classify and derive the terrestrial CBA layer included Critical Biodiversity Areas (formally Biodiversity Priority Areas) defined in the EKZNW Terrestrial Systematic Conservation Plan, irreplaceable linkages using the coverage of Landscape Ecological corridors for the Province and terrestrial features/areas identified as critically important for terrestrial conservation efforts based on input from local experts in the District. The majority of these CBA's are "irreplaceable", meaning their natural status meets the biodiversity targets and thresholds and this may require the municipality to adopt mechanisms in dealing and managing such areas of significance which forms a major component of the municipal natural assets.

3.2.5 Households located in hazardous areas

Due to the nature of a coastal area, there are a large number of catchments within the UDM, ranging from small localised catchments spanning a few square kilometres to the Mzimkhulu and Umkomaas Catchments stretching back to their headwaters in the Drakensberg Mountains. There is a common theme throughout the UDM in that there are no major dams or impoundments, only several small local supply dams. These impoundments are quantified in terms of volume and surface area within each sub-section to follow. The majority of the area is characterised as moderately erodible, with a smaller portion characterised as highly erodible (Rooseboom, 1992). This was thought to be as a result of the moderate to high rainfall erosivity, based on the EI30 (maximum rainfall intensity values for a 30-minute period) values for the area (Rooseboom, 1992).

Furthermore, the majority of wetlands in the Ugu DM occur within higher rainfall areas, resulting in high densities of wetlands along the coastal region and fewer located inland. As a result of intensive urban development along the coast and agricultural practices (commercial and informal), an estimated 67% of wetland areas have been subject to transformation, significantly affecting the ecosystem services derived from these resources. While no critically endangered wetland types were identified in the provincial assessment, more than 50% of wetlands fall within an endangered wetland vegetation type. The national assessment paints a worse picture with many wetland vegetation groups classified as critically endangered in the study area. These are all areas which are considered as environmentally sensitive due to, inter alia, their ecosystem benefits. There are approximately 7785 households within Ugu District that are located on hydrologically sensitive areas. The wetlands and the rivers are protected by various pieces of environmental legislations. With the recent climate change events leading to excessive heavy rainfall and floods, it has become more important for these situations to be avoided.

3.2.6 Vegetation

A range of vegetation types extend across the UDM and are grouped within three biomes, namely the Indian Ocean Coastal belt, Savannah biome inland of the coastal belt, and a small section of the grassland biome west of Harding and extending north towards Kokstad. Transformation has significantly impacted in the extent of remaining natural habitat, particularly along the coast and in higher lying inland regions. High levels of transformation in the study area have contributed to five vegetation types being classified as critically endangered and a further three vegetation types of the study area while 24% of vegetation types are vulnerable, while only 17% are classified as least threatened.


A national process has also been undertaken to identify and list threatened ecosystems. Threatened Ecosystems are those ecosystems (in this case defined as a vegetation type) that are currently under threat of being transformed by other land uses. The first national list of threatened terrestrial ecosystems for South Africa was gazetted on 9 December 2011 (National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act: National list of ecosystems that are threatened and in need of protection, G 34809, GoN 1002, 9 December 2011). The Biodiversity Act (Act 10 of 2004) provides for listing of threatened or protected ecosystems, in one of four categories: critically endangered (CR), endangered (EN), vulnerable (VU) or protected.

Table 3.2.3.1: KZN Vegetation Type

| KZN Vegetation Name | Historical Area (Ha) | Extent (%) of DM | Extent Remaining (Ha) | % lost within DM |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Critically Endangered | 177755 | 35.5% | 67310 | |
| KwaZulu-Natal Coastal Belt Grassland | 124673 | 24.9% | 45294 | 63.7% |
| KwaZulu-Natal Coastal Forests: Southern Mesic Coastal Lowlands Forest | 4467 | 0.9% | 3830 | 14.3% |
| KwaZulu-Natal Coastal Forests: Southern Moist Coastal Lowlands Forest | 1882 | 0.4% | 1646 | 12.5% |
| KwaZulu-Natal Dune Forests: East Coast Dune Forest | 286 | 0.1% | 192 | 32.9% |
| KwaZulu-Natal Sandstone Sourveld | 9202 | 1.8% | 2375 | 74.2% |
| Pondoland-Ugu Sandstone Coastal Sourveld | 37245 | 7.4% | 13973 | 62.5% |
| Endangered | 115459 | 23.0% | 45081 | |
| Eastern Mistbelt Forests | 3005 | 0.6% | 2353 | 21.7% |
| Midlands Mistbelt Grassland | 32948 | 6.6% | 5494 | 83.3% |

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| Moist Coast Hinterland Grassland | 79506 | 15.9% | 37234 | 53.2% |
| Vulnerable | 122010 | 24.4% | 83019 | |
| Drakensberg Foothill Moist Grassland | 4869 | 1.0% | 4003 | 17.8% |
| Dry Coast Hinterland Grassland | 63896 | 12.8% | 38935 | 39.1% |
| KwaZulu-Natal Coastal Belt Thornveld | 53245 | 10.6% | 40081 | 24.7% |
| Least Threatened | 85828 | 17.1% | 77847 | |
| Eastern Scarp Forests: Southern Coastal Scarp Forest | 3110 | 0.6% | 2952 | 5.1% |
| Eastern Valley Bushveld | 65870 | 13.1% | 59629 | 9.5% |
| KwaZulu-Natal Highland Thornveld | 11152 | 2.2% | 9764 | 12.4% |
| KwaZulu-Natal Hinterland Thornveld | 474 | 0.1% | 466 | 1.7% |
| Pondoland Scarp Forests | 4888 | 1.0% | 4712 | 3.6% |
| Subtropical Seashore Vegetation | 334 | 0.1% | 324 | 3.0% |
| Total | 501052 | 100.0% | 273257 | 45.5% |

Source: Draft EMF, 2013



Map 3.2.3.1: Biodiversity Conservation

Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

3.2.7 Climate Change

The climate of Ugu DM can be categorised into three distinct climate zones. These are coastal, inland north and inland south:


- The coastal climatology is influenced largely by the proximity to the warm Agulhas Ocean current. The thermal heat retentive capacity of the ocean reduces the diurnal temperature range and thus results in more mild temperatures along the coastal areas. The warm ocean current along the eastern coast provides water that is more predisposed to evaporation. Coastal areas therefore experience high humidity and significantly more precipitation than inland and west coast areas.
- The inland climate zones do not receive the mitigation effect of proximity to the ocean and therefore have a larger diurnal temperature range. This is particularly noted in the northern inland areas which as the highest annual average daytime temperature. The inland southern areas partly cover higher altitude areas and will therefore have a reduced annual average temperature with increased altitude inland. The precipitation profile is very similar between the inland northern and southern areas and both exhibit reduced precipitation from that which is noted toward the coast.

The effects of climate change in South Africa are not limited to increased water scarcity in some parts of the country and drastic qualitative changes in the water supply, but extend to losses in biodiversity and rangelands, which impacts in the farming and agricultural sector, as well as possible increases in infectious and respiratory diseases. Climate change will have a significant impact on food availability, food accessibility and food systems stability. Climate change affects the large proportion of South Africa's population who have a low resilience to extreme climate events due to poverty, inadequate housing infrastructure and location. Climate change affects the decision-making processes of the vulnerable poor people in South African communities, such as; where they choose to live and which areas are sustainable for their livelihoods. In some household's people survive on subsistence farming as they may not have the opportunities to access formal employment channels neither are they close to any public facilities which could enable them to access employment opportunities. Therefore, in such cases subsistence farming becomes a way of life and survival. However, because of climate change, this form of livelihood is mostly threatened leaving subsistence farmers vulnerable to drought or forced to relocate from one area to another, where there is rainfall or access to water in order to survive. In some cases, the inability to access potable water forces people to relocate into other areas where water is available. These patterns then directly affect settlement patterns, in terms of where most informal settlements tend to conglomerate within a Ugu area of jurisdiction. Furthermore, they determine which parts of municipal areas have the most sporadic development of informal settlements despite efforts by planners and local authorities to curb housing backlog and demand. Climate change may also influence the switch from subsistence farming as a form of livelihood to people moving closer to areas in which they can access public facilities or areas of mobility such that they have access to economic opportunities in the market. Cities are also required to deal with the rising threats of climate change and dwindling resources. These constraints mean that cities that do not plan adequately will face higher costs to residents, a decline in welfare, and reduced economic competitiveness. Preparations for these circumstances typically require decades of forward-thinking development guidance. Climate change is recognized as the major environmental problem facing the globe. Escalating greenhouse gas emissions contribute towards climate change and will ultimately impact on human health, food security, natural resources, sea level rise, land loss and coastal

infrastructure. Climate change embraces far more than temperature change and may include changes in rainfall patterns, sea level rise, the spread of infectious disease such as malaria, increase alien vegetation invasion and loss of biodiversity:

- An increase in the frequency and intensity of floods and droughts;
- A decrease in water availability due to changed rainfall patterns and increased evaporation; this will affect subsistence dry land farmers the most.
- An increase in erosional capacity of river courses, resulting in the loss of more top soil, thus decreasing the agricultural value of land and increasing siltation in dams.
- Infrastructural damage as a result of extreme weather events causing flooding, affecting human wellbeing and safety as well as insurance costs;
- An increase in erosion of coastal areas due to sea-level rise;
- Higher energy consumption due to increased residential cooling load;
- An increase in economic losses due to property damage and decreased tourism revenue;
- An increase in heat-related vector-borne (e.g. malaria) and water-borne (e.g. cholera) illnesses;
- An increase in heat stress, leading to dehydration, particularly for those that reside in the urban areas, as well as children and the elderly;
- Changes in the geographical distribution of plants and animals with extinction of species that are unable to move and an increase in the prevalence of alien invasive species. This will negatively affect the biodiversity of the Ugu Area of jurisdiction and the associated goods and services;
- Further loss of critically endangered grassland habitats as they are outcompeted by woody species able to utilize the higher concentrations of CO₂ in the atmosphere.
- A reduction in yield of staple food crops, such as maize;
- Changes in the optimal planting and harvesting dates for crops as well as land suitable for crop production;
- Heat stress increasing livestock and poultry mortality rates;
- An increase in respiratory problems in the city due to a decrease in air quality (e.g. changes in the concentration and distribution of near-surface ozone) and increased dampness; and
- Deterioration of foods leading to increased incidents of food-borne diseases.

The likely climate change impacts have been assessed and plans, programmes and projects have been developed to assist the Ugu District in dealing with these impacts. The mitigation and adaptation work streams of the MCPP are in the Energy Office and the Environmental Planning and Climate Protection Department respectively.



Map 3.2.4.1: General Climatic Conditions and Climate Change

Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

3.3 Environmental Health Services (EHS)

The environment in which people live has the potential to be promotive of health or to impact negatively on health due to the presence of various environmental health risks. The Economic Development and Environmental Services Department which is tasked to mitigate against such risks and ensure promotion of a healthy environment. EHS cannot achieve this in isolation but works with various internal and external role players to impact on the nine (9) core functional areas of the Municipal Health services as defined in the National Health Act.

3.3.1 Food Control

All food outlets are inspected regularly, to ensure that they comply with health requirements and, that food is prepared under sound and hygienic conditions, to prevent foodborne illnesses. In those instances where contraventions are found, the necessary statutory notice is served, to ensure compliance. This includes:

- i. Food safety in respect of acceptable microbiological and chemical standards and quality of all food for human consumption and optimal hygiene control throughout the food supply chain from point of origin, all primary ground, or raw products production up to the point of consumption.
- ii. Food inspection at production, distribution and consumption area
- iii. Informal Street Trading Monitoring.
- iv. Food premises inspection and control of stationary nuisances.
- v. Enforcement of food legislation and Codex Alimentarius.
- vi. Food quality monitoring programmes and principles through various techniques e.g. HACCP.
- vii. Promote the safe transportation, handling, storage and preparation of food stuffs used in the Primary School Nutrition programme (PSNP), Prisons, Health Establishments, Airports and ect.
- viii. Promote safe handling of meat and meat products including Abattoir inspections.
- ix. Promote the safe handling of milk and milk products.

3.3.2 Water Quality Monitoring

It is our objective, to ensure that water consumed by the residents of Ugu District, is safe and wholesome and water utilized for recreational purposes is in compliance to regulations thereby preventing water borne diseases. To this end, water samples are taken monthly, from various sources, viz. tankers, standpipes, rivers, lagoons, and boreholes, for testing. Monitoring water quality and availability,

- including mapping of water source. Enforcement of laws and regulations related to water quality management.
- Ensuring water safety in respect of safe quality (microbiological and chemical), and accessibility to an adequate quantity for domestic use as well as in respect of the quality of water for recreational, industrial, food production and any other human and animal use.
- Ensuring that water supplies that are readily accessible to Communities and to the planning, design, management and health surveillance of community water supplies that are readily accessible to Communities.

- Ensuring monitoring and effective waste water treatment and water pollution control
- Advocacy on proper and safe water and waste water usage.
- Water sampling and testing

3.3.3 Communicable Diseases Control

Our role is preventative, rather than curative, therefore, Environmental

Health Practitioners timeously investigate all communicable diseases. Health Education normally reinforce this, to ensure long term behavioural changes and, to reduce disease morbidity and mortality.

- Health and hygiene promotion is aimed at prevention of environmentally induced diseases and related communicable diseases.
- Collection analyses and dissemination of epidemiological data and information (c) Use of Participatory Hygiene and Sanitation Training (PHAST) approaches for effective control measures at Community Level.
- Epidemiological surveillances of diseases.
- Establishment of effective Environmental Health Surveillance and Information System.
- Develop environmental health measures with protocols reference to epidemics.

3.3.4 Vector Control

Vector control monitoring includes:

- ☐ identification of vectors, their habits and breeding places.
- ☐ Vector control of public health interest including the control of anthropoids, molluscs, rodents and other alternative hosts of diseases.

Investigations of issues that have a direct bearing on vector control, such as the infestation of premises with rodents, cockroaches, and wood borers, is addressed during the routine inspection of all food outlets. It is mandatory for the owner/ licensee of a food premises, to provide a certificate from a registered pest controller, indicating that the food premises have been sprayed against disease vectors.

3.3.5 Hazardous Chemical Substances

As part of the STOP (safety towards our people) initiative, premises are also inspected for hazardous chemical substances. It is necessary for those premises, responsible for the handling, storage and sale of hazardous chemical, substances, to ensure that such premises are registered with the Provincial Department of Health.

In terms of control and monitoring the following is undertaken:

- ☐ Ensuring that substances are correctly labelled.
- ☐ Ensuring that all active ingredients are indicated.
- ☐ Ensuring that warning signs are indicated.
- ☐ Ensuring that precautions are taken during storage and transportation and all protective gear is utilized during handling.

- ☐ To control the importation, manufacture, sale, operation, application, modification or dumping of such substances.
- ☐ To undertake licensing and registration of premises.
- ☐ Ensure that empty containers are disposed of according to requirements

3.3.6 Disposal of the Dead

All bodies must be disposed in terms of the mortals remains regulations and, provision is also made in our Public Health By-laws, for such disposal. Disposal of the dead involves the monitoring of funeral undertakers, mortuaries, embalmers, crematoriums, graves and cemeteries for compliance, and managing, controlling and monitoring exhumations and the reburial or disposal of human remains. EHPs are responsible for issuing a certificate of competence to compliant operators.

In order to prevent the occurrence of nuisances at such premises, regulations for governing the establishment and operation of mortuaries are also explained to undertakers.

3.3.7 Surveillance of Premises

Regular inspections are conducted of residential, business and public premises, to ensure they comply with the necessary health legislation. In the event of non- compliance, the necessary statutory notices are served.

Residential, business and public premises are regularly monitored to ensure that there are no health nuisances inter-alia, the following.

- Prevention and abatement of any condition on any premises which is likely to constitute a danger to health includes:
- Assessment of any overcrowded, dirty or unsatisfactory condition in any residential, commercial, industrial or other occupied premises.
- Monitoring all buildings and all other temporary or permanent physical structure for residential, public or institutional use (including health care and other care, detainment, work and recreation including travel, tourism, holiday resorts and camping sites) as well as the facilities in connection therewith and the immediate precincts thereof.

3.3.8 Environmental Health Education

Health education is a very critical aspect of environmental health, as some of the health issues are being taken for granted by communities. This includes unhygienic food preparations, food contamination because of unhygienic surroundings and other factors such as non-compliance with basic standards, etc.

The lack of education is a compounding factor to the high levels of ignorance of health-related issues amongst disadvantaged populations. Health education, being a critical aspect in environmental health, is prioritized for timely and accurate information to be disseminated to these disadvantaged communities. With more emphasis on

appropriate water and sanitation practices and proper prevention techniques regarding food contamination, unhygienic surroundings can be eliminated.

Health education therefore creates awareness amongst rural and urban communities as people would be able to identify existing health risks as well as risky behaviour in their surroundings. Health Education also addresses other natural aspects of health risks in relation to:

- Water resources and general environmental pollution;
- Nurture proper behaviour and provide skills to identify health risks;
- Continuous education at schools, communities, and businesses to sensitize and ensure prevention before cure;
- Control and containment of communicable diseases; and
- Due to the increase in positive Rabies cases within KZN, a Rabies Action Group (RAG) has been constituted to promote the vaccination of all dogs annually, and to promote awareness of the fatal disease. Community Health Workers have been trained and will be assisting in all areas within the district. The Environmental Health staff assists the Dept. of Veterinary Services with the Rabies vaccination campaigns.

3.3.9 Environmental Pollution

The environment must be free of harmful gases and particulate matter, as the inhaling of such gases, can have a serious consequential bearing on the health and well-being of residents. Environmental pollutants have various adverse health effects from early life. Some of the most important harmful effects are perinatal disorders, infant mortality, respiratory disorders, allergy, malignancies, cardiovascular disorders, increase in stress oxidative, mental disorders, and various other harmful effects

Environmental Health Officials have a vital role to play in terms of

- Ensuring hygienic working, living and recreational environments
- Identifying the polluting agents and sources of water, air and soil pollution.
- Taking the required preventative measures to ensure that the general environment is free from health risks
- Ensuring the registration, permitting, monitoring and auditing of all industries, activities, trade etc which involves controlling the internal effects of pollution on the worker and the external effects of pollution on the community and the environment.

3.3.10 Waste management control

Environmental Health Services, together with the local municipalities, play an important role in the management of waste, throughout the entire Ugu district. Refuse generated from residential and business premises, must be properly disposed of, to prevent health nuisance. To this end, recycling initiatives are particularly encouraged and, clean-up campaigns undertaken at taxi ranks. Environmental Health Services is responsible for monitoring the collection, storage, transportation and disposal of waste, in terms of the

“cradle- to grave principle.”

Environmental Health Officials exercise continuous efforts to educate and prevent individuals from affecting the environment in such a way that it becomes detrimental to their well-being and development. This is ensured by:

- ☐ Ensuring the proper refuse storage, collection, transportation, transfer and processing, materials recovery and final disposal.
- ☐ Ensuring proper management of liquid waste including sewage and industrial effluents.
- ☐ Ensuring the proper storage, collection, transportation, handling and disposal of Health care risk waste and hazardous waste
- ☐ Advocating proper sanitation and waste disposal
- ☐ Ensuring safe usage of treated sewage sludge and ensuring that reclaimed waste is safe for health.
- ☐ Ensuring waste management and adherence to the cradle to grave approach.

3.4 Spatial and Environment Trend Analysis

The situational analysis undertaken above culminated in the identification of a few spatial and environment trends which the municipality needs to redress,

address and mitigate. These trends are dissected below by undertaking a brief cause and effect analysis. The understanding of their causes and implications

can assist in mitigating their effects or in terms of prioritisation. Table 3.4.1 below provides an indication of the typology of the trend and the nature of responses

that should be employed.

Table 3.4.1: Spatial and Environment Trend Analysis

| Challenge | Cause | Effect | Response Type | Responses |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| Spatial Planning Challenges | | | | |
| Inequitable development along the inland | Apartheid planning | Underdevelopment | Redress | Develop previously neglected areas |
| Settlement sprawl | Lack of effective land use management | Creation of dispersed, inefficient low density rural settlements | Address & Mitigate | Introduction of settlement edges |
| Lack of effective land use management system | Lack of enforcement | Settlement sprawl and loss of valuable land | Address | Implementation of land use scheme within the entire district municipality |
| Derelict small towns-built form (UMzinto and Ezingolweni) | Declining economy | Unattractiveness | Address | Introduction of urban renewal / small town rehabilitation |
| Cross border rural settlement conurbation | Settlement sprawl | Consolidation of cross-border settlements | Address | Introduction of urban renewal / small town rehabilitation |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Spatial inefficiency | Dispersed settlements and disjuncture between settlements and economic opportunities | Lack of access to economic opportunities | Address & Mitigate | Cross-border planning to ensure uniformity and continuity |
| Rural Population Outmigration | Lack of rural opportunities | Poverty/ Indigent Pockets | Address | Creation of self-sufficient settlements |
| Environment | | | | |
| Climate change impacts | Greenhouse gas emissions | Natural catastrophes | Mitigate and adapt | Implementation of mitigation and adaptation measures as per climate change strategy |
| Environmental degradation | Settlement sprawl | Loss of natural assets | Address & Mitigate Apply environmental management zoning (EMZs) as per EMF | Institution of environmental rehabilitation programmes Implementation of EMF and SDF |

3.5 Spatial and Environment SWOT Analysis

The SWOT Analysis exercise was undertaken to highlight the key challenges pertaining to spatial and environment situational analysis as captured in Table

3.5.1 below.

Table 3.5.1: Spatial and Environment SWOT Analysis

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Strategically located – gateway to Eastern Cape <input type="checkbox"/> Wall – Wall Schemes <input type="checkbox"/> Geographic Information's Systems <input type="checkbox"/> Well-developed strategic development documents <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental By-laws in place | <input type="checkbox"/> Topography <input type="checkbox"/> Limited land for development <input type="checkbox"/> Limited rural development <input type="checkbox"/> Settlement sprawl <input type="checkbox"/> Implementation of strategic documents <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of land use management mechanisms <input type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity and Protected Areas <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental degradation <input type="checkbox"/> National norm of 1:10 000 people not adhered to <input type="checkbox"/> IDP credibility declining <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of cooperation towards development (internally and externally) <input type="checkbox"/> Non-completion of environmental management strategic tools |

| Opportunities | Threats |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Densification <input type="checkbox"/> Access to an accredited laboratory | <input type="checkbox"/> Tenure security <input type="checkbox"/> Rural Population Outmigration <input type="checkbox"/> Climate Change <input type="checkbox"/> Compromised community health as regular inspections cannot be conducted <input type="checkbox"/> Disease outbreak |

3.6 Disaster Management

The Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, places legal an obligation on the government to ensure health and safety of its citizens. Section 41 of the Constitution clearly stipulates the principle of co-operative government and inter-governmental relations and specifically requires the three spheres of government to co-operate with one another in mutual trust and good faith by among others, fostering friendly relations; assisting and supporting one another; informing one another of, and consulting one another on, matters of common interest.

Furthermore, Section 41(1) (b) of the Constitution provides that all spheres of government are required to secure the wellbeing of its citizens. Firefighting services is a local government function with concurrent provincial and national legislative competence in terms of Schedule 4 Part B, of the South African Constitution. Notwithstanding the fact that firefighting services are rendered by the local sphere of government, both provincial and national government also have specific roles and responsibilities in terms of the Constitution, 1996.

In line with Section 43 of the Disaster Management Act 57 of 2002, Ugu District Municipality established the Disaster Management Section in 2005 within its Administration and its fully functional. In 2013 in-line with the Fire Brigades Act 90 of 1987, a decision towards the establishment of District Fire Services Unit was taken.

The District Disaster Management and Fire Services Section ensures an integrated and a wellcoordinated approach to Disaster Risk Management and Fire Services within the district. The Fire Team provide back-up support to those Local Municipalities who has limited capacity and resources. The District Fire Capacity Assessment was conducted by the Provincial Disaster Management Centre (COGTA). The outcome of the study proved that out of 4 municipalities only

2 municipalities were found to be fully functional. The District Disaster Management and Fire Services then initiated support towards those municipalities. The support is provided in a form of grant support, equipment, and man-power.

The Ugu District Disaster has a control center that is shared between Disaster Management and Water Services and operates on a 24/7-hour bases.

The Centre Control Room Number are as follows:

- Land Line: - (039 682 2414)
- Ugu Call Centre Toll Free No: - 0800 092 837
- SMS System: - 33131

3.6.1 Municipal Institutional Capacity

Section 78 stipulates that in case where a municipality decides to provide a service through internal mechanism, it must assess direct and indirect costs and benefits associated with the project, assess municipal capacity and its future capacity to furnish skills, expertise and resources required, as well as re-organisation of its administration and development human resource capacity. In addition, Section 79 states that if a municipality decides to provide a municipal service through an internal mechanism mentioned in section 76(a), it must-

- Allocate sufficient human, financial and other resources necessary for the proper provision of the service; and
- Transform the provision of that service in accordance with the requirements of this Act.

The District Disaster Management Centre has a total of 8 permanent staff members and 5 contract support staff members as follows:

- ✚ Head of the Centre (HOC)
- ✚ District Fire Protection Officer
- ✚ 3 x Disaster Management Practitioners
- ✚ 2 x Fire Fighter
- ✚ 1x General Assistant
- ✚ 5 x Fire Trainees

The uGu District took a decision to implement the construction of the district disaster management Centre in phases due to budget constraints. Phase One (1) of the Centre was completed and official opening was done by the MEC of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs took place in 2015. In order for the district to be able to render its continuous full support to Local Municipalities it required a fully-fledged fire station, The Provincial Disaster and the district funded the implementation of the second phase project. Implementation of phase 2 of the is currently in progress and near its completion. Phase 1 of the Disaster Management Centre consists of block of offices, boardroom, rest rooms, call Centre, control Centre, 1 store room, whereas phase 2 consist of 3 x fire tenders, accommodation and ablution

facilities for fire tenders, gymnasium and lounge area for fire Fighters, 1x equipment store room, 1x storage area, 12 x lock up storage cubicles.

The District has further approved the District's Fire Reservist who will be supporting the District Fire Team and serving as a backup to Local Municipalities.

Volunteers and Ward Based Structures

Section 58 of the Disaster Management Act (57 of 2002) gives provision for the recruitment and establishment of volunteer units within the district. Through the Volunteer Program the district has ward-based committees within Umzumbe, Ray Nkonyeni and Umuziwabantu Local Municipalities:

A total number of 67 x Volunteers were recruited within Umzumbe, Umdoni and Umuziwabantu they formed part of the ward-based committee representing all wards. This program was linked to EPWP, volunteers receive a stipend and are actively participating in the Disaster Risk Management Programs.

Ugu District Municipal Fire Services Equipment

Ugu District has a total of 3 vehicles for fire and rescue services.

- Fire Truck 6000 Lt
- Land Cruiser - Skid Unit with Light Duty Jaws of Life
- Land Cruiser - Skid Unit with Heavy Duty Jaws of Life

The district has 3 years' Service Level Agreements with 4 LM's that is in- place and each municipality is allocated funds yearly to support Fire and Rescue operations to the amount of R400 000.00 per LM.

Support Local Municipalities for the 2018/19 financial year

| Municipality | Budget | Implementation Plan |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Ray Nkonyeni | R400 000.00 | Appointment of fire fighters |
| Umdoni | R400 000.00 | Appointment of Trainee Fire Fighters |
| UMzumbe | R400 000.00 | Purchase of Jaws of life |
| UMuziwabantu | R400 000.00 | Purchase of Jaws of life |
| Total | R1'600 000.00 | |

Enhancement of Fire Services Capacity in the District & Local Level

In support the district has trained 8 fire fighters on Fire Fighting 1 & 2, Hazmat awareness,

Hazmat Operations and First Aid Level 1. These Fire Fighters are from the 4 Local Municipalities and will be working within their jurisdictions on completion of training as they are permanently employed by municipalities.

The amendment Act 16 of 2015 encourages involvement and incorporation of Traditional Leaders in Disaster Management programs. The district in-collaboration with Local Municipalities have complied with the Act. Continuous engagements with Traditional Council are in place, meetings are used as a platform that discusses Disaster Risk Reduction Programs and capacity building.

Fire And Disaster Management Policies

The District Fire and Rescue Strategy was formulated and is in-place. Fire Bylaws were also developed, adopted by Ugu Council and published in the Provincial Gazette.

In 2013, the municipality also adopted the Ugu district Municipality Disaster Risk Management Policy Framework, which is in line with the national and provincial frameworks

Role Players

The District Fire Services Forum focus on operational issues to ensure a standard and uniform approach by all primary role-players. At the tactical level the institutional arrangements are summarised in Table 3.6.1.2.1. below.

Source: Ugu District Disaster Management Plan, 2019/20

| Structure | Role Player |
|-----------------------|--|
| Risk Reduction | Ugu Disaster Management Unit |
| | Local Municipality Disaster Management Units |
| | Extended Management Committee |
| | Planning Fraternity |
| | Risk Reduction Project Teams |
| | Preparedness Planning Groups |
| Preparedness | Ugu Disaster Management Unit |
| | Local Municipality Disaster Management Units |
| | Management Committee |
| | Joint Response and Relief Management Teams |
| | Recovery & Rehabilitation Project Teams, |
| | Ugu Emergency Control Centre |

Source: Ugu district municipality's disaster and fire sector plan 2019/2020

Table 3.6.9.1: Disaster Management & Fire Services Swot Analysis

| | |
|--|--|
| <p><u>Strengths</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Disaster Management Centre facility is available and is in use by the municipality. This facility can easily be upgraded and equipped to be utilized as a fully operational Disaster Management Centre which integrates multiple role players and agencies as well as an information management and communication system. A fully functional District Disaster Management Advisory Forum (DDMAF) and Practitioners Forum are well established in the Ugu Municipality. All major role players are represented in this forum and attend regular meetings where inputs are provided from all line function departments. | <p><u>Weakness</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> lack of capacity for disaster management at a local municipal level which provides constraints to the district for the implementation of disaster management programs. disaster management plans drafted by the local municipalities are also not being implemented Staff capacity against the extent of disasters in our District e.g. fire services – the areas is too vast to cover with the resources available Lack of Communication Management within the local municipalities |
| <p><u>Opportunities</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A Disaster Management Centre facility is available and is currently in use by the municipality. This facility will be upgraded and equipped to be utilized for both Disaster Management Fire and Rescue Services. | <p><u>Threats</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of DMPs by municipalities as the district plan should contain a consolidation information of all the local plans. The same apply when the various sector departments do not have their own disaster management plans in place which will create gaps in the capacity and state of readiness. |

Source: Ugu district municipality's disaster and fire sector plan 2019/2020

Table 3.6.9.2 Challenges and Interventions for DM and Fire Services as per SWOT Analysis

| Municipality | Challenges for DM and Fire Services | 2019 / 20 Interventions |
|--------------|---|---|
| RNM | <p>□ Addition of Ezingoleni Municipality to RNM resulted in shortage of capacity in terms of fire services.</p> | <p>□ To enhance capacity, Ugu has set aside R400 000 toward the appointment of additional fire fighters</p> |

| | | |
|---------------------|--|---|
| UMDONI | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Addition of Vulamehlo Municipality to RNM resulted in shortage of capacity in terms of fire services. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ To enhance capacity, Ugu has set aside R400 000 toward the appointment of additional fire fighters |
| UMZUMBE | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Municipality has limited resources in terms of both human resources and equipment. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Appointment of Trainee Fire Fighters |
| UMUZIWABANTU | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> □ Municipality has limited resources in terms of both human resources and equipment. □ | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ┆ Appointment of Trainee Fire Fighters ┆ Procurement of Basic Fire Equipment |
| UGU DISTRICT | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ┆ The district has no resources to deal with Hazmat Incidents. ┆ Limited capacity in-terms of control centre Agents | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ┆ Procurement of Spillages Specialised Truck. ┆ Appointment of 2 additional Fire Fighters ┆ Improve Functioning of the District Control Centre by appointment suitable personnel. |

Source: Ugu district municipality's disaster and fire sector plan 2019/2020

District Risk Profile

| UMDONI | RAY NKONYENI | UMUZIWABANTU | UMZUMBE |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Structural Fires | Structural Fires | Structural Fires | Structural Fires |
| Informal Settlement Fires | Informal Settlement Fires | Informal Settlement Fires | Waste Management |
| Veld Fires | Veld Fires | Veld Fires | Veld fires |
| Floods | Floods | Floods | Floods |
| Water Pollution | Hail Storms | Hail Storms | Water Pollution |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| | | | |
| Hazmat by road | Hazmat by road | Hazmat by road | Hazmat by road |
| Strong Winds | Strong Winds | Strong Winds | Strong Winds |
| Lightning and Thunderstorms | Lightning | Lightning & Thunderstorms | Lightning & Thunderstorms |
| Storm Surge | Tidal Waves | Water Pollution | Sand Mining |
| Air Pollution | Water Pollution | Electricity – Illegal Connections | Electricity – Illegal Connections |
| Drowning's | Drowning's | Service Delivery Failure & Disruptions | Drowning's |

Source: Ugu district municipality's disaster and fire sector plan 2019/2020

3.7 Demographic Characteristics

The statistics used in the demographic characteristics analysis were sourced from the Statistics SA Census 2001, 2011 and Community Survey 2016 results.

3.7.1 Population

This section considers the demographic and socio-economic profile of the Ugu District area of jurisdiction making use of data sourced from the Statistics SA Census 2001, 2011 and Community Survey 2016 results and Department of Health.

Table 3.7.1.1 Summary of the demographic profile of the district.

| Demographic Indicators | 2001 | 2011 | 2016 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Crude Birth Rate | 21.6 | 57.4 | 67.5 |
| | Mortality Rates | | |
| Total Mortality Rates | 11.8 | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| Under 5 Mortality rate | 7.2 | 4.9 | 3.4 |
| Infant Mortality Rate | 24.6 | 7.1 | 4.4 |
| Leading cause of death | TB | | TB |
| HIV/AIDS rate (on ART) | | 5900 | 87 349 |
| Life Expectancy | | | |
| Males | | | 57 |
| Females | | | 60 |
| | Population Groups | | |
| Black African | 646 012 | 654 773 | 678 625 |
| Coloured | 5221 | 6123 | 7 228 |
| White | 28 740 | 35 723 | 36 249 |
| Indian | 24 057 | 24 711 | 31 234 |

3.7.2 Population Distribution

The total population of the Ugu District Municipality area of jurisdiction as per the Community Survey 2016 conducted by Statistics South Africa (Stats SA) is approximately 753 336 people. The working age (15 to 64) makes up 58% of the total population whilst the young aged between 0 to 14 years makes 38 % and the elderly aged 65 and above makes the remainder 4%. The growth rate has increased from 0.026 in 2011 to 0.042 in 2016.

The population pyramid of the Ugu District Municipality is typical of a developing country as it shows that growth rates are slow, the birth rate is high and short life expectancy. It is an expansive population pyramid as it reflects larger numbers or percentages of the population in the younger age groups. Furthermore, the sex ratio in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction is 92 males per 100 females which increased from the 83 in 1996 and 2001.

| Demographic indicators | 2001 | 2011 | 2016 |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Population Size | | | |
| Total Population | 704 030 | 722 484 | 753 336 |
| Growth Rates | 0.097 | 0.026 | 0.042 |
| Population Distribution | | | |
| Population Density | 139.48 | 143.13 | 153.49 |
| Traditional | 6 287 | 7 923 | - |
| Population Composition | | | |
| Young (0-14) | 261 698 | 240 503 | 286 823 |
| Working age (15-64) | 399 063 | 434 080 | 433 417 |
| Elderly (65+) | 43 270 | 47 901 | 33 097 |
| Sex Ratio (male/100 women) | 83 | 89 | 92 |
| Dependency Ratio | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.74 |
| Disabled (%) | - | 4 | - |
| Fertility Rates | | | |
| Total Fertility Rate | 89.1 | 86.5 | 67.5 |
| Adolescent Fertility Rate | 32.8 | 9.3 | 9.9 |


Figure 3.7.2.1: Ugu District Population Pyramid (2016)


The expansion of the base of the pyramid which is the 0- 14 age groups is notable in the Ugu District population pyramid above. This points to the fact that there has been much improvement in the programmes of combating under 5 and infant's mortality through various programmes by the stakeholders involved. There has been a remarkable decline in the under 5 and infant mortality rate as depicted in Table 3.7.2.1 above.

3.7.3 Population Density

The current population density of the Ugu District Municipality is 153 people/ km². The coastal belt has the largest population densities as this is the economic hub of the district which is host to the Umdoni and Ray Nkonyeni municipalities. The move further inland sees the population

densities drop as this area is mainly rural and has dispersed settlements. The rural hinterland of Ugu District hosts the Umzumbe, Umuziwabantu and parts of Umdoni and Ray Nkonyeni municipalities.






3.7.4 Households


The Ugu District municipal area of jurisdiction is comprised of 175 146 households, average household size of 4 persons per household. The different types of household dwellings are formal, traditional, informal and caravan or tent. As per the Stats SA 2016 community survey almost two thirds of the types of dwellings in the district are formal (59%), with 31% being traditional and about 9% being informal (see Figure 3.4.2.1.). The growth of formal dwelling types is positive as they are more resistant to different pressures which may mitigate some disasters in the district. In terms of heads of households, the bulk percentage of heads of households is between the age groups 25 – 34 and 35 – 74 years. There is a concern however with the 15 063 (8.6%) households that are headed by age group 15 – 24 years as the majority of these households are headed by teenagers. Furthermore, there is still evidence of child headed households as reflected in Figure 3.7.4.1.

Figure 3.7.4.1: Main dwelling that household currently lives in



Source: Statics SA Community Survey, 2016

Figure 3.7.4.2: Age of Household Head



Source: Statics SA Community Survey, 2016

3.7.5 Demographic Analysis Emerging Key Issues

Although this section does not address all the demographics as some of the demographics are spread throughout the document, there are emerging key issues that can be identified as follows:

- There is a strong perception that young people in Ugu aspire to moving into metro areas given the limited opportunities for both youth development and entertainment within the district. There is concern that land pressures in rural areas are pushing young people off the land as parcels being allocated are getting smaller and smaller and outsiders move into areas. This trend could have long term implications for the district as the young productive population leaves the area.
- The majority population of Ugu District municipality falls within the youth bracket. Furthermore, the predominant population group is African and more than 50% of the population is females. This has far reaching implications for the district as would further be analysed under the LED and Social Development section in terms of service provision and the households' affordability of the services provided. This therefore speaks directly to the municipality's revenue enhancement strategies.
- The majority of the population resides in the traditional areas where the densities are low. In terms of service provision, it costs more to provide services to scattered household settlements and so is the maintenance of infrastructure provided. Furthermore, the demographics advocate for a comprehensive rural development strategy.
- The population is agglomerating in the Ray Nkonyeni Municipality as it is the economic hub of the district. There is thus continuously increasing pressure on the infrastructure capacity in the Ray Nkonyeni Municipality especially the coastal belt. There is an urgent need to deal with the ageing infrastructure and increasing its capacity.
- Many strides have been made to combat HIV / AIDS and TB however, TB remains a leading cause of death in the district. This requires further investigations and more resources to ensure the negative impact of HIV / AIDS and TB is reduced in the district.

3.8 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development Analysis

3.8.1 Powers and Functions

The Municipal Structures Act of 1998 (as amended) makes provision for the division of powers and functions between the district and local municipalities. It assigns the region wide functions to the district municipalities and most day to day service delivery functions to the local municipalities. The provincial MECs are empowered to adjust these powers and functions according to the capacity of the municipalities to deliver services. With regard to the above statement for instance, the function of Water and Sanitation as well as the maintenance of water infrastructure is still the function of the district. Whilst the Local Municipality is responsible for Planning, it is also reliant on the District for advice and support. Amongst other things the powers and functions of the municipalities are as detailed in table 3.8.1.1:

Table 3.8.1.1: Ugu District Powers and Function

| Government Sphere | Function |
|-------------------------|---|
| Local | Storm Water Management; Trading Regulations; Billboards and Public advertising; Cleansing and maintenance; Control of Public Nuisances; Street Lighting; Traffic and Parking; Beaches and amusement parks; Local Amenities; Noise Pollution; Pounds; Public Places; Street Trading; Harbours and Ferries; Local Sports; Street Lighting; Municipal Parks and Recreation; Municipal roads; and Control of Liquor licenses. |
| District | Municipal Health Services; Environmental Services; Potable Water and Sanitation. |
| Shared Functions | Fire prevention and control Local Tourism; Municipal Airports; Municipal Public Transport; Cemeteries, and Crematoria; Markets; Municipal Abattoirs; Municipal Roads; Refuse Removal and Solid Waste; Municipal Planning; and Air pollution. |

Source: Municipal Structures Act, 1998

3.8.2 Institutional Arrangement

The Municipal Structures Act provides for different types of Municipal Systems. The Ugu District Municipality is using a Collective Executive System.

The establishment of ward committees has been finalised in all Local Municipalities. The Municipality has customised the generic ward committee policy that was developed by CoGTA. There were a few challenges that have been identified with regards to the functioning of ward committees such as the lack of capacity among ward committee members, administrative support, reimbursements for out-of-pocket expenses and the general dissatisfaction around the election of committees and the selection criteria thereof. These challenges are currently being addressed and the ward committees are now functioning and have the administrative support and the ward committee members are being reimbursed for the out of pocket expenses. There are 42 Traditional Councils within Ugu Municipality. The new legislative developments encourage Traditional Councils and Municipal Councils to work in partnership on issues of development even though the former is not vested with legislative authority on Municipal Council matters. There are (seven) 7 elected Amakhosi who form part of the Ugu District Municipal Council and all 7 Amakhosi serve in the respective Portfolio Committees. Clearly in all instances responsibility for decision making lies with the Council and the responsibility for implementation of Council Resolutions lies with the Municipal Manager and the officials.

3.8.2.1 Council

The executive and legislative authority of a municipality is vested in its Municipal Council. The pre-eminent roles of the Council, amongst others, are the approval of by-laws, budgets, policies, IDP, tariffs for rates and service charges. The Council consists of thirty-five (35) members, eight (8) of whom are full-time. Furthermore, seven (7) Traditional Leaders are participants in the Ugu District Municipal Council.

3.8.2.2 Executive Committee Structure

The Executive Committee has delegated plenary powers to exercise the powers, duties and functions of Council, excluding those plenary powers expressly delegated to other standing committees and those powers which are wholly resolved to the Ugu District Council. Notwithstanding the above, the Executive Committee is authorised to exercise any of those plenary powers delegated to other Standing Committees in circumstances where any matters from these committees are referred to the Executive Committee. In line with Section 80 of the Municipal Structures Act, the Municipality currently has five multi-party Portfolio Committees, which are, Portfolio Committee on Finance; Water and Sanitation; Local Economic Development; Special Programmes; and Sound Governance and Human Resource.

These Portfolio Committees continue to assist the Executive Committee in policy development and monitoring to accelerate service delivery, as well as the oversight of strategic programmes and projects.

3.8.2.3 Municipal Departments and their functions

The Municipal Manager heads the administration of the Municipality. The Municipality has five administrative departments, each being headed by a General Manager. The departments are:

- Office of the Municipal Manager - The Office of the Municipal Manager is responsible for the following functions: Corporate Strategy and Shared Services; Internal Audit; Mayoralty and Communication; Legal Services; Youth Development; Special Programmes; and Customer Relations Management.
- Corporate Services Department - Corporate Services includes: Human Resources, Registry, Auxiliary Services and Secretariat, Information, and Communication Technology (ICT), Disaster Management and Fleet Management Services.
- Budget and Treasury Office Department - The Budget and Treasury Office is headed by the General Manager BTO and includes: Equity and Accounts, Grants and Expenditure, Budget Control and Supply Chain Management.
- Economic Development and Environmental Services Department - The Economic Development and Environmental Services Department is headed by the General Manager EDES is made up of the following sections: Environmental Services, EDES Finance and LED.
- Water Services Department - The following are the sections which make up the Water Services Department headed by the General Manager Water Services: Water Services Operations and Water Services Authority (WSA), Administration and Project Management Unit.

3.8.3 Municipal Entities

The Ugu District Municipality has two entities which are namely, Ugu South Coast Tourism and South Coast Development Agency. The Ugu –South Coast Tourism (USCT) has been mandated to identify market gaps and needs, develop new tourist projects, attract new tourist (domestic and international) and strategically market the destination. Since its formal inception there's been in improving the distribution of tourism spend in the entire district, better improvement of the events that are hosted by the municipal areas outside our tourist hub. Challenges range from lack of skills, lack of well packaged tourism products, lack of well packaged black economic empowerment transactions in the industry and failure to unlock public sector owned land for the tourism

industry. Key factors impacting on the development of tourism in underdeveloped areas of Ugu are poor road access, ownership of land, and environmental degradation across the district. municipality's area of jurisdiction based on the parent municipality's integrated development plan and policies pertaining to this objective thereby unlocking the economic potential of the Hibiscus Coast area. With focus being on the economic, social and environmental development.

3.8.4 Organisational Analysis

The municipality finalised and adopted a new organogram in October 2017. This section therefore gives a thorough analysis of the new organogram and the vacancy rates within the institution. The current number of employees employed by the Ugu municipality is 859 as broken down in table 3.8.4.1. The total amount spent on the salaries averages to 17 – 18 million per month and R 3 million goes to overtime. The employee costs will be further analysed under the Financial Viability section.

Table 3.8.4.1: Ugu District Employees per Department

| | |
|--|-----|
| Water Services | 586 |
| Economic Development and Environmental Services | 31 |
| Budget and Treasury | 92 |
| Corporate Services | 83 |
| Municipal Manager's Office | 77 |
| Total | 869 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Corporate Services, 2018

The organogram currently has 912 positions approved with only filled making the vacancy rate 5.8 % (budgeted vacancies). The Section 54 and 56 posts has 3 filled positions and 2 vacant positions. In terms of the age analysis of the Ugu District Municipality's employees, it is broken down as per table 3.8.4.2.

Table 3.8.4.2: Ugu District number of Employees per Age Group

| Age group | Number |
|------------------|---------------|
| 22 - 35 | 156 |
| 36 – 45 | 317 |
| 46 – 55 | 279 |
| 56 – 60 | 80 |
| 61 – 65 | 37 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Corporate Services, 2018

What emerges from the age analysis of the employees of Ugu District Municipality is that a total number of 117 employees are within a retirement bracket. This amounts to 12.8% of the total positions filled in the municipality. In Table 3.8.4.3 Ugu District Municipality age analysis is taken further through analysis of ages and positions held.

In Table 3.8.4.3 Ugu District Municipality age analysis is taken further through analysis of ages and positions held.

Table 3.8.4.3: Ugu District Employees per Age Group and Position held.

| Post Level | 22-35 | 36-45 | 46-55 | 56-60 | 61-65 | Total |
|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| 0 – Municipal Manager | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 - General Managers | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2- Senior Managers | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 3 - Managers | 0 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 27 |
| 4 - Officers | 2 | 19 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 33 |
| 5 - Practitioners | 9 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| 6 - Accountants/ other professionals | 10 | 31 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 58 |
| 7 - Foremen / Personal Assistants | 3 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 8 - Senior clerks, secretaries | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 9 - Clerks, operators Class 3, admin assistant | 57 | 61 | 35 | 6 | 8 | 167 |
| 10 - Office Clerks | 12 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 30 |
| 11 - Customer care clerks, VTS supervisors | 15 | 23 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 55 |
| 12 - Operators Class 2, receptionist | 5 | 13 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 38 |
| 13 - Drivers, machine operators | 10 | 30 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 71 |
| 14 - Operators Class 1, Senior shift workers | 9 | 25 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 53 |
| 15 - Handyman, shift workers | | 4 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 25 |
| 16 - Plant reproduction assistant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 - General Workers Grade 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 18 - General workers, Filing clerks, General assistants | 33 | 98 | 97 | 16 | 12 | 256 |
| Totals | 169 | 348 | 256 | 60 | 36 | 869 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Corporate Services, 2019

3.8.5 Human Resources

The human resource policies and strategies that are implemented within the municipality are reflected and briefly discussed in Table 3. 8.5.1 below:

Table 3.8.5.1: Ugu District HR Policies and Strategies

| Strategies | Progress |
|--|---|
| Human Resources Strategy and Plan | <p>The Ugu HR Strategy adopted in December 2014 and is currently being implemented. The HR Plan was developed and adopted 22 November 2016 as one of the tools to implement the strategy. The following are the pillars of the strategy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Talent Management ii. Employee Wellness iii. Performance Management |

| | |
|---|---|
| | iv. Capacity Building v. Strategic Human Resource Planning vi. Sourcing and Placement vii. Employee Relations viii. Exit Management ix. Organisational Culture Management The implementation of the plan and strategy is measured and monitored through the OPMS and SDBIP. The targets are set out in Chapter 4 (5-year scorecard) of the document |
| Employment Equity Plan | The plan is in place and was approved on the 13th of February 2018 by the Municipal Manager. The numerical goals are summarised as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appointment of disabled people thereby increasing the percentage from 1 to 2 (first year), 3 (second year) and 4 (third year) • Disabled Black females (preference shall be given to this category across all levels – subject to suitability of a candidate and availability of a position). • Fair representation of Black Women at Senior Management level (preference shall be given to Black Females within this category). • Fair representation of Black Women (Africans, Coloureds and Indians) at skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled levels depending on availability of positions (preference shall be given to this category until a fair representation is achieved). |
| Skills Audit | Is completed and the results will be used for workplace skills planning. |
| Workplace Skills Plan | The Workplace Skill Plan is in place and was adopted on the 2nd of May 2018. The implementation of the plan is measured through the SDBIP. |
| Recruitment and Retention Strategy | During the skills audit scarce skill and critical skills were identified which informed the retention strategy. The Recruitment and Retention strategy was adopted by the Municipal Council and is currently under implementation. |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Corporate Services, 2019

3.8.6 Skills Analysis

The municipal Skills Development Plan is reviewed annually to identify training and capacity building requirements. This analysis considers the departments

with special focus on the Water Services Department which is the core function of the municipality and accounts for about 70% of all employees. Table 3.8.6.1

gives the analysis of the critical and scarce skills within the Water Services Department.

Table 3.8.6.1: Analysis of Critical and Scarce Skills, Water Services

| Section | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | WWT | WWR | Fitting | Electrical | Mechanics |
| No. of Employees | 60 Process Controllers 2 Foremen and 3 Superintendents | 42 Plumbers and Special Workmen; 1 Superintendents; 7 Foremen and 3 Technicians | 6 Fitters and 1 Foreman assisted by Artisan Assistants and General Workers | 8 Electrical Assistants as qualified electricians; two require further training. 1 Foremen. | 1 Foreman 1 Diesel Mechanic 1 Mechanic |
| Skills Required for The Job | Water & Wastewater Treatment Process Operations | Water and wastewater reticulation e.g. Maintenance and repairs of pipes Pipelaying Fitting and repairing of valves Reading maps | Perform safe work practices, read engineering drawings, fit washers, tighten screws, maintain a pedestal drill, lift & move equipment, operate oxyacetylene cutting equipment, use angle grinder, mount & remove bearings, use welding equipment etc. | Panel wiring card and fault finding, change and repair contactors, timers, voltage modules, solenoids, meter connection and testing, changing and commissioning of induction and submersible meters etc. | Fault finding and repairs on motor vehicles Servicing of municipal vehicles |
| No. of Employees Trained | 60 Process Controllers Qualified. 102 Artisan Assistants undergoing learnership on Water and Wastewater Treatment Process Operations NQF 3. | 42 Plumbers trained; 38 completed the trade test; 3 have to redo the trade test, 1 to be trained. | Fitters are qualified artisans. 2 Artisan Assistants have completed Phases 1 and 2. | Electricians and Foremen are qualified tradesmen. 7 Electrical Assistants and Artisan Assistants have been trained. | Foreman and Diesel Mechanic are qualified artisans. |
| Skills Gap | More Process Controllers/Artisan Assistants still to be trained at NQF 3. | 18 artisans (Superintendents, Foremen and Plumbers completed a Skills Program on Water Reticulation Services NQF 5. | 1 Artisan Assistant completed the Artisan Assistant Fitting Programme. 1 Artisan Assistants is currently | Five Electrical Assistants have passed the trade test; two require further training. | To identify other Artisan Assistants/General Workers to be trained in the field. |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|
| | | | studying N2 Fitting. | | |
|--|--|--|----------------------|--|--|

Source: Ugu District Municipality Corporate Services, 2018

In compliance with the Ministerial Regulations, the municipality has trained the following officials on the Minimum Competency Levels as designed by National Treasury as indicated in Table 3.8.6.2.

Table 3.8.6.2: Minimum Employee Competency Levels Training

| Category | Department | No. Trained | No. Still to be Trained |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Section 56 | Treasury | 1 (CFO) | 0 |
| Section 56 | EDES | 1 | 0 |
| Section 57 | Municipal Manager's Office | 1 | 0 |
| Section 56 | Corporate Services | 1 | 0 |
| Section 56 | Water Services | 1 | 0 |
| Finance Managers | Treasury & PMU | 6 | 0 |
| Managers from other departments | Other departments | 16 | 6 to be trained |
| Other Finance Officials | Treasury & PMU | 31 | 0 |
| Other Officials from Other Departments | Other Departments | 6 | 20 to be trained |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Corporate Services, 2018

3.6.8.1 Skills Levels

The skills audit has been conducted and its results are what determine the priority training interventions required. The summary of training interventions targeted is:

- Multi-skilling of other general workers to improve morale is required.
- A Special type ABET programme will be rolled out to address illiteracy.
- Water and waste water treatment work have 66 operators, 60 are skilled with NQF level 3 and 6 (9%) are unskilled.
- Maintenance of pipes and valves give rise to more over-time being worked, however there are 54 Plumbers and Special Workmen. 42 of these have been trained with 25 having successfully completed the relevant trade test.

Table 3.8.6.1.1: Ugu District Occupational Levels

| Level | No | Category | Level | No | Category | Level | No | Category |
|-------|----|----------|-------|-----|--|-------|----|--------------------------------------|
| 0 | 1 | MM | -6 | -1 | PA Exec | - | - | - |
| 1 | 4 | GMs | 7 | 20 | Foreman/ PA, | 13 | 87 | Drivers, machine operators |
| 2 | 7 | SMs | 8 | 10 | Senior clerks, secretaries, | 14 | 53 | Operators Class 1, Snr shift workers |
| 3 | 26 | Managers | 9 | 171 | Clerks, operators Class 3, admin assistant | 15 | 25 | Handyman, shift workers |
| 4 | 40 | Officers | 10 | 30 | Office Clerks, | 16 | 1 | Plant reproduction assistant |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----------------------------------|----|----|---------------------------------------|----|-----|---|
| 5 | 15 | Practitioners | 11 | 40 | Customer care clerks, VTS supervisors | 17 | 8 | General workers Gr 1 |
| 6 | 59 | Accountants/ other professionals. | 12 | 38 | Operators Class 2, receptionist | 18 | 278 | General workers, filing clerks, General assistants, junior admin clerks |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Corporate Services, 2018

3.8.7 Health and Safety in the Workplace

A safe and healthy workplace not only protects workers from injury and illness, it can also **lower** injury/illness **costs**, reduce absenteeism and turnover, increase **productivity** and quality, and raise employee morale. In other words, safety is good for business.

Frequent Hazard identification and Risk Assessment are conducted with the following re-occurring findings:

1. Overgrown vegetation – water & waste water plants & revisors
2. Vehicle safety – maintenance issues
3. Building maintenance
4. Missing manhole covers
5. Chlorine facilities – non-compliance (only certain plants)
6. Electrical installations – sub-standard in some work stations
7. General housekeeping

A. Injury on duty cases for 2017/2018:

| No. | DATE OF ACCIDENT | EMPLOYEE CODE | NATURE OF INJURY | EVENT No. | No. OF DAYS BOOKED OFF |
|-----|------------------|---------------|--|-----------|------------------------|
| 1. | 13/07/17 | 02/00353 | FRACTURE RING FINGER | 1124775 | 00 |
| 2. | 25/07/17 | 91/00121 | FRACTURED JAW | 1130717 | 03 |
| 3. | 25/07/17 | 10/01164 | INJURED BACK AND (L) KNEE | 1131046 | 26 |
| 4. | 31/07/17 | 99/00255 | LACERATION (R) FINGER | 1132485 | 09 |
| 5. | 07/08/17 | 94/00175 | SMALL LESION ON THE CORNEA IN THE LUQ – FOREIGN OBJECT (L) EYE | 1139895 | 00 |
| 6. | 22/08/17 | 10/01131 | DISLOCATION – (L) ELBOW | 1150768 | 27 |
| 7. | 01/09/17 | 10/01158 | SOFT TISSUE INJURY (R) HAND | 1157348 | 03 |
| 8. | 11/09/17 | 10/01218 | SOFT TISSUE INJURIES (R) SHOULDER AND CHEST | 1176140 | 05 |
| 9. | 11/09/17 | 09/01078 | BLUNT CHEST TRAUMA | 1176175 | 13 |
| 10. | 03/10/17 | 07/00809 | WOUND LACERIAL WOUND (– FOREHEAD & SUPERFICIAL WOUND (L) LEG) | 1176059 | 02 |
| 11. | 10/09/18 | 07/00809 | SOFT TISSUE INJURY (L) CALF | 1377239 | 04 |
| 12. | 25/10/17 | 08/00860 | LACERATION (L) HAND | 1228812 | 05 |
| 13. | 26/10/17 | 10/01213 | SOFT TISSUE INJURIES; HEAD, SHOULDER & PELVIS | 1328305 | 00 |
| 14. | 26/10/17 | 08/00882 | SOFT TISSUE INJURIES; PELVIS & LOWER BACK | 1328105 | 00 |
| 15. | 26/10/17 | 08/00875 | SOFT TISSUE INJURIES; NECK, RT SHOULDER, KNEE & ANKLE – BLUNT ABNOMINAL TRAUMA | 1226288 | 09 |
| 16. | 09/12/17 | 15/01425 | SOFT TISSUE – NECK MUSCLE SPASM | 1269822 | 06 |
| 17. | 27/12/17 | 15/01427 | LEFT ARM | 1229745 | 00 |
| 18. | 08/01/18 | 16/01460 | RIGHT HAND & INDEX FINGER | 1322192 | 00 |
| 19. | 15/01/18 | 91/00088 | LEFT EYE | 1251554 | 00 |
| 20. | 16/01/18 | 91/00120 | SOFT TISSUE (R) LEG | 1277273 | 05 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|----------|---|---------|----|
| 21. | 16/01/18 | 09/01082 | SUN BURN WHOLE FACE & NECK | 1323193 | 04 |
| 22. | 26/01/18 | 16/01481 | SOFT TISSUE INJURIES, NECK, CHEST & PELVIS | 1335421 | 02 |
| 23. | 25/02/18 | 07/00735 | INJURY (R) LEG | 1328049 | 00 |
| 24. | 08/06/18 | 07/00735 | INJURY (R) KNEE | 1218141 | 00 |
| 25. | 27/02/18 | 08/00896 | LACERATION (L) HAND | 132386 | 00 |
| 26. | 28/02/18 | 07/00762 | SOFT TISSUE (R) MIDDLE & RING FINGER | 1324873 | 01 |
| 27. | 05/03/18 | 06/00640 | GENERALISED BODY PAINS | 1368854 | 01 |
| 28. | 19/03/18 | 91/00098 | MUSCLE SPASMS LOWER BACK | 1287029 | 06 |
| 29. | 20/03/18 | 17/01550 | MUSCLE OPEN WOUNDS – WRIST AND HAND | 1269682 | 18 |
| 30. | 25/03/18 | 11/01233 | CHEMICAL BURNS – INFLAMED CORNEA | 1279389 | 01 |
| 31. | 05/04/18 | 17/01553 | INJURY TO HEAD, (L) HAND, (R) ARM & (R) LEG | 857100 | 04 |
| 32. | 06/04/18 | 07/00802 | WOULD BELOW (EYE) | 1327368 | 00 |
| 33. | 15/04/18 | 06/00660 | SOFT TISSUE INJURY (L) KNEE | 1287415 | 00 |
| 34. | 15/04/18 | 09/00999 | KNEE INJURY | 1287415 | 00 |
| 35. | 20/04/18 | 13/01302 | FRACTURE (R) KNEE | 1317841 | 00 |
| 36. | 20/05/18 | 96/00225 | SPRAIN (R) KNEE | 1317970 | 02 |
| 37. | 24/05/18 | 03/00455 | INJURY (R) FINGER | 1318473 | 00 |
| 38. | 09/06/18 | 10/01136 | (R) LEG – BELOW KNEE | 139098 | 00 |
| 39. | 12/06/18 | 07/00810 | LACERATION (R) LEG | 132146 | 00 |
| 40. | 15/06/18 | 17/01543 | LACERATION (R) CHICK | 1320610 | 00 |

B. Injury on duty status quo for 2018/2019

| No. | DATE OF ACCIDENT | EMPLOYEE CODE | NATURE OF INJURY | EVENT No. | No. OF DAYS BOOKED OFF |
|-----|------------------|---------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| 1. | 12/07/18 | 08/00955 | LACERATION UPPER (L) EYE, INJURY TO LOWER BACK | 1339669 | 13 |
| 2. | 17/07/18 | 03/00495 | INJURY (HEAD), RIGHT KNEE | 1367825 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 3. | 17/07/18 | 03/00469 | LACERATION FOREHEAD | 1367825 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 4. | 27/07/18 | 09/01068 | SOFT TISSUE INJURY LEFT KNEE | 1359191 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 5. | 06/08/18 | 02/00308 | LEFT KNEE AND PAIN IN NECK, LOWER BACK | 1360043 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 6. | 21/08/18 | 96/00220 | HEAD INJURIES (MULTIPLE INJURIES) | 1367254 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 7. | 21/08/18 | 09/00998 | MULTIPLE INJURIES | 1367207 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 8. | 28/10/18 | 16/01465 | STUNG BY BEES: HEAD | 1436442 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 9. | 28/10/18 | 16/01490 | STUNG BY BEES: HEAD, EARS AND (R) HAND | 1437633 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 10. | 05/11/18 | 15/01425 | PAIN LOWER BACK | Waiting event No. | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 11. | 14/11/18 | 11/01228 | LACERATION (R) THIGH | 1443542 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 12. | 19/11/18 | 16/01440 | RIGHT MIDDLE FINGER | 1450897 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 13. | 21/12/18 | 16/01461 | INJURY TO (R) ELBOW AND (L) KNEE | 1450755 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 14. | 05/12/18 | 06/00649 | SOFT TISSUES (L) SHOULDER AND ANKLE | Waiting event No. | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 15. | 07/12/18 | IWAT 89 | SOFT TISSUE INJURY (L) SHOULDER | 1444077 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 16. | 07/12/18 | 14/01369 | SOFT TISSUE INJURIES LEFT SHOULDER | 1444106 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 17. | 01/01/18 | 08/00860 | LACERATION ON THE RIGHT-HAND THUMB | Waiting event No. | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 18. | 12/02/19 | 08/00933 | NOSE, FORE ARM INJURY | 1472693 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |

| | | | | | |
|-----|----------|----------|--|---------|-----------------------|
| 19. | 12/02/19 | EP18735 | LACERATION 2 ND ,3 RD FINGERS (L) HAND | 1471988 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 20. | 13/02/19 | 02/00344 | HEAD INJURY | 1477531 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |
| 21. | 04/03/19 | EP18716 | BACK INJURY | 1483244 | STILL UNDER TREATMENT |

The Occupational Health & Safety Act aims to ensure that working conditions are acceptable. This means that everyone in the workplace must be aware of all hazards, as well as the SHE standards that should be in place to prevent any unacceptable exposure to these hazards. With good planning, management systems and teamwork, most incidents can be prevented.

The OHS Compliance Checklist is a tool used to monitor compliance.

| REF | REQUIREMENT | Status 2018/19 | POE | Metric Value 2018/19 | Period for Achievement | Compliance Timeframe |
|----------|--|--------------------|---|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| A | POLICY | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Has it been communicated to employees through workshops, emails, newsletters, and noticeboards | Ongoing Road shows | Attendance Registers and programme of events | 40 | Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 | 30 June 2019 |
| 1.2 | Is it clearly visible to employees? | In Progress | Visual | 10 | Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 | 30 June 2019 |
| B | RISK ASSESSMENTS | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Has an integrated assessment been completed? | Ongoing | Risk Assessment Reports | 40 | Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 | 30 June 2019 |
| C | EHSW COMMITTEE MEETINGS | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Has the Committee sat quarterly | Ongoing | Attendance Registers & Agendas | 40 | Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 | 30 June 2019 |
| D | INCIDENTS | | | | | |
| 1.2 | All incidents reported and recorded | Ongoing | IOD Schedule | 40 | Q1 - 10 Q2 - 10 Q3 - 10 Q4 - 10 | 30 June 2019 |
| 1.3 | Incidents investigated by appointed and trained person | Ongoing | Incident Report | 40 | Q1-10 Q2-10 Q3-10 Q4-10 | 30 June 2019 |
| E | HEALTH & SAFETY REPRESENTATIVES | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Have the H&A Representatives been elected & Trained | Q1 | Attendance Registers & copies of Certificates | 50 | Q1 | 30 September 2018 |
| F | MANAGEMENT COMMITMENT | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------------------|--|-----|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1.1 | Are all inspections and incident findings tabled at the relevant committee's agenda | Ongoing | Agendas | 40 | Q1-10 Q2-10 Q3-10 Q4-10 | 30 June 2019 |
| 1.2 | Does management set annual targets and objectives? | Ongoing | SDBIP | 40 | Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 | 30 June 2019 |
| G | TRAINING | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Has Safety Training been Conducted | Achieved Q1 | Attendance Register & Copies of certificates | 40 | Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 | 30 June 2019 |
| H | FIRE EQUIPMENT AND DETECTION SYSTEMS | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Have the equipment and detection systems been serviced? | Achieved | Report from Service Provider | 100 | Q1 | 30 September 2018 |
| 1.2 | Have service provider issued a report? | Mini Tender – been advertised | Report from Service Provider | 50 | Q1 | 30 September 2018 |
| 1.3 | Have deviations been identified and rectified? | Ongoing | Report from Service Provider | 40 | Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 | 30 June 2019 |

Legislation

The following legislation applies to Employee Health, Safety & Wellness:

- Constitution of the Republic of South Africa Act, No 108 of 1996
- Labour Relations Act, No. 14 of 2014 as amended
- Basic Conditions of Employment Act, No 75 of 1997
- Employment Equity Act, No 55 of 1998
- Code of Good Practice
- Skills Development, 1998
- Occupational Health & Safety Act, No 85 of 1993
- Prevention and Treatment of Drug Dependency Act, 1992
- Compensation for Occupational Injuries and Diseases Act, No 150 of 1993

3.8.8 Analysis of Employee Health and Wellness

Employee Health and Wellness is the promotion and maintenance of the highest degree of physical, mental, spiritual and social well-being in all occupations; prevention of illness caused by working conditions; protection of employees in their employment from risks resulting from factors adverse to health; placement and maintenance of employees in an occupational environments adapted to optimal physiological and psychological capabilities; and the adaptation of work to employees and of each employee to his/her job. The explicit aim of the EHWP is to improve the quality of life of all employees by providing support and helping to alleviate the impact of everyday work, personal and family problems. The focus the programme and role of human resource department is summarised in the table below.

Role of HR in Employee Health and Wellness

| Focus Areas | Role of HR |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HIV/AIDS Management • Chronic Diseases Management • Stress / Mental Health • Incapacity due to ill health • Medical Awareness and Check-ups • Promotion of Health, Good Nutrition • Impact of Alcohol Abuse • Financial Wellness • Spiritual Wellness Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Creating awareness • Provision of counselling to employees • Provision of organizational support services and education programmes • Provision of critical Incident Response Programs (i.e. assist all employees to deal with incidents overwhelming their ability to cope like robbery, natural disasters, accidents sudden deaths or violent incidents at work.”) through referrals to professional bodies • Referral for professional counselling, treatment |


As from July 2018 up to date, the total number of employees participating in EAP Programme is 317 out of the total of 866 employees which is 36.61% of the total workforce. The table below presents the male to female ratio per department.

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES PARTICIPATING IN THE PROGRAMME

| MM's Office | Corp Services | Treasury | IED | Water Services |
|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| 2 Males | 2 Males | 3 Males | 1 Males | 173 Males |
| 2 Females | 7 Female | 23 Females | 3 Females | 101 Females |

| DEPARTMENT | PERCENTAGE |
|----------------|------------|
| MM's Office | 1.26% |
| Corp Services | 2.84% |
| Treasury | 8.20% |
| IED | 1.26% |
| Water Services | 86.44% |


THE FOLLOWING GRAPHICAL ANALYSIS IS APPLICABLE SHOWING THE EAP ACTIVE CLIENTS PER DEPARTMENT




NATURE OF REFERRALS

| Nature of ailments | Numbers |
|------------------------|-------------|
| HIV/AIDS/TB | 61 (19.24%) |
| DIABETES | 32 (10.09%) |
| ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE | 27 (8.52%) |
| FINANCIAL STRESS | 78 (24.61%) |
| WORK RELATED STRESS | 91 (28.71%) |
| ARTHRITIS | 23 (7.26%) |
| COPD | 5 (1.58%) |

THE FOLLOWING GRAPHICAL ANALYSIS IS APPLICABLE SHOWING THE STATISTICS PER EACH NATURE OF REFERRALS



THE FOLLOWING BAR GRAPH IS THE COMPARISON IN THE NUMBER OF EAP PARTICIPANTS FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S TO DATE.



| 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 | 2018/2019 |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 287 | 167 | 182 | 317 |
| 35.60% | 19% | 20% | 36.61% |

3.8.10 Employer / Employee relations

Disciplinary processes take time to be finalised because of various reasons for example absence of an accused employee or the Presiding Officer or Prosecutor or non-attendance of the Witnesses on the dates scheduled for the hearings.

| | |
|---|--|
| Disciplinary Hearings July 2018 to March 2019: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> There were no (0) Suspensions that took place; 17 Cases reported, 15 have been finalized; two outstanding Grievances: there were no grievances submitted Conciliations: five Conciliation hearings reported, all have been referred to Arbitration; Arbitrations: There were five Arbitration Hearings, one has been resolved and four have not yet been resolved; and Work Stoppages: There were none | Employee Disputes from July 2018 to March 2019: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Unfair labour practice; Unfair Dismissal |
|---|--|

3.8.11 Employment Equity Plan

The employment equity strategic objectives emanate from Ugu District municipality's five-year Integrated Development Plan. These objectives are:

- Achieve equal representation of people from designated groups through setting of numerical goals:
 - Appointment of disable people thereby increasing the percentage from 1 to 2 (first year), 3 (second year) and 4 (third year) – Disabled Black females (preference shall be given to this category across all levels – subject to suitability of a candidate and availability of a position);

- Fair representation of Black Women at Senior Management level (preference shall be given to Black Females within this category); and
- Fair representation of Black Women (Africans, Coloureds and Indians) at skilled, semi-skilled and unskilled levels depending on availability of positions (preference shall be given to this category until a fair representation is achieved).
- The elimination of unfair discrimination in all HR Practices and Policies.
 - Auditing of HR Policies and practices to ensure integration with EE measures;
 - Removing barriers in work environment, ensuring reasonable accommodation by PWDs;
 - Implement HIV/AIDS Education and prevention programmes; and
 - Increase the pool of available candidates through community investment and implementation of bridging programmes to increase the number of potential candidates.
- Taking Affirmative Action measures that are in line with the Act. This is a policy or a program that seeks to redress past discrimination through active measures to ensure equal opportunity, as in education and employment. The measures include: -
 - Training to focus more on members from designated groups;
 - Succession and experiential training;
 - Retention strategy, address the retention of employees from designated groups; and
 - Employment Equity awareness programmes.
- Ensuring legitimacy of the process through sustained communication and consultation. The main responsibility of the municipality with regards to EEP is to observe its implementation in relation to numerical goals.

3.8.12 Secretariat, Registry, and Auxiliary Services

The Secretariat Unit is an administrative wing of the Municipality through which the taking of resolutions for implementation is done. This is done by providing secretariat support to the Committees of the District Municipal Council such as Council, Exco, Portfolio Committees, Sub-Committees, and Forums. This supporting structure serves as both the catalyst and the hub of information or engine room that suitably co-ordinates and records all the proceedings of the decision-making bodies for future references and implementation. This is also regarded as a confluence of information from all Departments that the Municipality has. The kind of support this Unit provides is indispensable throughout the organisation. The Primary focus areas are:

- Scheduling of Meetings;
- Collation of Items / Reports;
- Compilation of Agendas;
- Maintenance of a Resolutions Register for Council, the Executive Committee and Management Meetings
- Dispatch of Agendas;
- Attending Meetings;
- Taking Minutes / Compilation of Minutes; and
- All other logistics associated with Meetings Management.

Records Management has improved quite a lot as per the monthly statistics being recorded within the Registry Unit. Staff attend regular training on records management and the importance thereof is highlighted at these trainings, so staff are more compliant with records management file plan, policy and procedures. The tender room was maintained to ensure that bid documents are well controlled. All bid processes from specification to adjudication are conducted in the Tender Room and original documents are not moved for any reason. The filing is done according to approved standards. This has proven valuable in ensuring that bid documents and supporting bid information is available at all times to duly authorised personnel. The Tender Room is under 24-hour surveillance system, making it is one of the safest and contributing towards a municipality that takes honesty, transparency, and accountability seriously in ensuring that we subscribe to good governance principles of an ethical institution with sound leadership. The Building Maintenance Plan was developed and focussed on ongoing

and preventative maintenance to some of the Municipal facilities. This has been implemented very well within a few of the sites identified by Water Services in conjunction with Corporate Services. A few of the sites which have benefitted from this Plan are as follows: -

- Umtamvuna Water Treatment Works – Upgrade and refurbishment of the standby quarters as well as the offices.
- Umbango Waste Water Treatments Works – Refurbishment of the standby quarters including new appliances and furniture.
- Gamalakhe Waste Water Treatment Works – Complete fencing of the Waste Water Treatment Works and also the refurbishment of the offices at the Treatment Works.
- Shelly Beach WWTW – Complete fencing and repairs and maintenance done
- Ramsgate WWTW – Complete fencing and repairs and maintenance of the offices (inside and outside)
- Munster Ponds – Complete fencing and maintenance
- Red Desert – Complete fencing, repairs and maintenance of offices
- Umzinto WWTW – Complete fencing, repairs and maintenance of the offices and guard house.
- Conversion of a garage into a canteen for when there are trainings taking place in the training centre at 28 Connor Street.

Building Maintenance Plan is ongoing within all UGU DM Offices and reactive maintenance is done only in unforeseeable circumstances. The project for the development and upgrade of fixed property is underway where the accommodation of the growing organisation in the long term is being proactively focussed on, these projects include the development of the Oslo Beach offices phase 3 to include buildings which will accommodate the Ugu South Coast development agency and the Ugu South coast tourism entities. The Disaster Management Phase 2 was handed over on 27 February 2017 and construction is in progress which includes the fire tender and the storage facilities for the Disaster centre. Standby Quarters for Harding has been purchased and forms part of the maintenance plan for 2017/2018. Park Rynie Standby Quarters have been fully refurbished, workshops upgraded, and storage garages constructed, this project commenced in July 2016 and was completed in June 2017.

3.8.13 Fleet Management

Fleet Management plays a very integral part in the execution of daily activities in the Municipality and is one of the biggest spenders of the operational budget thus its proper management is of utmost importance. Fleet Management involves the following functions:

- Identification of vehicles for replacement and disposal;
- Repair and maintenance of vehicles;
- Licensing of vehicles;
- Monitoring fuel usage and vehicle abuse;
- Annual verification of vehicles and driver's licenses; and
- Accident management.

Ugu District municipality has 283 vehicles within its Fleet, used primarily for water services provision. During the 2016/17 financial year, 29 vehicles were replaced, utilising the R11,800,000 budget allocation provided on the Municipality's capital budget. In addition, 1 TLB was ordered to add to the fleet. A vehicle maintenance schedule plan is in place and the vehicles under 100 000 kms are maintained by the Agents to secure warranties.

The in-house mechanics currently does some minor repairs. The coordination of new vehicle licensing and renewals at relevant intervals is also of importance to ensure all vehicles at all times are road worthy. The municipality spends approximately R1.3million per month on fuel which includes vehicles, generators, grass cutters and all the service plant operations. A challenge still exists on the monitoring of fuel usage and the Fleet Management Section reports quarterly to the Management Committee on the statistics per department to create awareness of use. In addition, a cross cutting SDBIP target was implemented in the 2016/17 financial year whereby all Departments were expected to save at least 15 % on fuel usage, which is measured in litres. Many departments have struggled with achieving this target and it is an ongoing area of focus. A trip authorisation

system has been implemented and all vehicles are expected to complete trip authorisation forms per trip and same should be approved by the Section Manager. This was workshopped at the 3 areas; North, South and South West with all drivers to ensure understanding and compliance with this requirement. The municipality encounters several accidents per annum, many of which are due to negligence and in such cases, are investigated and where necessary, officials disciplined.

3.9 Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development SWOT Analysis

The SWOT Analysis as per the Institutional Transformation and Organisational Development is summarised below.

Table 3.9.1: Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development SWOT Analysis

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Training and Development · Functional Employee Assistance Programme · Highly qualified and committed staff compliment · Regular Driver training and testing · Sound municipal systems in place (policies, fleet, auxiliary etc.) · Functional Organisational Performance Management System · Individual Performance Management System in place · All Section 54 and 56 post are filled · Staff retention | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Ageing vehicle fleet assets · Fleet management system · Employer – Employee Relations (Labour Unrest) · Misplacement of Skills · Understanding of Organisational Culture · Understanding of Individual Performance Management System · Enforcement of disciplinary and consequent management · Document and records management · Printing cost · Disciplinary processes taking too long · Unclear delegation of authority / power · Succession planning |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Bursary allocation alignment to critical skill (internally and externally) · Satellite university / tertiary institutions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Critical skills shortages <input type="checkbox"/> High staff turnover <input type="checkbox"/> Staff exodus |

3.10 Basic Service Delivery

Ugu District Municipality is authorized in terms of the Municipal Structures Act (Act No. 117 of 1998) and the Municipal Structures Amendment Act (Act No. 33 of 2000) as the Water Services Authority for its area of jurisdiction and therefore has a duty, as assigned to it in terms of the Water Services Act (Act No. 108 of 1997), to ensure that all its consumers and potential consumers progressively have efficient, affordable, economical and sustainable access to water services.

Ugu Water Services has been mandated to perform the water services provision function and is therefore also the Water Services Provider (WSP) including the functions of bulk water provision, water reticulation, sewerage services and bulk wastewater collection and treatment to the towns and villages in its area of jurisdiction.

3.10.1 Water Service Provision

It is important to state from the onset that the gaps in the levels of services include a rural/urban national design standard; which affects the rural area increasingly because the level of service required in the rural area increases annually and the actual level of service and infrastructure cannot keep up with the demand.

This trend will continue until service levels are equalised.

Furthermore, aged water and sanitation infrastructure, lack of capacity of key treatment plants continues to be challenges and result in many interruptions and service delivery challenges. The key programmes around this still present challenge for the urban coastal strip are:

- ☐ Refurbishment of sanitation infrastructure;
- ☐ Waterborne sanitation - only 18% reticulated;
- ☐ Septic and Conservancy tanks - 16%;
- ☐ Augmentation of water bulk supply schemes;
- ☐ Development of water resources (Dams); and
- ☐ Sustainable sanitation for low cost housing projects.

The major infrastructure for water services therefore includes the Dams 7; Pipelines 4 300 km (estimate); Reservoirs 153; Pump Stations 125; Water Treatment Works 18; and Waste Water Treatment Works 20.

3.10.1.1 Existing Water Infrastructure

As indicated in the Water Service Development Plan the existing water infrastructure suggests that the formal urban coastal areas have well developed bulk infrastructure and networks and historically was the focus for infrastructure development in the District. The coastal areas remain the highest concentration of the population and are also the main economic centres for the District. Infrastructure development in the rural areas was historically done in a haphazard manner and this resulted in several standalone rural water schemes that many times are supplied from unsustainable water sources. The Regional master planning initiatives that were completed in 2006 corrected the lack of planning in the rural areas and shifted the focus towards the implementation of more sustainable Regional Water schemes. The existing and planned future infrastructure in Ugu is shown in figure 3.6.1.1.1 below. Furthermore, a number of bulk supply sources (water treatment works or external bulk supply sources) that form the basis of the current and future water supply systems in the District were identified. Each one of the bulk supply sources supplies a specific zone that could be ring fenced and used as the basis for further analysis. These supply zones were not always clearly definable, especially in the urban areas where several interconnections exist to allow certain areas to be supplied from more than one bulk supply source. Nonetheless an attempt was made to ring fence back-to-back supply zones that cover the entire District. The supply zones also formed the building blocks for the development of the water demand model and the water demands were ring fenced within the supply zones and linked back to the respective bulk supply sources. The water demands could then be compared with the ability of the bulk infrastructure and water sources to meet the current and future water demands.

3.10.1.2 Water Delivery Standards

The water supply to the District is derived from dams, rivers, ground water and bulk purchases from eThekweni and Umgeni Water. The water is then treated at several treatment plants, owned by Ugu before being distributed to households. Distribution of water is done via more than 42 000 private household connections and over 5000 communal stand taps which mainly service the inland rural areas.


The demographics of the Ugu district vary from dense formal urban settlements to scattered rural settlements and must to be dealt with differently when planning for the provision of water services. Different levels of services are appropriate for each settlement category and the “CSIR Guidelines for Human Settlement Planning and Design” was used as a guideline to determine the water delivery standards per settlement category as indicated in table 3.10.1.2.1.

Table 3.10.1.2.1: Water Delivery Standards per Settlement Category

| Settlement Category | Average daily per capita consumption | Description of level of service |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Formal Urban | 200l/c/d | Medium/high income, with waterborne sanitation |
| Informal Residential Upgrade | 120l/c/d | Moderate income, with waterborne sanitation |
| Linked Rural Upgrade | 75l/c/d | Yard connections, dry pit latrines/septic tanks |
| Good Access Rural Upgrade | 75l/c/d | Yard connections, dry pit latrines/septic tanks |
| Limited Access Rural Upgrade | 75l/c/d | Community standpipes, dry pit latrines/septic tanks |
| Scattered | 75l/c/d | Community standpipes, dry pit latrines/septic tanks |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Bulk Infrastructure Audit, 2011

The water delivery standards were used to develop a water demand model for the district and to calculate current and future water demands per supply zone. The current level of basic service within Ugu comprises predominantly of community standpipes at 200m.



Map 3.10.1.1.1: Ugu District Existing and Planned Future Infrastructure

Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

3.10.1.3 Current and Future Water Demands

The current and future water demands for each supply zone were calculated and compared with previous studies in this regard, as well as with actual water usage figures where they were available. The actual usage figures assisted in calibrating the water demand model as best as possible.

The future or “ultimate” water demands represent a scenario where backlogs have been eradicated and all households have access to at least a basic RDP level of service. Future developments that are known and “development drivers” that have been identified in the Ugu SDF have also been considered with the calculation of the future water demands. The population growth rate was assumed to be 0,3% per year for Rural Municipalities and 0,5% for urban Municipalities.

Certain peak factors were also included in the calculations to determine the “Peak Week Daily Demands”, which represent the average daily consumptions that can be expected during the week of highest consumption

Table 3.10.1.3.1: Water Demand and Capacities in the year (summer holiday season). Allowance was also made for water loss based upon figures that were obtained from the Ugu District Municipality and the results from previous water-loss studies that were conducted. The peak factors and water loss figures that were used in the water demand model were as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Urban | Rural: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Summer Peak Factor – 1.3 | <input type="checkbox"/> Summer Peak Factor – 1.4 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Allowance for water losses – 30% | <input type="checkbox"/> Allowance for water losses – 30% |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Holiday peak factor – 1.5 | <input type="checkbox"/> Holiday peak factor – 1.5 |

The current and future water demands within each supply zone were then compared with the current capacities of the bulk infrastructure that supplies the zone and the results are summarised in Table 3.10.1.3.1 below:

Table 3.10.1.3.1: Water Demand and Capacities

| Water Zone | Supply 2011 demands MI/d | waterFuture demands MI/d | waterInfrastructure capacities MI/d | Bulk Source of Supply |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Maphumulo | 2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | Umgenti Water |
| Isimahla | 3 | 2.4 | 2.0 | Umgenti Water |
| Dududu | 5 | 3.8 | 0.4 | Ethekwini Metro |
| KwaLembe | 5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | KwaLembe WTW |
| Vulamehlo | 12 | 5.1 | 1.8 | Vulamehlo/ Hlokozi WTW |
| Ndelu | 2 | 2.8 | 0.6 | Ndelu WTW |
| Mtwalume | 12 | 10.9 | 8.0 | Mtwalume WTW |
| Umzinto | 18 | 39.6 | 13.0 | Umzinto WTW |
| KwaCele | 3 | 3.4 | 1.0 | Ethekwini Metro |
| Mhlabatshane | 5 | 8.6 | 2.0 | Phungashe WTW |
| Bhobhoyi | 70 | 109.8 | 54.0 | Bhobhoyi WTW |
| Umtamvuna | 26 | 23.0 | 20.0 | Umtamvuna WTW |
| Harding/Weza | 12 | 14.4 | 6.0 | Harding & Weza WTWs |
| Totals (MI/d) | 170 | 225.5 | 110.8 | |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Bulk Infrastructure Audit, 2011

The above table clearly shows where current infrastructure capacity problems are experienced, and where the infrastructure will be inadequate to meet the future water requirements.

It should be noted in particular that the demands generated by the proposed SDF drivers will have a significant impact on the capacity demands of the existing infrastructure and that significant capital investment will therefore be required to fully implement these drivers.

3.10.1.4 Access to Water Services

The Census 2016 Community Survey with regards to access to piped water released data in the following categories:

- ☐ Piped (tap) water inside the dwelling /house;
- ☐ Piped (tap) water inside yard;
- ☐ Piped water on community stand;
- ☐ Borehole in the yard;
- ☐ Rain-water tank in yard;
- ☐ Neighbours tap;
- ☐ Public/ communal tap;
- ☐ Water-carrier /tanker;
- ☐ Borehole outside the yard;
- ☐ Flowing water/ stream /river;
- ☐ Well; and
- ☐ Spring.

The levels of access and backlog per local municipality are captured Table 3.10.1.4.1 and figure 3.10.1.4.1. As per the Stats SA Community Survey results, the municipalities in the Ugu District are Umzumbe (33%) followed by Umuziwabantu (20) in terms of access to piped water backlogs. The Ugu District Municipality has done reasonably well in terms of Access to Piped Water backlog eradication, reducing backlog from 67% in 1996 to 13% in 2016 as reflected in Figure 3.10.1.4.1.


Over and above looking at access of households to water the Stats SA 2016 Community Survey further looked at the quality of the water services. The results show that across Ugu area of jurisdiction about 52% of the households feel that the quality of water services is good, and about 45% feel it is average or poor (see Table 3.10.1.4.2).

Table 3.10.1.4.1: Access to Water Services

| Main source of water for drinking | Umdoni | Umzumbe | uMuziwabantu | Ray Nkonyeni | Ugu |
|---|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Piped (tap) water inside the dwelling \ | 8 311 | 440 | 1 367 | 27 001 | 37 119 |
| Piped (tap) water inside yard | 4 792 | 4874 | 1 182 | 10 930 | 21 777 |
| Piped water on community stand | 15 335 | 7 853 | 7 345 | 35 880 | 66 412 |
| Borehole in the yard | 138 | 151 | 275 | 362 | 926 |
| Rain-water tank in yard | 381 | 776 | 573 | 351 | 2079 |
| Neighbours tap | 301 | 314 | 60 | 301 | 975 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Public/ communal tap | 2 680 | | 7 122 | 12 448 | 27 844 |
| Water-carrier /tanker | 675 | 1 070 | 1 100 | 1 130 | 3 975 |
| Borehole outside the yard | 319 | 684 | 363 | 229 | 1 593 |
| Flowing water/ stream /river | 2 403 | 6 146 | 1 732 | 1 381 | 11 662 |
| Well | 0 | 71 | 0 | 136 | 206 |
| Spring | 62 | 76 | 0 | 23 | 160 |
| Other | 38 | 82 | 54 | 237 | 411 |
| Total | 35 433 | 28 132 | 21 172 | 90 409 | 175 146 |
| % Access to Piped Water | 88 | 67 | 80 | 95 | 87 |
| % Backlog | 12 | 33 | 20 | 5 | 13 |

Source: Stats SA Community Survey, 2016



MAP 3.10.1.4.1: Households with no Access to Water

Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

3.10.1.5 Current Strategies / Programmes for Water Provision

The following are the programmes and strategies that Ugu District uses to ensure efficient water services delivery to the community and that the backlog is eradicated.

3.10.1.5.1 Free basic water and indigent support

A free basic water policy makes provision for the supply of 6kl of water per metered household per month. An Indigent Support Policy is in place which applies to residential and non-profit organizations entitling the beneficiaries to 6kl of free water per household per month and 100% rebate on water and sanitation basic charges.

3.10.1.5.2 Ground Water programme

Ugu has a rudimentary water supply programme incorporating the use of boreholes and spring water. There is a spring protection and borehole maintenance programme to support supply to communities. However, the ground water potential is not very good in most areas, resulting in the failure of such schemes.

3.10.1.5.3 Regional Water Resources Planning Strategy

Ugu has developed a water master plan that seeks to integrate the 16 individual water schemes into sustainable systems, i.e. Harding Weza water; Umtamvuna; UMzimkhulu; Umtwalume; Vulamehlo; KwaLembe; and Mhlabatshane water schemes.

The strategy seeks to integrate the isolated individual water schemes and provide for investment in more reliable water sources that will be more cost effective in addressing backlogs, meeting the demand due to urban development growth, and mitigating the effect of drought.

3.10.1.5.4 Water and Sanitation Master Plan


The Water and Sanitation Master Plan was completed in 2006 and is currently under review and shall have projections that will go upto 2030. The Water Services Development Plan which was last reviewed in 2015 encompasses amongst others, water, sanitation and infrastructure backlog studies, waste water treatment studies, bulk infrastructure development studies. The WSDP is reviewed every year. Additional funding is required to eradicate the water and sanitation backlog etc.

3.10.1.5.5 Umgeni Water's Master Plan for Ugu District Municipality

Umgeni Water operates the following bulk water infrastructure for the provision of potable water to Ugu District Municipality:

- i. Storage Dams: Nungwane Dam, Umzinto Dam and E.J. Smith Dam, Mhlabatshane Dam
- ii. Water Treatment Plants (WTP): Amanzimtoti WTP, Umzinto WTP and Mtwalume WTP
- iii. Bulk Pipelines: Nungwane Raw Water Aqueduct, South Coast Augmentation (SCA) Pipeline, South Coast Pipeline Phase 1 (SCP1), South Coast Pipeline Phase 2a.

Figure 3.10.1.5.5.1 shows the location of the bulk water infrastructure and the status of the projects (as of April 2017,) Umgeni Water is implementing to ensure that Ugu District Municipality has an assured supply of water.



Infrastructure, the municipality strives to implement projects in ensuring that the risk is contained within the Low Category.

In ensuring delivery of high quality drinking water and improved access to water services, the municipality has in the 2014/2015 financial year refurbished and upgraded Umdoni, Weza and Bhobhoyi Water Treatment Works. The upgrades currently add up to the tune of R94.2m

A total of 25km of water pipelines were upgraded and replaced in the 2014/2015 financial year including the critical project in Murchison where the municipality intervened swiftly and was able to bring forward the Murchison Upgrade Project which includes an upgrade of 7.7 km of pipeline, a 10ML storage reservoir and a pump station. While the project unfolds, the municipality is implementing effective alternative water services delivery to the area. The Murchison Project is expected to be complete by December 2015.

As the year comes to the end, the municipality is proud to have provided access to water to an additional 2200HH constituted by the areas of Mbiyane, Egoli, Maphumulo, Gumatane, Phongolo, Nhlabwane and Ncengesi. Various other water projects were implemented in this financial year with assistance from grants such as Municipal Water Infrastructure Grant (MWIG), Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG), Regional Grant Infrastructure Grant (RBIG) and Massification Grants. All the municipal grants will be 100% spent by the end of the 2014/2015 financial year assuring the public that Ugu District Municipality is here to deliver to the mandate of water and sanitation services provision.

The municipality positively looks ahead to the 2015/2016 financial year as it aims to continue with the plight of rendering services to its communities in spite of the drought challenge that is upon us. Out of the R253 million MIG allocations for the 2015/2016 financial year, the district will continue and complete the Bhobhoyi Water Treatment Works upgrade and commence the uMthamvuna Water Treatment Works Upgrade from 20ML/day to 40ML/day and the Mistake Farm Water Project.

Responding to the drought challenge, the municipality by July 2015 spent the R10.8m drought relief allocation. In the 2015/2016 financial year, the municipality will intensify programmes on water loss management from the MWIG allocation of R100m through its Non-Revenue Water Programme.

For the 2014 Blue Water Services Audits, the municipality participated in the first assessment of No Drop Awards. The results for the assessment have not been published however the municipality is proud to contain its Non-Revenue Water Score at 30% being one of the lowest in the country. The municipality continues to implement measures to reduce its Non-Revenue Water from 30% to a projected 23% by the year 2017.

2012 was a gap year for Green Drop Awards however in the year 2013, the municipality's Green Drop Score improved from 70% to 73.91% with the following plant getting a Green Drop Award (Red Desert Waste Water Treatment Works at 90.3%)

In the 2014/2015 financial year, the municipality spent R75 000 000.00 of its MIG allocation towards sanitation projects, these including the refurbishment of 25 sanitation pump stations to ensure minimal sewage spillages due to aged infrastructure. The Umzinto Waste Water Treatment Works is at its final stages of upgrade for the assurance of service in the Umzinto areas and ready to take on Low Cost Housing Developments. The municipality will for the 2015/2016 financial year commence and complete critical sanitation projects such as Malangeni Waste Water Upgrade, Harding Sanitation Project and Margate Sewer Pipeline Upgrade.

The municipality has heeded to the need for effective operations and maintenance budget and will in the year 2015/2016 increase the budget a

few percentages up to the generally accepted norm of 7% of the municipal budget. At 7.3% operations and maintenance budget, the municipality will engage into the implementation of the council adopted Water Safety Plans ensuring efficient and effective delivery of compliant water in line with the South African National

Standards 241:2011. Technology in the modern day is an excellent enabler towards delivery of effective service, the municipality starting from 2015/2016 financial year will implement a continuous upgrade of its telemetry system as a means to swift response to remote infrastructure breakdown. The municipality will continue to invest into its technical human resources by adding four more officials into its register of Professionally Registered Officials in line with the Engineering Profession Act 46 of 2000.

3.10.1.7 Capital Requirements for Backlog Eradication

The Ugu District Municipality, as a delegated Water Services Authority (WSA), is in the process of progressively rolling out water services to all consumers in the District, as per the National Government's mandate of servicing all households with at least an RDP level of service by 2014. The estimated cost and time frames to eradicate the backlog, based on the available funding, has been calculated and is indicated in Table 3.6.2.5.1.

The following benchmark costs were used in the calculation:

- ☐ R 45 000 to service per urban households
- ☐ R75 000 to service per rural households that are situated between 200m and 800m from a formal water supply
- ☐ R125 000 to service per rural households that are situated further than 800m from a formal water supply

Table 3.6.2.5.1: Water Eradication Plan

| Eradication Plan (Excl VAT) | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Estimated cost to eradicate backlogs | R 5 300 000 000,00 |
| Assumed MIG Allocation future | R 290 000 000,00 |
| % of MIG Allocation towards water | 70% |
| Allocation towards water | R 203 000 000,00 |
| Estimated years to eradicate backlogs | 23 |
| New Dams (3) | R 2 400 000 000,00 |
| TOTAL | R 7 700 000 000.00 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality WSDP, 2015

3.10.1.8 Ugu DGDS on Water Services

The Ugu DGDS Strategic Objective 4.5: Ensure Effective Water Resource Management and Awareness, identifies that a key challenge for the district will be eradicating household water backlog while at the same time ensuring that sufficient water is made available for expansion of economic activities. The ability of the district to change its current spatial development patterns will depend to a large extent on addressing water access, rights and management within rural communities.

It estimates the cost of backlog eradication to be R3.4 billion. Massive infrastructure investment will need to be leveraged into the district in the next 10 years. To achieve this, three strategic programmes have been identified. These include ensuring effective water resource management; increasing water infrastructure capacity; and promoting awareness for water efficiency.

3.10.2 Sanitation Service Provision

The sanitation service provision in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction is broken down to urban and rural sanitation. With regards to the urban sanitation the service delivery standard is mostly waterborne sewer and for rural sanitation the pit toilets with ventilation (VIPs). Table 3.6.3.1. below shows the overall access to sanitation in the district and the following subsections break it down per rural and urban area.

The sanitation backlog of the Ugu District area of jurisdiction was determined using the 2011 Statistics South Africa and the minimum requirements for acceptable access to sanitation as per CoGTA backlog study 2013 which are:

- ☐ Flush toilet (connected to sewerage system);
- ☐ Flush toilet (with septic tank or conservancy tank
- ☐ Chemical toilet; and
- ☐ Pit toilet with ventilation (VIP).

The service levels therefore that fall below the minimum requirements (backlog) in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction are:

- ☐ Pit toilet without ventilation;
- ☐ Bucket toilet;
- ☐ No sanitation; and
- ☐ Any other service levels.

3.10.2.1 Urban Sanitation

The urban areas within Ugu are located predominantly within a narrow coastal strip comprising of a combination of permanent residents and local tourists who descend on the area during holiday periods.

Table 3.10.2.1: Access to Sanitation Services per Municipality

| Sanitation Service Level | Ugu | Umdoni | Umzumbe | Ray Nkonyeni | Umuziwabantu |
|---|---------|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Flush toilet (connected to sewerage system) | 36 356 | 8 312 | 351 | 25 965 | 1 728 |
| Flush toilet (with septic tank) | 6 044 | 1636 | 428 | 3 774 | 206 |
| Chemical toilet | 14 590 | 5 615 | 4 309 | 2 328 | 2 338 |
| Pit toilet with ventilation (VIP) | 63 201 | 6 940 | 13 643 | 31 212 | 11 406 |
| Pit toilet without ventilation | 28 637 | 4 602 | 3 868 | 18 564 | 1 603 |
| Bucket toilet (Collected by Municipality) | 3 613 | 2 737 | 850 | 26 | 0 |
| Bucket Toilet (Emptied by Household) | 2 147 | 504 | 471 | 736 | 436 |
| None | 7 565 | 1 771 | 689 | 4 787 | 318 |
| Ecological toilet | 3 853 | 10 | 1 046 | 1 825 | 972 |
| Other | 9 141 | 3 305 | 2 478 | 1 193 | 2 165 |
| Total | 175 146 | 35 433 | 28 132 | 90 409 | 21 172 |
| Backlog | 31 | 36 | 33 | 28 | 21 |

Source: Statistics SA, Community Survey 2016

As per the Statistics South Africa Community Survey 2016, the major sanitation backlog in the Ugu District Municipality area of jurisdiction is in Umdoni (36%), followed by Umzumbe (33%). These are predominantly rural municipalities that are inland and the predominantly urban municipalities that are along the coast have

sanitation backlog that is below 50%. The overall sanitation backlog for the Ugu District Municipality is sitting at 31% which dropped by 19% from the 2011 census (48%).

The urban areas within Ugu are located predominantly within a narrow coastal strip comprising of a combination of permanent residents and local tourists who descend on the area during holiday periods.

The Sanitation Service Master Plan suggests that the water demand (and hence waste water flows) in the peak December/January period is typically 33% higher than the annual average values.

Although largely “residential”, most urban areas include some “commercial” activity and there are also some “light and/or service industrial” nodes particularly in Port Shepstone (Marburg) and Park Rynie to a lesser extent. The urban sanitation comprises a combination of waterborne sewerage linked to Waste Water Treatment Works (WWTW) as well as a system of septic tanks and conservancy tanks in the less densely populated areas.

Most of the treatment facilities are owned and managed by Ugu although there are also a number of privately owned and managed, small sewage treatment plants – mostly “package” plants. Except for Gamalakhe, the sewerage coverage of formal, urban areas which have a Municipal water connection is approximately 30%.

Being a coastal strip, the topography generally falls towards the coast and is segmented by many water courses (streams/rivers) resulting in numerous hills and valleys as well as very flat areas along the coast. Thus, there are numerous pump stations in the reticulated areas whilst the WWTWs are generally located inland of the coastal strip such that many of the pump stations deal with pumping heads which exceed those readily achievable with open impeller pumps operating at low speeds.

The SMMP notes further that “the geology of the coastal strip may be described in general terms as having rock outcrops all along the coast overlain by one to two metres of sand and with some of these areas being characterised by a high, perched water table” – clearly not ideal for the use of septic tanks with sub-soil percolation drains and as a result there are numerous conservancy tanks within the urban strip.

3.10.2.2 Urban sanitation delivery standards

The SSMP deals with sewage demands and individual scheme options/preliminary designs in greater detail. For the purposes of this audit and a broad assessment of the status quo, the following standards were adopted:

Table 3.10.2.1: Urban Sanitation Standards

| Settlement Categories | Sub-Category | Flow | Unit |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------------|
| Formal Urban | Formal Urban | 600 | l/d/100m ² |
| | Industrial/Commercial | NA | NA |
| Informal Residential Upgrade | | 120 | l/c/d |

Source: Ugu District Municipality WSDP, 2015

3.10.2.3 Urban Backlog Eradication Plan

Other than maintenance issues and upgrades/extensions of existing sewerage schemes based on the rationale contained in the SSMP, it stands to reason that formal township development requires the provision of adequate water and sanitation and as such there are in effect very few backlogs other than those “informal urban upgrade” areas adjoining the coastal urban strip which by nature of their density should be provided with reticulated waterborne sewerage as opposed to a basic level of service - septic tanks.

The Local Municipalities have allowed residents to construct septic tanks in wetland areas resulting in serious pollution in many areas. Replacing the septic tanks with waterborne sanitation will be very costly and also difficult because the area is built up with well-established gardens and boundary walls. Cost recovery and affordability is also an issue. Most residents are pensioners that cannot afford the exorbitant cost to install waterborne sanitation.

A total estimated capital investment of the order of R 3 billion is required to reticulate and upgrade sanitation within the urban strip.

The refurbishment / upgrading of certain existing assets and the proper management of sludge disposal were identified as immediate priorities and some of this work has already been accomplished by Ugu.

3.10.2.4 Rural Sanitation

There is no reliable data spatially or otherwise pertaining to either the location or age of Ventilated Improved Pit Latrines (VIPs) constructed within Ugu. This poses a major challenge as there is no reliable data with which to plan for de-sludging/re-location of VIPs when full and further prevents the accurate determination of the backlog. Therefore, in order to obtain a better understanding regarding the status quo of the rural sanitation within Ugu, a number of random sample surveys were undertaken within each local municipal area.

3.10.2.5 Rural Sanitation Delivery Standards

The standard for a basic level of rural sanitation in Ugu is a ventilated improved pit latrine (VIP) comprising pre-cast concrete “C” sections. The anticipated life-span to de-sludging / re-location of the VIPs based on various household densities and a sludge accumulation rate of 0.05m³ per annum is reflected in table 3.10.2.5.1.

Table 3.10.2.5.1: VIP Sludge Accumulation Rate

| Household Size (persons/household) | Life Span (years) | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Pit Capacity 1.5m ³ | Pit Capacity 2m ³ |
| 5 persons/household | 6 | 8 |
| 6 persons/household | 5 | 6.7 |
| 7 persons/household | 4.3 | 5.7 |
| 8 persons/household | 3.8 | 5 |


Source: Ugu District Municipality WSDP, 2015

Whilst the standard Ugu VIP has an effective pit volume of 1.5m³ (allowing 200mm freeboard), the benefits of a larger pit are clearly apparent in terms of time taken to fill up.

3.10.2.6 Rural Sanitation Backlog Eradication

The sample survey suggests that the rural sanitation backlog is of the order of 20 %. The backlog is further compounded by the fact that there is virtually no spatial data with which to plan and manage the de-sludging /re-location of pits which are almost or already full such that the health and hygiene effectiveness of the programme going forward must be brought into question.

Therefore, in order to cost a backlog eradication plan for the District, one first needs to determine an accurate assessment of the current status quo. While the mini-audit provides a sample the current situation, it does not give an actual reflection. The most important component therefore in the backlog eradication plan, is an initial audit of rural sanitation. This audit would not only provide the District with a detailed spatial representation of VIPs in the District, it would also provide statistics about usage and full percentage across the District.



MAP 3.10.2.1.1: Households with no Access to Sanitation

Source: Ugu Distric SDF, 2018

3.10.3 Solid Waste and Cemeteries

Waste management services involve the waste collection, treatment, recycling and disposal. The National Environmental Management: Waste Act (Act 59 of 2008) has placed a huge responsibility on municipalities to deliver waste services. Where services are being offered, they are usually limited to the formal, urban areas of Umuziwabantu, Ray Nkonyeni and Umdoni Municipalities. Waste minimisation in the district is poorly organised and there is no integrated system which exists private recyclers may link to. The viability of recycling relies heavily on economies of scale as there must be enough recyclables available to justify the cost of transport associated with the collection of recyclable materials. Ugu requires a great deal more work before an economically viable waste recycling system can be put in place. There should be a designated site for recycling purposes. Ray Nkonyeni Municipality is also expected to develop a Waste Management Plan which will guide the municipality in terms of the management of waste in accordance with waste related legislations. These legislations include NEMA, Department of Water Affairs: Minimum Requirements for Waste Management, DEAT: National Waste Management Strategy 1999, White Paper on Integrated Pollution and Waste Management, Waste Management No 59 of 2008, KwaZulu-Natal Waste Management Policy and other relevant policies. The majority of the population buries or burns their waste in their own backyard. This has environmental, health and safety implications for of the community of Ugu.

Table 3.10.3.1: Households Access to Solid Waste Removal


| Refuse removal | Ugu | Umdoni | Umzumbe | uMuziwabantu | Ray Nkonyeni |
|--|---------|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Removed by local authority at least once a week | 34 454 | 7 375 | 21 | 3 007 | 24 053 |
| Removed by local authority less often than once a week | 1 532 | 475 | 10 | 102 | 946 |
| Communal refuse dump | 8 565 | 4 243 | 1 056 | 470 | 2 797 |
| Communal container /central collection point | 5 220 | 2 166 | 0 | 0 | 3 055 |
| Own refuse dump | 11 6354 | 20 432 | 24 765 | 16 460 | 54 697 |
| Dump or leave rubbish anywhere (no rubbish disposal) | 8 468 | 590 | 2 251 | 1 092 | 4 535 |
| Other | 550 | 154 | 28 | 41 | 327 |
| Total | 175 143 | 35 435 | 28 131 | 21 172 | 90 409 |

Source: Statistics SA Community Survey, 2016

3.10.3.1 Implementation Of Iwmp In Ugu District Municipality Per Local Municipality


Umzumbe Local Municipality

Umzumbe Local Municipality renders its waste management services in wards 2, 4, 10, 16 and 17 as indicated on the map in **figure XX below**. It is to be borne in mind that these wards do not receive the service in totality, rather through infrastructure that is placed (skips) in strategic point. For example in ward 16, the collection point is Dustin Farrell TB hospital which caters for that vicinity. In ward 10 the service and infrastructure is limited to the Turton Taxi rank area. Ward 4 is the St Faith's area where there is public transport and commuter activity and in the business centre of Phungashe, Ward 2. Lastly, ward 16 is an administrative centre where government buildings are located. There are informal recycling activities taking place in certain areas by few aspirant informal businesses. Education on waste minimization is an ongoing activity although aspirant recyclers get challenged in terms of the market and end up seeking other opportunities in Ray Nkonyeni Municipality. As Umzumbe does not have its own landfill site, they have an agreement to dispose of its waste at Umdoni Municipality's Humberdale landfill site, which is a formal and legal facility.



Umuziwabantu local municipality


Umuziwabantu's waste management service has improved since the adoption of the IWMP and the municipality has taken advantage of the opportunity extended to it by the Department of Environmental Affairs (DEA) through funding for the Youth Jobs in Waste project. It assisted in the waste collection service and information management for 3 years through temporary employment of post matric youth. The department is further funding the municipality for the development of its local IWMP. However, as a result of lack of improvement in the infrastructure and collection, the service is only limited to ward 3, which is the urban strip of Umuziwabantu as it can be seen on figure XX below.



There is one landfill site that is not formalised yet, where all collection is disposed. As part of the IWMP development and status quo establishment, DEA conducted a survey of waste stream for categorisation purposes and found that the best managed waste in Umuziwabantu is the health care risk waste. The health facilities fully comply with responsible disposal of HCRW.

Umdoni local municipality

Umdoni collects waste mostly in the urban coastal strip and a few rural areas of Amandawe, Dududu and some parts of informal Umzinto as can be seen in [Figure XX below](#). Recycling is mostly taking place in a few urban areas through municipal and community driven initiatives. Schools are a great part of waste management initiatives. Humberdale landfill site is the formal and legal site of Umdoni municipality, which has been extended to Phase through Environmental Protection and Infrastructure funding from the Department of Environmental Affairs. Youth Jobs in Waste was also implemented in Umdoni municipality.




While there is no local IWMP for Umdoni municipality, they rely on the high level IWMP of Ugu DM.


Ray Nkonyeni Municipality

With respect to waste management, Ray Nkonyeni municipality is the most advanced local municipality in terms of policy, strategy, information management, perations and financial allocation. Like other local municipalities, most coverage of the waste collection services is still in the urban coastal strip as can be seen in **figure XX**.

Waste services is focused on the urban coastal strip with 26.6% of households receiving weekly waste collection. The majority (60.1%) utilise their own refuse dump and 5% having no facility to dispose of waste. The areas that receive weekly kerbside collections are detailed in Table 8.

The municipality thus conducts a level 4 service for the coastal areas and a level 3/4 in 21 other wards that are densely populated areas/townships. The combined level of service comprises both awareness campaigns, supervision and provision of refuse bags with recyclable waste collected. Upliftment frequencies range from weekly to quarterly. The coverage of service delivery is thus 69.4% of the municipality.





Map 3.10.3.1: Ugu District Road and Rail Infrastructure

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft SDF, 2018

3.10.4 Transportation Infrastructure

The Ugu District Municipality does not have an adopted Integrated Transport plan as the one available was last adopted in the 2006/2007 financial year and is now outdated. Through the Ugu Sector Wide Infrastructure Audit, updated information is available for the purposes of analysis. Furthermore, Ugu District as an organisation does not maintain the roads as all the district roads were transferred to DOT.

3.10.4.1 Public Transport

Access to public transport is a major development challenge within the Ugu District and a potential factor in increasing access of communities to economic opportunities. 87% of public transport users within the district are dependent on mini bus transport, compared to 9% dependent on bus transport. Table 3.10.4.1.1 illustrates current commuter demand from the main public transport terminals within the Ugu District. In those instances, where bus services are available, they transport more commuters than the mini bus taxi service.

Table 3.10.4.1.1: Commuter Demand from Main Public Transport Terminals

| Terminal point | Annual passengers | Mode | Daily vehicle trips |
|----------------|-------------------|------|---------------------|
| Gamalakhe | 3,282,353 | Bus | 182 |
| | 2,230,800 | MBT | 572 |
| Nyandezulu | 2,837,647 | Bus | 158 |
| | 1,662,300 | MBT | 427 |
| D338/N20 | 2,601,900 | Bus | 144 |
| | 1,879,800 | MBT | 482 |
| Mthwalume | 2,229,390 | Bus | 100 |
| | 1,610,700 | MBT | 413 |
| Murchison | 2,107,059 | Bus | 117 |
| | 1,267,500 | MBT | 325 |
| Assisi | 1,683,529 | Bus | 94 |
| | 741,000 | MBT | 190 |
| Mzumbe | 1,281,177 | Bus | 71 |
| | 588,900 | MBT | 151 |
| Palm Beach | 1,115,100 | Bus | 55 |
| | 807,300 | MBT | 207 |
| Melville | 900,450 | Bus | 42 |
| | 651,300 | MBT | 167 |
| D952/R102 | 198,720 | Bus | 9 |
| | 144,300 | MBT | 37 |
| D1097/N20 | 84,600 | Bus | 5 |
| | 66,560 | MBT | 16 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Sector Wide Infrastructure Audit, 2014

Access to rail transport is limited within the region. The metropolitan rail system serving eThekweni only reaches the northern extremity of the Ugu area with three stages in the Umdoni municipality, namely Kelso, Park Rynie and Scottburgh. Although the south coast railway line is electrified and in use by Transnet Freight Rail as south as Port Shepstone, no commuter services are offered beyond these three stations. The district is looking to benefit from the rail station upgrading plans of the Passenger Rail Agency of South Africa (PRASA), as the Port Shepstone Train Station is one of the targeted multi-modal upgrades. This is in line with longer-term plans to extend the passenger rail service southwards. Ugu stakeholders have made presentations for a rail link into the Eastern Cape. Municipal Integrated Public Transport Plans are required to support the SDF of the district and ensure alignment with the plans for nodal investments.

3.10.4.1.1 Intermodal Public Transport Facilities

The KwaZulu-Natal Department of Transport (KZNDoT) has identified the development of intermodal public transport facilities as one of the key public transport improvement elements. An intermodal facility is a focal point where many modes of transportation converge to provide economical and efficient service to destinations. Port Shepstone has been identified as a potential nodal point for building such a facility. This suggests that there is a constant demand for transport feeding from the more rural areas into this economic hub.

3.10.4.2 Freight Rail Infrastructure

The main rail corridor in the district is the standard gauge South Coast line that runs from Port Shepstone to eThekweni. The volume of freight has been reduced over time due to more goods moving to the N2 for transportation.

The main commodity on the rail network is 500,000 tons of lime clinker from Simuma to Mount Vernon. There are no longer any passenger services in operation on this line.

A narrow-gauge line used to operate between Port Shepstone and Harding. This was primarily used to transport timber and sugar cane. The line was also used for tourism, providing excursions to Paddock. Unfortunately, the line has been abandoned due to the demise of the Alfred County Railway and the final destruction of the Izotsha river bridge in 2007.

There is definitely a need for revival of passenger rail and additional freight rail capacity within the district has been highlighted during the GDS consultation process. The standard gauge line is supplemented by a narrow-gauge line from Port Shepstone to Harding, which no longer functions and is in a state of disrepair. This is primarily used to transport timber and sugar cane. Overall, the volume of freight on this line has reduced and more goods are being transported by road along the N2. The reason given for this switch is that many branch lines are no longer in operation. An opportunity for the district is that Transnet has recently released a plan to invest R300bn in infrastructure within the country, of which R151 billion has been earmarked for freight rail.

Further investigation into demand should be undertaken to inform an approach to Transnet. This could include a review of the current location of the railway line with a proposal to re-route the railway line away from the coast into the hinterland. This would serve to boost tourism along the coastal strip and economic activity within the hinterland.

3.10.4.3 Roads and Transport

The road hierarchy in the district starts with national roads, then provincial roads down to local municipal roads. The N2 runs parallel to the coast with plans to extend this coastal route into the Eastern Cape. The provincial road network provides a high proportion of the road network in Ugu and covers a vast range of types of roads from main regional links (class 2) through to local roads (class 7). In terms of road usage, up to date traffic volume data is only available for the N2 and R103.

The largest traffic volumes pass along the N2 towards Port Shepstone, and further towards Kokstad, as well as along the R61 from Port Shepstone towards Port Edward. Large volumes of traffic also pass along the R612 from Park Rynie to Ixopo and the road from Umtentweni to St Faiths. Access to road infrastructure varies across the district, especially between rural and urban areas. In Table 3.10.4.3.1, access to transport is measured in terms of the percentage of households that have access to Level 1 and Level 3 roads (i.e. national, main and district roads).

Table 3.10.4.3.1: Access to Transport

| Local Municipality | HH within 1 km of Road | Total HH | Percentage |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------|------------|
| Former Vulamehlo | 11,771 | 15,661 | 75 |
| Umzumbe | 24,274 | 31,801 | 76 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | 56,989 | 60,521 | 87 |
| Umuziwabantu | 17,956 | 20,840 | 86 |

| | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|----|
| Umdoni | 16,452 | 17,257 | 95 |
| Ugu District | 127,442 | 146,080 | 87 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Sector Wide Infrastructure Audit, 2014

The SDF has identified priority road corridors for development that will promote spatial integration of the district. These include the upgrading of the P77 and P58 in order to increase accessibility for rural communities. Consultations with the agricultural sector have also identified key roads and causeways that need to be targeted for upgrade to open up areas for commercial activity. Other sectors have raised concerns regarding the poor condition of roads servicing large populations, such as Gamalakhe. The key strategy for the district will be to ensure that its specific road infrastructure needs are included in the Department of Transport's and relevant local municipalities' project priority lists.

The poor condition of provincial and local roads within the Ugu District has been raised during the GDS consultation process. Lack of road maintenance and asset deterioration will result in much greater financial burdens on provincial government and municipalities in the medium to longterm.

A road in poor condition also impacts negatively on journey duration and road safety, decreasing the accessibility or desirability of the region for business. The findings of the Infrastructure Audit undertaken by the municipality were that:

- National roads are of a very high standard with good continual maintenance. Funding is generally adequate, supported by funds generated by toll fees.
- Funding for maintenance and new provincial roads is limited, and generally dealt with at two levels. First, there are major/strategic projects, and secondly there are locally-based projects operated by the regional cost centre based in Port Shepstone and communicated through local "Transport Forums"
- Local roads within the more urban centres are constructed and maintained by local municipalities. Rural municipalities are heavily reliant on the provincial Department of transport for budget.

3.10.4.4 Air Transport

Given the fairly recent relocation of the Durban International Airport to the site of the King Shaka International Airport and Dube Tradeport along the KZN North Coast, the South Coast is largely serviced in terms of both passenger and cargo air transportation. As a result, the South Coast is much less accessible to tourists than previously with the international airport now favouring the North Coast of KZN. The cost of doing business within Ugu has increased due to longer travel times and greater traffic congestion. The potential exists to increase the capacity of the existing Margate Airport to handle low-cost airlines to make it more attractive within the market. Additionally, the airport could accommodate small cargo planes to ensure that the agricultural sector is able to remain competitive and transport perishable goods.

The upgrade of Margate Airport will make it easier for tourists to access the district once they are in the province, as well as making it significantly easier and simpler for the tourists to remain in the province for longer periods, due to the expanded range of tourism products in keeping with the tourists' needs. In addition, the area around Margate airport presents substantial potential for future industrial development. The Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs financed to the tune of R10m for the upgrade of the Margate Airport and the project bore fruit in November 2013 when the first airplane landed. It is a commercial airliner and will be operating between OR Tambo and Margate respectively until more lines are opened. The municipality has been engaged on this process for the last ±3 years. The Provincial Treasury has a project to revitalize all the regional airports.


3.10.4.5 Integrated Transport Plan (ITP)

There is currently no Integrated Transport Plan in place for the municipality, however, the municipality is currently sourcing funding to develop the plan.

3.10.4.6 Ugu DGDS on transportation Infrastructure


The DGDS identifies the recent relocation of the Durban International Airport to the site of the King Shaka International Airport and Dube Tradeport along the KZN North Coast, as leaving the South Coast largely unserved in terms of both passenger and cargo air transportation, thus the South Coast left much less accessible to tourists than previously and the cost of doing business within Ugu increasing due to longer travel times and greater traffic congestion. It therefore advocates for an increased need to develop Margate Airport to address these challenges.

It further identifies the potential of the coastline to drive development through harbours, sea transport and other maritime sector investments, which is largely unexplored by both the public and private sectors. Included within this sub-sector are small-craft harbours, which have the potential to enhance tourism, and create a waterfront node within the Ugu District.



Map 3.10.4.3.1: Ugu District Road and Rail Infrastructure

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft SDF, 2017



Map 3.10.4.3.2: Ugu District Road Network Surface

Source: Ugu District Municipality SDF, 2018

3.10.5 Electricity

Eskom is the sole supplier of electricity in all of the Ugu District with the exception of the urban areas of Port Shepstone and Harding. Major capacity problems that affected most of Ugu District were addressed some ten years ago, through the construction of major infrastructure, mostly in the Harding area. Following from this, capacity problems are of a more localised nature as a result of the “Electrification for All” programme and major developments that have occurred over this time. In addition, future developments, and electrification backlog programmes will require localised infrastructure upgrades such as the proposed new Kenterton Substation which is being built to accommodate electrification backlog requirements. Generically, commercial developments will not have infrastructure built for them until such time as the relevant developer makes a financial commitment. The proposed new Margate Airport will be a case in point where purpose-built infrastructure will almost certainly be required. Power quality information is not made available by Eskom, so it is not possible to assess problems that may be experienced throughout the Ugu District due to ageing or inadequate infrastructure. However, Eskom keeps extensive records regarding power line performance which heavily influences their annual budgets for refurbishment and maintenance. Eskom electrification delivery depends on the level of the National Treasury MTEF funding normally projected over a three-year period.

3.10.5.1 Electricity Bulk Infrastructure


The current reticulation network within Ugu Municipal area as indicated in map shows areas already electrified and the location of the bulk electricity infrastructure. For the backlog analysis, all households greater than 4km from the network and in settlements of less than 50 households per square (50hh/km²) kilometre can theoretically not be supplied by the existing network. Following from this, capacity problems are of a more localised nature as a result of the “Electrification for All” programme and major developments that have occurred over this time. In addition, future developments, and electrification backlog programmes will require localised infrastructure upgrades such as the proposed new Kenterton Substation which is being built to accommodate electrification backlog requirements. Generically, commercial developments will not have infrastructure built for them until such time as the relevant developer makes a financial commitment. The proposed new Margate Airport will be a case in point where purpose-built infrastructure will almost certainly be required. The Department of Energy (DoE) is responsible for the funding of all prepaid electrification which is mainly in Eskom’s rural areas of supply. In terms of commercial development, it was stated in the Ugu Infrastructure Audit that generically, a commercial development “will not have infrastructure built for them until such time as the relevant developer makes a financial commitment. This indicates that current electricity infrastructure is not sufficient to support future commercial development within the Ugu District and will require financial commitment from the investor themselves.

3.10.5.2 Access to Electricity

The total number of households that do not have access to electricity in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction amounts to 26 271 (15%). The major backlog is in Umzumbe (28%) and Umdoni (19%) municipalities. This access to electricity increased by 12% from 70% in 2011 to 82% in 2016.

Figure 3.10.5.2.1: Ugu District Access to Electricity


Source: Statics SA Community Survey, 2016



The Department of Energy (DoE) is responsible for the funding of all prepaid electrification which is mainly in Eskom's rural areas of supply. In terms of commercial development, it was stated in the Ugu Infrastructure Audit that generically, a commercial development "will not have infrastructure built for them until such time as the relevant developer makes a financial commitment. This indicates that current electricity infrastructure is not sufficient to support future commercial development within the Ugu District, and will require financial commitment from the investor themselves.


3.10.5.3 Alternative Energy

With regards to alternative energy, it is clear from the Community Survey 2016 data that there is still very minimal use of alternative energy within the households with electricity being the preferred energy. Many strides have been taken to promote the use of sustainable alternative source of energy. One of those have been sustainable solar lighting packages offered to rural households and schools without access to the formal electricity grid within the Ugu region. A basic package costed at a once-off payment of R 2 500.00 includes two lights and cell phone charging. A more comprehensive package costed at R 14 000.00 includes energy for a TV, fridge, radio, cell phone charging and three lights. The products are German-made, and the installation company has received no come-backs. The main obstacle to roll-out is financing as cash is required upfront. Capital is the only bank open to financing this market and willing to attend community engagement sessions. Such a product is a serious option for service delivery to scattered rural communities within the district.



Map 3.10.5.1.1: Ugu District Bulk Electricity Infrastructure

Source: Ugu District Municipality SDF, 2018



Map 3.10.5.2.2: Ugu District Bulk Electricity Infrastructure

Source: Ugu District Municipality SDF, 2018

3.10.6 Telecommunications

Telecommunications data is not easily accessible for the district especially regarding the infrastructure on the ground. Whilst no detailed indication of fixed line or broadband services was available, it has been noted that broadband services are limited and only available in major centres. In response to this need, the Ugu DM has initiated a broadband project for the region.

3.10.7 Access to Community Facilities

Major facilities exist and are evenly spread throughout the District and the entire District is well served for the most part with a range of facilities. Some facilities serve immediate and “local” level populations; while other facilities require large thresholds of support, and consequently serve large areas. Educational facilities have to spread in relation to settlement patterns as they are “local” level facilities and health facilities require large thresholds and consequently will tend to be located at appropriate interceptor locations, mainly at appropriate “Nodes”.

In terms of health care facilities, there are about 75 structures which range from a Mobile Clinic to a regional hospital. The Ugu District area of jurisdiction with regards to Primary Health Care (PHC) facilities has two community health centres, 15 mobile clinics and 53 clinics with the greatest number of these facilities being concentrated in Ray Nkonyeni Municipality having four of its facilities functioning as 24-hour clinics located in Gamalakhe, Izingolweni, Gcilima and Ntabeni.

With regards to physical educational infrastructure, there are 519 registered public and independent ordinary schools in the Ugu District of which 492 are ordinary public schools, 16 are ordinary independent schools and 3 are special needs schools and 8 are Pre-primary schools.

There are 29 libraries in Ugu District area of jurisdiction which are largely concentrated in the Ray Nkonyeni Municipality; however, there is at least one library in each local municipality. There are only two museums which are all located in the Ray Nkonyeni Municipality. There is a reasonable spread of sport fields in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction with over 50 fields across the district.

The civic centres are only limited to the predominantly urban municipalities and are only three, which are namely, Port Shepstone, Umzinto and Scottburgh Civic Centres. There is however, a wide distribution of community halls across the district which are 47 in total. There are 14 police stations spread across in the district.

3.10.8 Human Settlements

The South African Constitution (1996) names access to adequate housing as a basic human right and as a government department mandated to carry out the roll out of adequate housing, the Department of Human Settlements has its work cut out for itself. Through various partnerships and other government departments supporting the cause. Though in recent years there has been a shift as far as focusing on housing as the product but rather taking on a more holistic view as far as sustainable human settlements, where citizens benefit from housing situated in favourable locations, near the necessary amenities such as healthcare facilities, police stations, recreational facilities etc. these human settlements are also required to come equipped with the necessary infrastructure including water and sanitation, electricity, a well-maintained and accessible road network etc.

Though the UGU District contains four local municipalities, the pattern of a densely-populated coastline with a sparsely populated hinterland is the overlaying theme and thus the delivery of sustainable human settlements must be planned to address these developmental imbalances. To ensure the vision of sustainable human settlements is fulfilled it is important that all stakeholders' plans align accordingly including that of the district to provide water and sanitation services.

3.10.8.1 Housing Need

There are two main ways of determining housing demand/need within municipal areas. The first method uses census statistics. The housing backlog estimates based on census data takes into account both the population residing in informal settlements, and the creation of housing

opportunities for those in inadequate formal shelter, such as outhouses, shaky mud structures or under conditions of over-crowding. The number of beneficiaries identified through project identification should be treated as an interim measure while the municipality prepares a housing list. The data derived from the housing list will complement this data.

3.10.8.2 Informal settlements

The Informal Settlement Eradication Strategy for KwaZulu-Natal (2011) identifies Ray Nkonyeni Municipality as one of the 15 strategic priority areas for strategies and plans to address informal settlements. It identifies four informal settlements and suggests that approximately 4 483 households reside in these areas. The areas being:

- ☐ Bhobhoyi Phase 2 with 1100 households.
- ☐ Louisiana with 1000 households.
- ☐ Masinenge with 1542 households.
- ☐ Mkholombe with 1600 households.

However, the municipality has been very progressive in the eradication of informal settlements. The Municipal strategy predominantly aims at providing new Greenfields housing developments near the current informal settlements.

3.10.8.3 Rural Housing

Rural housing projects are at different stages from construction to planning (see chapter 5). Implementation and completion is dependent upon Human Settlement Grant funding from the Provincial Department of Human Settlements (annually). Rural housing projects are implemented mainly on communal land and are based on functional land tenure rights.

3.10.8.4 Gap Housing

The gap housing market comprises people who typically earn between R3500 and R10 000 per month, which is too little to enable them to participate in the private property market, yet too much to qualify for state assistance. It is difficult to estimate precisely how large the demand for the gap-housing product is, as it fluctuates with interest rate changes and employment levels.

3.10.8.5 Greenfield housing

In addition to the eradication of informal settlements and rural housing projects, the Municipality is also implementing a number of greenfield housing projects. Many these are urban and will contribute significantly towards addressing the housing backlog as it affects both the low income and middle-income communities.

3.10.9 Basic Service Delivery SWOT Analysis

The Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development SWOT analysis are summarised below.

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · The coastal strip has good access to basic services · Infrastructure Grant Expenditure availability to speed up backlog eradication · Availability of fresh water resources (plans to undertake/ implement regional schemes) · Good road infrastructure already in place · Even spread of community facilities (reasonable access across the district) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Inadequate maintenance of infrastructure (reservoirs) · Aged water and sanitation infrastructure · Outdated Water and Sanitation Masterplan · Lack of capacity of key treatment plants · Historic haphazard infrastructure development in rural areas · Basic services backlog concentration in the rural areas · Below minimum standards No, Blue, and Green Drop scores · Poorly organised waste minimisation and lack of integrated recycling system · Limited access to public transport · Outdated Integrated Transport Plan · Limited telecommunications data for analysis · Informal settlements · Illegal Connections |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Alternative water sources · Alternative energy | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Natural adversities such as droughts and flooding · Urbanisation and rural population outmigration · Immigration – the district is the Eastern Cape gateway to KZN, the arrival of people seeking better opportunities often further burdens the already stretched infrastructure. |

3.11 Local Economic Development

This section provides a brief overview of the economic indicators of the Ugu District Municipality area of jurisdiction. The data that has been used was sourced from the Statistics SA Census 2011 and 2016 Community Survey results, DGDS, Ugu LED Strategy, Department of Education, and Department of Health.

3.11.1 Municipal Comparative & Competitive Advantages

The district municipality has a well-developed economic infrastructure in terms roads, highways, railroads, airports, sea ports, electricity, telecommunications, water supply and sanitation. All these supports any development that is intended to be established or that already exist within the municipality that helps in developing the economy of the areas within the district. With well-established roads the municipality attracts tourists from other areas which also assist with the economic development of the municipality. The transport infrastructure on the South Coast is well-developed and provides the area with a competitive advantage. The competitive advantage relates particularly to the N2 road and rail access to the south of the eThekweni Municipality, the industrial hub of the Province and eastern seaboard. The basic airport infrastructure (in terms of specifically the runway) is also sufficient to support a much busier aviation sector than is currently the case. Potentially the sea access could also be developed to support the development of the tourism, industrial and commercial sectors. The move of Durban International to the north of Durban will potentially increase the value of the Margate Airport for the region. The linking of production, processing and manufacturing activity around the Margate Airport, to export activities at the Dube Tradeport should receive consideration. The agri-business focus of the Dube Tradeport should specifically be considered in this regard. The Wild Coast N2 toll road will further link the Ugu District to the Eastern Cape and the urban centres of Umtata, East London and Port Elizabeth. Other than just the linking of urban centres along the eastern seaboard it is also anticipated that the route will develop a new tourism corridor, as well as potentially open up mining opportunities on the coast (to the south of Ugu).

3.11.2 Main Economic Contributors

In order to gain perspective on the economic situation within a specific area, the Gross Value Added (GVA) contribution for that specific area is considered. Table 3.11.2.1 below provides an overview of the GVA contribution per economic sector for South Africa, the KwaZulu-Natal Province and the Ugu District Municipality, while Graph 6 highlights the GVA production for Ugu District Municipality for 2005 and 2015.

Table 3.11.2.1: Ugu Gross Value-Added Contribution

| Economic Sector | South Africa | | KwaZulu-Natal | | Ugu DM | |
|---------------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|---------|--------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2015 | 2005 | 2015 | 2005 | 2015 |
| GVA (R/million) | 1 469 238 | 3 589 803 | 243 330 | 582 450 | 9 976 | 25 642 |
| Agriculture | 3% | 2% | 4% | 4% | 9% | 8% |
| Mining | 7% | 8% | 2% | 4% | 9% | 8% |
| Manufacturing | 18% | 13% | 23% | 18% | 16% | 15% |
| Utilities | 2% | 4% | 2% | 4% | 3% | 4% |
| Construction | 3% | 4% | 3% | 5% | 3% | 4% |
| Retail trade | 14% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 17% | 17% |
| Transport | 11% | 10% | 15% | 14% | 12% | 12% |
| Business services | 21% | 21% | 18% | 18% | 17% | 15% |
| Social services | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 8% | 7% |
| Government services | 15% | 17% | 13% | 16% | 14% | 16% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

Table 3.11.2.1 above shows that the GVA for Ugu District Municipality in 2015 was over R25.6 billion, which increased by approximately R15.7 billion from R9.9 billion in 2005. From the table and graph above, it is clear that the greatest contribution towards Ugu District Municipality's GVA in 2015 was made by the retail trade sector at 17% (approximately R4.2 billion). This sector is closely related to tourism and associated activities, highlighting the importance of tourism within Ugu. The second largest contributor towards Ugu's GVA is the manufacturing sector at 15.2% (approximately R3.9 billion), as Ugu is known for its strong representation of the manufacturing sector, amongst others. This is also a good reflection of the Ugu GDS' vision for the District, which

aims to become a leading tourism destination and manufacturing hub. The business services sector contributed approximately 15% towards the District's GVA (approximately R3.8 billion), holding the third largest share. The business sector in Ugu is well established with existing networks and road linkages to greater business regions such as Durban. The three poorest performing sectors within Ugu is the construction sector, holding 5% of the GVA share (approximately R1.3 billion), the utilities sector at 4% (approximately R1.1 billion) and the mining sector which holds a mere 1% of the GVA share, contributing only R181 million towards the GVA production for Ugu District Municipality.

Table 3.11.2.2 below shows the local GVA sectoral contribution for each of the local municipalities contained within Ugu District Municipality. The sectors performing best overall in the District (i.e. retail trade, manufacturing and business services) are also performing well within each of the local municipalities – however, the government services sector holds the greatest GVA share in UMuziwabantu, and second largest in Umdoni and Umzumbe Local Municipalities. A high dependency on the government services sector is a negative indication as income from the government does not represent new income generation.

Table 3.11.2.2: Sectoral share of GVA at current basic prices per LM within Ugu DM, 2015

| Economic Sector | Umdoni | Umzumbe | Umuziwabantu | Ray Nkonyeni |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Agriculture | 10% | 6% | 12% | 7% |
| Mining | 0% | 0% | 1% | 1% |
| Manufacturing | 15% | 12% | 13% | 16% |
| Utilities | 4% | 4% | 3% | 5% |
| Construction | 4.7% | 6% | 5% | 5% |
| Retail trade | 16% | 20% | 17% | 16% |
| Transport | 13% | 13% | 14% | 11% |
| Business services | 13% | 13% | 9% | 17% |
| Social services | 7% | 8% | 7% | 7% |
| Government services | 16% | 17% | 18% | 15% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

From Table 3.11.2.3 below, it is very clear that Ray Nkonyeni's economy contribute the most towards the District GVA, both in total and for each sector within the District economy. This can be attributed to a number of factors, which include the fact that Ray Nkonyeni covers the greatest area out of all four local municipalities (1 487 km²) and holds the biggest population. Ray Nkonyeni furthermore contains a number of key towns, manufacturing hubs and tourism destinations, of which Margate and surrounds is the biggest and most popular. The established transportation networks, especially the N2 that run through the hinterland and the R61, R620 and R102 which runs along the coast ensures greater connectivity across Ray Nkonyeni, allowing the movement of goods and people to occur more efficiently than in the other local municipalities.

Table 3.11.2.3: Contribution of LMs to sectoral GVA of the Ugu DM, 2015

| Economic Sector | Umdoni | Umzumbe | Umuziwabantu | Ray Nkonyeni |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Agriculture | 26% | 7% | 13% | 54% |
| Mining | 6% | 6% | 13% | 76% |
| Manufacturing | 20% | 8% | 7% | 65% |
| Utilities | 20% | 9% | 5% | 66% |
| Construction | 18% | 10% | 9% | 63% |
| Retail trade | 19% | 11% | 9% | 61% |

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Transport | 22% | 10% | 19% | 58% |
| Business services | 18% | 8% | 5% | 69% |
| Social services | 19% | 10% | 8% | 63% |
| Government services | 20% | 10% | 10% | 60% |
| Total | 20% | 9% | 8% | 62% |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

When examining GVA growth per sector within each local municipality, it is clear that the greatest growth is experienced in the construction sector in UMuziwabantu (9%), while business services sector in UMuziwabantu and Umzumbe are also showing high annual average growth rates at 8.4% and 7.9% respectively. On a district level, the three sectors that are showing the greatest annual average growth, is the construction sector (6.2%), the agriculture sector (4.1%) and the transport sector (4%). The construction sector within Ugu District showed the highest growth out of all sectors, despite national challenges faced within the construct sector relating to labour unrest during 2014/2015 and a national slump within this sector. Growth in this sector furthermore points to development of new and upgrading of existing infrastructure and facilities within the district, which is a precursor of any economic development. Growth in the agriculture sector within the district is positive as food security concerns are ever increasing. For Ugu, growth in the agriculture sector is particularly important, as the vision of the GDS is to become an agricultural hub by 2030.

Table 3.11.2.4: Ugu GVA growth rate per sector for LMs, 2005-2015

| Economic Sector | Umdoni | Umzumbe | Umuziwabantu | Ray Nkonyeni | Ugu |
|---------------------|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Agriculture | 3.6% | 3.5% | 1.6% | 5.3% | 4.1% |
| Mining | -2.4% | -0.5% | 0.2% | -1.6% | -1.3% |
| Manufacturing | 1.9% | 5.0% | 2.7% | 4.2% | 3.7% |
| Utilities | 0.3% | 0.0% | 3.1% | -0.9% | -0.5% |
| Construction | 6.6% | 7.0% | 9.0% | 5.6% | 6.2% |
| Retail trade | 2.8% | 5.7% | 3.2% | 3.2% | 3.4% |
| Transport | 2.9% | 2.4% | 4.7% | 4.7% | 4.0% |
| Business services | 2.1% | 7.9% | 8.4% | 2.7% | 3.2% |
| Social services | 3.1% | 2.6% | 3.7% | 3.5% | 3.5% |
| Government services | 3.7% | 1.0% | 3.3% | 3.6% | 3.3% |
| Total | 2.9% | 3.9% | 3.6% | 3.5% | 3.4% |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

3.11.3 Employment and Income Levels

Employment is considered as one of the 'big three' economic indicators along with inflation and GDP/GVA, when analysing the economic situation for a specific area or region. Employment rate, sectoral employment share and skills level all contribute to establishing the employment and labour profile of a specific area, such as Ugu District Municipality. Table 3.11.3.1 contains the employment sector share per economic sector for South Africa, KwaZulu-Natal and Ugu District Municipality. From the table it is noted that on a national level, the business services sector held the greatest share in 2015 (18%), while the government services sector holds the greatest share of employment for both the KwaZulu-Natal Province (19%) and Ugu District Municipality (19%). The utilities sector holds the smallest share of employment on National (1%), Provincial (0.4%) and District level (0.4%).

Table 3.11.3.1: Sectoral share of employment, 2005 and 2015

| Economic Sector | South Africa | | KwaZulu-Natal | | Ugu DM | |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|
| | 2005 | 2015 | 2005 | 2015 | 2005 | 2015 |
| Jobs | 10 792 586 | 11 346 221 | 1 734 292 | 1 773 124 | 89 925 | 91 337 |
| Agriculture | 3% | 2% | 4% | 4% | 9% | 8% |
| Mining | 7% | 8% | 2% | 4% | 9% | 8% |
| Manufacturing | 18% | 13% | 23% | 18% | 16% | 15% |
| Utilities | 2% | 4% | 2% | 4% | 3% | 4% |
| Construction | 3% | 4% | 3% | 5% | 3% | 4% |
| Retail trade | 14% | 15% | 15% | 15% | 17% | 17% |
| Transport | 11% | 10% | 15% | 14% | 12% | 12% |
| Business services | 21% | 21% | 18% | 18% | 17% | 15% |
| Social services | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% | 8% | 7% |
| Government services | 15% | 17% | 13% | 16% | 14% | 16% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

Table 3.11.3.2 below reveals the employment share per economic sector for each local municipality within Ugu District Municipality. From the table it is revealed that except for Umdoni, the government services sector holds the greatest share of employment for the local municipalities in Ugu. The agriculture sector in Umdoni (19%) holds the greatest share of employment and in UMuziwabantu the agricultural sector holds the second largest share of employment (20%). The mining sector holds the smallest share of employment in all four local municipalities, and the utilities sector holds the second smallest share.

Table 3.11.3.2: Sectoral share of employment per LM within Ugu DM, 2015

| Economic Sector | Umdoni | Umzumbe | Umuziwabantu | Ray Nkonyeni |
|---------------------|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Agriculture | 19% | 12% | 20% | 13% |
| Mining | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Manufacturing | 10% | 9% | 8% | 10% |
| Utilities | 0% | 0% | 0% | 1% |
| Construction | 4% | 5% | 4% | 5% |
| Retail trade | 16% | 19% | 17% | 17% |
| Transport | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| Business services | 11% | 12% | 10% | 14% |
| Social services | 18% | 19% | 16% | 19% |
| Government services | 17% | 20% | 21% | 19% |

| | | | | |
|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
|-------|------|------|------|------|

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

When considering the contribution of sectors within the local municipalities within Ugu, towards the sector share in Ugu, it is clear that Ray Nkonyeni holds the greatest share of employment for all economic sectors (approximately 59%). The sectoral employment split per local municipality within the district employment contribution is set out in the Table 3.11.3.3 below, with the total employment share revealed in Figure 3.11.3.1 below:

Table 3.11.3.3: Contribution of LMs to sectoral employment, 2015

| Economic Sector | Umdoni | Umzumbe | Umuziwabantu | Ray Nkonyeni | Ugu |
|---------------------|--------|---------|--------------|--------------|------|
| Agriculture | 27% | 9% | 13% | 52% | |
| Mining | 5% | 6% | 16% | 73% | 100% |
| Manufacturing | 21% | 10% | 8% | 61% | 100% |
| Utilities | 20% | 9% | 6% | 66% | 100% |
| Construction | 19% | 11% | 9% | 6% | 100% |
| Retail trade | 20% | 12% | 10% | 59% | 100% |
| Transport | 23% | 10% | 10% | 58% | 100% |
| Business services | 18% | 10% | 7% | 65% | 100% |
| Social services | 21% | 11% | 8% | 60% | 100% |
| Government services | 19% | 11% | 11% | 58% | 100% |
| Total | 21% | 10% | 10% | 59% | 100% |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017




Figure 3.11.3.1: Contributions of LMS to Employment

Source: LED Draft Strategy, 2018

Table 3.11.3.4 below provides a summary of growth in employment between 2005 and 2015 and seeks to reveal the employment trends for Ugu District Municipality for the same period. From the table it is clear that Ugu

District Municipality has seen a very small increase in employment between 2005 and 2015, with a total gain of only 1 412 jobs at an annual growth rate of 0.2%, and an average of 141 new jobs created per year. It should be noted that only four sectors within Ugu showed positive employment growth; the government services sector (3%), business services sector (1.1%), utilities sector (1.1%) and the retail trade sector (0.1%).

Table 3.11.3.4: Ugu Average per annum employment growth, 2005-2015

| Economic Sector | 2005 | 2015 | Av. annual growth rate | Av. No. of new jobs per annum |
|---------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Agriculture | 16905 | 13777 | - 2.0% | - 313 |
| Mining | 156 | 120 | - 2.6% | - 4 |
| Manufacturing | 9431 | 8781 | - 0.7% | - 65 |
| Utilities | 377 | 419 | 1.1% | 4 |
| Construction | 4715 | 4150 | -1.3% | -57 |
| Retail trade | 15307 | 15537 | 0.1% | 23 |
| Transport | 2654 | 2649 | 0.0% | -1 |
| Business services | 10709 | 11900 | 1.1% | 119 |
| Social services | 16786 | 16760 | 0.0% | -3 |
| Government services | 12885 | 17244 | 3.0 | 436 |
| Total | 89925 | 91337 | 0.2 | 141 |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

The sector showing the greatest decline in employment is the agriculture sector. In recent years the agricultural sector has been struggling as a result of drought affecting the district as a whole, which have seen an influence on the number of produce harvested and consequently the number of labourers used on a seasonal basis. Other possible reason for this decline in employment could be high minimum labour costs imposed and mechanisation of agricultural activities, or a combination of all of these factors. Table 3.11.3.5 below depicts the skill level for those employed in Ugu District Municipality for the year 2005 compared to the year 2015. From the table it is clear that the majority (42%) of those formally employed in 2015, were semi-skilled, followed by 37% that are low skilled, and only 22% who can be classified as skilled.

Table 3.11.3.5: Skill level of formal employment for Ugu DM, 2005 and 2015

| Skill Level | 2005 | | 2015 | |
|--------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| | Population | Share | Population | Share |
| Skilled | 17 205 | 19% | 19 655 | 22% |
| Semi-skilled | 38 527 | 43% | 38 279 | 42% |
| Low skilled | 34 193 | 38% | 33 403 | 37% |
| Total | 89 925 | 100% | 91 337 | 100% |

Source: Ugu Draft LED Strategy, 2017

It is also evident that the percentage of skilled employees has increased, and the percentage of semiskilled and low skilled employees has decreased. Furthermore, the overall numbers of those formally employed have increased by 1 412, (approximately 1.5%). More significant however, is the increase of approximately 2 450 in those employed in skilled occupations (approximate 3% increase), which shows a slight shift within the District towards higher skilled occupation.

3.11.3.1 Income Levels

According to Census 2011 data, 73% of households within Ugu District Municipality fall within the low-income classification (R0 - R 38 400 income p/a), whereas 18% of households fall within the middle-income classification (R 38 401 - R153 600 p/a) and as little as 3% of the households are high income earners (R153 601 and above p/a).

The average monthly income for households that fall within the low-income category is approximately R2 700 per month. This implies that the majority (73%) of households residing in Ugu District Municipality are struggling to afford lives basic necessities. According to the South African Audience Foundation's (SAARF) Living Standard Measurement (LSM), these households fall in LSM level 3 or lower, based on average income. This means that that these households are typically headed by females; the majority of this population are aged between 15-34 years of age and have completed some high school. These households are located in small towns or rural areas and their dwellings comprise of either a shack or traditional hut.

These households and individuals are typically very dependent on social grants. Despite the fact that social grants aim to improve the living standards of poverty stricken and needy individuals and households and to redistribute wealth to create a more equitable society, it could also lead to a dependency mind-set which can cause despondency and unwillingness of individuals to look for employment or create their own income-generating opportunities. A high dependency on social grants furthermore leads to increased government spending per year.

Approximately 67% of the total area of Ugu District Municipality falls within the low-income category (3 221 km²). These areas are typically situated inland within the rural areas and hinterland. From the map it is also interesting to note that approximately 95% of the total area of Umzumbe Local Municipality is classified as low income.

Approximately 30% of the total area of Ugu are classified as middle income (1 426 km²), which comprise approximately 18% of households. These areas are located mainly along the coastline and within UMuziwabantu Local Municipality. The average monthly income for these areas is R5 239, which puts these households within LSM level 5 or 6. This means that these households typically stay in small urban or rural areas in houses, townhouses, clusters or so-called "matchbox" houses. Only about 3% of the total area of Ugu comprise of high income, which are contained within Ray Nkonyeni Local Municipality, along the coastline (Southbroom, Ramsgate, Margate, Port Shepstone) and includes a great portion of cultivated sugarcane lands.

3.11.3.2 Levels of Poverty

This section begins gives an overview of the levels of poverty in the district even though it does not go in depth to identify the underlying causes of poverty. The Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index from Statistics South Africa measures the four poverty dimensions as illustrated in figure 3.11.3.2.1 below. The multi-dimensional poverty level in the Ugu District Municipality is 12% as reflected in Table 3.11.3.2.1. There has been a reasonable decline in the poverty levels in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction moving from 32% in 2001 to 12% in 2016, a decrease of 20% over 15 years (see Table 3.11.3.2.1 and Figure 3.11.3.2.2). It is worth noting however, the poverty intensity has remained at an average of 42% of the same period as reflected




Table 3.11.3.2.1: Ugu Multi-Dimensional Poverty Index

| Municipality | Headcount (%) | | | Intensity (%) | | |
|--------------|---------------|------|------|---------------|------|------|
| | 2001 | 2011 | 2016 | 2001 | 2011 | 2016 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | 25 | 12 | 11 | 43 | 42 | 42 |
| Umdoni | 29 | 22 | 13 | 44 | 44 | 41 |
| UMuziwabantu | 38 | 17 | 17 | 42 | 42 | 43 |
| Umzumbe | 37 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 41 | 43 |
| Ugu | 32 | 15 | 12 | 43 | 42 | 42 |

Figure 3.11.3.2.2 Ugu Multi-Dimensional Poverty Levels Source: Statistics SA, 2016

Taking a closer look at the district and its family of municipalities, it comes as no surprise that the predominantly rural municipalities are plagued with the highest poverty rates as illustrated in figure 3.8.1.2. The Umzumbe municipality endures the highest poverty levels with Ray Nkonyeni municipality enduring the lowest at 19% and 11% respectively.

3.11.4 Creation of Job Opportunities

With regards to creation of job opportunities, the District is actively involved in the Extended Public Works Programme. The district further ensures that more job opportunities are created through the implementation of LED projects.

3.11.4.1 Expanded Public Works Programme (EPWP)

The EPWP is one of several Government initiatives aimed at addressing unemployment and alleviating poverty within Ugu District Municipality in the short to medium-term. The EPWP involves creating temporary work opportunities for the unemployed, using public sector expenditure. The importance of the EPWP is clearly reflected in key government policies like the New Growth Path (NGP) and the National Development Plan (NDP). In both, EPWP is positioned as a key programme to contribute to achieving government's goals of halving unemployment and addressing gaps in the social protection system.

Furthermore, the Ugu District Municipality has been mandated to create work opportunities. To assist with the implementation of EPWP projects and achievement of targets, EXCO Resolution noted that the EPWP Unit requires capacity to operate and achieve targets set by the Department of Public Works.

Review of the EPWP Phase III

- A review of the EPWP Phase III was conducted by the technical support that was provided by a team specialist from ILO and DPW.
- The aim of the review was to identify the achievements, challenges, and lesson learnt in the implementation of the EPWP Phase III
- The methodology used in conducting the review was: extensive consultations with EPWP managers across all spheres of government and sectors of the programme, in-depth interviews stakeholders, existing EPWP reports and analysis of available EPWP data.
- The findings from the review assisted to inform some of the proposals for the EPWP Phase IV.
- An extensive e impact evaluation of the EPWP Phase III is currently underway.

The objectives of the EPWP Phase IV and it's framework

The objective of the EPWP Phase IV is to provide work opportunities and income support to poor and unemployed people through the delivery of public and community asserts and services, thereby contributing to its development.

Unemployed people will improve their wellbeing

| | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|
| Programme Impact | Employment Creation | Acquiring work-based skills and workplace experience enhancing their potential to find further work in the formal or informal sector. |
| | 2. Income support | Earning an increase income and improving their household securities. |
| | 3. Development of community asserts and the provision of services | Benefiting from improved service delivery and infrastructure in their communities. |

Ugu District Overall Performance

| Public Body | Sector | Work Opportunities | | Full Time Equivalent | | % Progress | |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|------------|------|
| | | Targeted | Achieved | Targeted | Achieved | WOs | FTEs |
| Ugu District | Infrastructure | 3285 | 666 | 1071 | 201 | 20% | 19% |
| | Environment and Culture | 0 | 464 | 0 | 73 | N/A | N/A |
| | Social Sector | 273 | 578 | 95 | 147 | 212% | 155% |
| Total | | 3558 | 1 708 | 1166 | 163 | 48% | 36% |
| Public Body | Sector | Work Opportunities | | Full Time Equivalent | | % Progress | |
| | | Targeted | Achieved | Targeted | Achieved | WOs | FTEs |
| Umdoni | Infrastructure | 501 | 141 | 164 | 15 | 28% | 9% |
| | Environment and Culture | 214 | 148 | 80 | 28 | 69% | 35% |
| | Social Sector | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 43% | 0% |
| Total | | 715 | 309 | 244 | 8 | 43% | 18% |
| Public Body | Sector | Work Opportunities | | Full Time Equivalent | | % Progress | |

| | | Targeted | Achieved | Targeted | Achieved | WOs | FTEs |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|------------|------|
| Umzumbe | Infrastructure | 450 | 75 | 147 | 19,1 | 17% | 13% |
| | Environment and Culture | 0 | 43 | 0 | 12,1 | N/A | N/A |
| | Social Sector | 0 | 114 | 0 | 26,2 | N/A | N/A |
| Total | | 450 | 232 | 147 | 57,4 | 52% | 39% |
| Public Body | Sector | Work Opportunities | | Full Time Equivalent | | % Progress | |
| | | Targeted | Achieved | Targeted | Achieved | WOs | FTEs |
| Umuziwabantu | Infrastructure | 295 | 48 | 96 | 9 | 16% | 9% |
| | Environment and Culture | 0 | 68 | 0 | 16,2 | N/A | N/A |
| | Social Sector | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0,2 | N/A | N/A |
| Total | | 295 | 117 | 96 | 25 | 40% | 26% |
| Public Body | Sector | Work Opportunities | | Full Time Equivalent | | % Progress | |
| | | Targeted | Achieved | Targeted | Achieved | WOs | FTEs |
| Ray Nkonyeni | Infrastructure | 840 | 111 | 274 | 19,3 | 13% | 7% |
| | Environment and Culture | 258 | 268 | 51 | 45 | 104% | 89% |
| | Social Sector | 0 | 353 | 0 | 39 | N/A | N/A |
| Total | | 1098 | 732 | 325 | 103,3 | 67% | 32% |

| UGU DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY PHASE IV TARGET | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|
| Total All Sectors | | | | | | | |
| Municipality | | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | Total |
| Ugu | WO | 1765 | 1 785 | 1 798 | 1 806 | 1 817 | 8 971 |
| | FTE | 618 | 625 | 630 | 634 | 638 | 3 146 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | WO | 835 | 846 | 857 | 866 | 877 | 4 281 |
| | FTE | 318 | 323 | 327 | 332 | 336 | 1 636 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Umdoni | WO | 276 | 280 | 284 | 287 | 291 | 1 419 |
| | FTE | 103 | 105 | 106 | 108 | 109 | 531 |
| Umuziwabantu | WO | 179 | 180 | 182 | 183 | 185 | 909 |
| | FTE | 65 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 330 |
| Umzumbe | WO | 250 | 252 | 253 | 254 | 255 | 1 265 |
| | FTE | 88 | 88 | 89 | 89 | 90 | 443 |

| 2019/2020 ALLOCATIONS | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Municipality | Allocated (R'000) - 2018/19 | Allocated (R'000) - 2019/20 | Variance |
| uMdoni | R1,219,000 | R1,228,000 | R9,000 |
| uMzumbe | R1,526,000 | R1,564,000 | R38,000 |
| uMuziwabantu | R1,213,000 | R1,219,000 | R6,000 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | R4,061,000 | R4,405,000 | R344,000 |
| Ugu District Municipality | R3,250,000 | R4,523,000 | R1,273,000 |
| Total uGu District Municipality | R11,269,000 | R12,939,000 | R1,670,000 |

UGU DISTRICT EPWP CHALLENGES

- Overall – all municipalities not contributing enough Work Opportunities to assist the district in meeting EPWP set targets.
- Umdoni LM – Late appointment of EPWP dedicated personnel-Technical support has assisted with data processing and capturing.
- Umuziwabantu – Lack of participation from other units within the municipality.

3.11.4.2 Job Creation Through LED Projects

The assessment of the proposed economic activities suggests that there would be jobs that will be created within a short and medium term. These can be reflected on the Table 3.11.4.2.1 below.

Table 3.11.4.2.1: Ugu District LED Job Opportunities

| Year | Economic Activity | Estimated no. of jobs | |
|------|---|-----------------------|-----------|
| | | Temporal | Permanent |
| 2021 | KwaXolo Rock Art Project | 5 | 2 |
| | Tea Tree Farming Venture | 10 | 5 |
| | Music City | 15000 | 5000 |
| | Shelly Beach Water Theme Park | 100 | 200 |
| | Ocean Upmarket Restaurant | 10 | 5 |
| 2031 | Upgrade of Margate Airport | 100 | 20 |
| | Uvongo Hotel and Conference Centre | 100 | 50 |
| | Port Shepstone Boat Maintenance Economic Zone | 50 | 30 |
| | South Coast Regional Technology Hub | 100 | 50 |
| | Rorqual Estate Development | 200 | 20 |
| | UMdoni Seaside Corridor | 100 | 50 |
| | Ugu Agri-Park | 500 | 3000 |
| 2041 | Turton Shopping Mall | 500 | 200 |
| | Umthwalume Beachfront Development | 100 | 20 |
| | Port Edward Small Fishing and Development Harbour | 10 | 20 |
| | Harding Neighbourhood Mall | 500 | 200 |

Source: Ugu SDF, 2018

3.11.5 SMMEs and cooperatives

Ugu District municipality has many registered SMME's who are operating within its area of jurisdiction and these are registered on the municipal database. The majority of the SMME's seek to undertake business with, while some have established their operations within various municipalities. Most SMME's are located within Ray Nkonyeni LM because it is one of the Local municipalities that has pronounced economic infrastructure and target market given that it has the most population within the district. Some of the SMME's that are operating within the jurisdiction of this district face a number of challenges such as adequate and proper infrastructure to operate in especially those located in rural areas, poor road networks systems, they also lack proper business training and an SMME incubator programme. Nearly 43% of SMME's rely solely on tourists for customers, with a further 10% relying on both tourists and local residents.

3.11.6 Agricultural Sector

Even though the agriculture sector is not a major income generating source, the sector generates significant employment throughout the District. Agriculture is largely done at a subsistence level, especially throughout the rural villages. According to StatsSA (Statistics by place, Census 2011), 64 959 of households (36% of total households) in Ugu District are involved in some form agricultural activity, with approximately 19 402 of those households (30% of households involved in agricultural activities) not earning any income, thus making them solely reliant on their yield for survival. Formal and commercial agriculture production in Ugu is mainly concentrated in sugar cane, bananas, macadamia nuts and timber forestry.

3.11.6.1 Commercial Agriculture

Commercial agriculture dominates within the district in terms of the amount of land that it occupies. The district's climate is well suited to agriculture. The area has large tracts of fertile land, a subtropical climate with high temperatures and a good water supply. The main agricultural activity is crop farming, with sugar cane and bananas being the main crops. In addition, the district produces vegetables, tea, coffee and macadamia nuts. Poultry, cattle and goat farming occur on a limited scale with the harvesting of crayfish and oysters taking place in Port Edward. As a sector, the performance of the agricultural within the Ugu district has followed provincial trends with a worrying -3.2% average GVA decline rate between 2001 and 2011 and 24 053 job losses in the same period. The performance of subsectors within agriculture has varied. Commercial timber plantations are situated in the high rainfall areas in Harding, in the northern areas of former Vulamehlo and in the central areas of Umzumbe and former Ezingoleni. Commercial timber plantations are situated in the high rainfall areas in Harding, in the northern areas of former Vulamehlo and in the central areas of Umzumbe and former Ezingoleni. The general decline is

disturbing though, as the agricultural sector in the Ugu district should be performing strongly due to a large number of favourable conditions. These include: rainfall of +/- 1100 mm per annum; abundant water supply in high flow periods, which could be conserved in off-stream storage for supplementary irrigation; a large labour supply and specialized infrastructure.

The key agricultural activities taking place in the district include: sugar cane production and milling; timber production and processing for pulp and sawn timber; bananas for local and international markets; macadamia nuts for local and international markets; limited coffee production for local consumption and livestock for commercial and subsistence (beef, dairy, poultry, piggeries and goats). There is high demand for vegetables in the area and vegetable farming also offers export opportunities. Commercial plantations are situated in the high rainfall areas in Harding, in the north areas of Umdoni LM and in the central areas of Umzumbe and the Ray Nkonyeni.

About 200 small-sawmills process the municipality's extensive wattle, gum and pine plantations. Expansion of the forestry and timber industry in the Ugu district means adding value by manufacturing timber products such as doors, windows and furniture and the like. There are a number of businesses successfully exporting timber products of high quality (to ISO9000 standards) and taking advantage of the exchange rates.

3.11.6.2 Subsistence Farming

Subsistence farming areas exhibit low levels of crop and stock farming, largely due to the small number of dams in the district. The subsistence farming practice is mainly found within tribal land. Emerging agricultural sector face major challenges such as a lack of access to sufficient capital and operational funds, experience and equipment's. The small-scale farmers also battle to access formal markets and compete with established commercial farmers. Ugu district is characterised largely by needy rural interior falling under the Ingonyama Trust with some large commercial farms and many struggling subsistence farmers. The subsistence farming areas exhibit low levels of livestock and poultry due to a very few small dams that are in the district. Small scales of farmers are also found in areas like Hlokozi, KwaJali, Ezingolweni and Umzumbe.

3.11.7 Tourism

The Tourism industry is a key contributor to the KZN and Ugu economy which is buoyant with the major activities based on the sea and associated activities. The development and implementation in the Ugu region is driven and headed by the Ugu South Coast Tourism Entity. The reputation of the area's tourism sector is based on some of the following

aspects:

- Approximately 115km of pristine coastline with seven Blue Flag Beaches
- The world renown Aliwal Shoal as well as many other diving spots
- A variety of opportunities for fishing, both surf and off shore
- Numerous adventure activities on offer such as the Big Swing at Oribi Gorge
- A number of pristine, top class golf courses
- Excellent scenery, landscapes and nature reserves
- An increasing number of events for both sports people and the general public
- Accommodation establishments such as the Blue Marlin Hotel

There are also a number of key events that entice both repeat and new visitors to the region, some of these include:

- Africa Bike Week
- The Sardine Run (and events surrounding it)
- ABSA Easter Festival
- Joberg2C and Sani2C (both finish in Scottburgh)
- Hibiscus Coast Marathon
- Ugu Jazz Festival

- Margate Beach Festival

Table 3.11.7.1 outlines a summary of some of South Coast's primary and secondary tourist attractions as well as some of the tourism facilities that support these.

Table 3.11.7.1: South Coast Attraction and Facilities

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Primary Attractions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Sardine Run (Sardine Festival) • Aliwal Shoal • Golf Courses, such as San Lameer and Selborne Park • Lake Eland Game Reserve • Oribi Gorge Nature Reserve (Wild 5 Adventures) • The Waffle House • Blue Flag Beaches, such as Trafalgar Beach • The Aloe Train • CrocWorld • Clear Water Trails Centre • Pure Venom Reptile Park |
| Secondary Attractions | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adventure tourism, such as mountain biking |
| Niche Markets etc. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fishing, Surfing, Kite-surfing, and other water-based activities • Events, such as Africa Bike Week • Cultural tourism • Historical and heritage, such as Margate Art Museum • Arts and crafts outlets • Avitourism and other ecotourism and nature-based activities |
| Tourism Facilities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resort hotels (e.g. San Lameer) • Other accommodation establishments • Tourist information outlets and offices • Restaurants and retail enterprises (e.g. The Waffle House and Shelly Beach Mall) |

Source: Economic Assessment on Impact of Tourism on S. Coast, 2016

The following figures are based on the estimated number of tourists as this information is not easily accessible from entities/resources such as Stats SA and SAT. The domestic tourists are shown as trips as they may undertake more than 1 trip per year. The total number of domestic tourists that travelled to the South Coast was estimated to be ±569 000. In 2014, international tourist amounted to 61 458 whilst domestic trips amounted to 1 172 140. The average spend of a foreign tourist in KZN was estimated above to be ±R4 941 per trip while the average spend of a domestic tourist in KZN was estimated above to be ±R979 per trip. Therefore, the following estimates have been made for the direct and indirect economic impact of these tourists.

Table 3.11.7.2: Direct and Indirect Impact of Tourism in the South Coast

| | Direct | Indirect/Multiplier |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| International | R 303 663 978 | R 607 327 956 |
| Domestic | R 1 147 525 060 | R 2 295 050 120 |
| TOTAL | R 1 451 189 038 | R 2 902 378 076 |

Source: Economic Assessment on Impact of Tourism on S. Coast, 2016

Therefore, in terms of the direct and indirect impact of tourists who travel to the South Coast, the direct impact is estimated to be ±R1.5 billion. When the multiplier is applied, the total impact (direct and indirect) is therefore estimated to be ±R3.0 billion. Thus, the overall economic impact, of simple visits to the South Coast, is equal to ±R4.4 billion (16.2% of KZN) (this excludes the induced impacts as per the model in section 4.2). Therefore, this early evidence already suggests that tourism is a highly valuable sector for economic growth in the region. Figure _ shows the difference in the contribution of domestic tourism and international tourist to the overall economic impact of tourism in the region. It is evident from the graph that just under 80% of the total economic impact in the region is contributed by the domestic tourism sector (it directly contributes approximately R1.1 billion to the local economy of the region).




Figure 3.11.7.1 Economic Impact Of Tourism

Source: Economic assessment on impact of tourism on South Coast 2016

Table 3.11.7.3 and Figure 3.11.7.1 shows that the ‘VFR’ market for international trips contributed between R157.9 and R315.8 million (which is 52% of the economic impact of all trips) to local economy of the South Coast region. Following on from the ‘VFR’ market is the ‘Holiday’ market which contributes between R81.9 and R163.9 million (which is 27% of the economic impact of all trips) to the local economy.

Table 3.11.7.5: Breakdown of Markets, All Trips, 2014

| | No. of Tourists | Percentage (Avg. Est.) | Direct Spend | Multiplier/ Indirect Impact |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| VFR | 31 958 | 52% | R 157 905 269 | R 315 810 537 |
| Holiday | 16 594 | 27% | R 81 989 274 | R 163 978 548 |
| Business | 9 219 | 15% | R 45 549 597 | R 91 099 193 |
| Other | 3 687 | 6% | R 18 219 839 | R 36 439 677 |
| Total Int. Trips | 61 458 | 100% | R 303 663 978 | R 607 327 956 |

Source: Economic Assessment on Impact of Tourism on S. Coast, 2016

The biggest challenge of the district when it comes to tourism remains the difference between the developed / tourist coastal strip and the underdeveloped / rural hinterland. The other challenges facing the tourism sector in the district are:

- There is a small range of tourism products in the district as the tourism product in the area revolves largely around the beaches.
- There is a general lack of skill amongst local people especially the rural people as they do not have an understanding of what kind of tourism businesses could be successful.
- There is poor accessibility to areas outside the coastal strip as the roads leading into the inland section of the district are very poor and as a consequence, very few tourists venture that way.

3.11.8 Manufacturing (Industrial)

Manufacturing / Industrial can be classified to Light and Agro-industrial areas in the Ugu District Municipal area of jurisdiction.

3.11.8.1 Agro-Industrial Areas

The Ugu Fresh Produce Market provides retailing and limited agro-processing opportunities as well as a link to the Durban and Eastern Cape markets. The Market has a large area of land available for the expansion of agro-processing facilities ranging from fresh food to dry goods packaging. The market acts as a distribution point for fresh produce from all over South Africa. Since the Ugu District consists of both rural, poor inland areas and the more affluent South Coast towns, many of which are holiday destinations, the potential customer base of the market is large. Therefore, the agriculture sector in Ugu has a huge potential, provided that the local farmers can be mobilised to meet the needs of the Ugu Fresh Produce Market. The site also offers an opportunity for the investors who would wish to invest in agriculture facilities dealing with any business within the fresh produce value chain.

3.11.8.2 Light Industrial Areas

Manufacturing activity is also concentrated along the coastal strip with some light industrial parks such as Marburg, Park Rynie and Margate. There are also, a number of industrial development points in the hinterland such as Harding and some that are related to the activities of large firms, such as Idwala NPC, Sezela Sugar Mill, Umzimkulu Sugar Mill and the Weza Saw Mill. The developed industrial areas in Ugu are situated in Port Shepstone/ Marburg, Park Rynie, Umzinto North, Umzinto South, Margate Quarry, Margate Airport and Harding. Ugu has been earmarked primarily as a furniture manufacturing hub (Harding and/or Marburg) and secondly, as a perishable goods processing hub. There are other industrial areas which specialise with various manufacturing and Table 3.11.8.2.1 below provides details what they manufacture and where are they strategically located.

Table 3.11.8.2.1: Ugu District Spatial Distribution of Manufacturing Activity

| Area | Basic Description | Type of Industry |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Marburg Industrial | This is the only major industrial zone in the District. It has been developed on the N2 to the south-west of the Port Shepstone CBD. The land is not flat, but the topography is reasonable for industrial development. A large variety of manufacturing and service sector businesses are located in the area. | Most industrial sectors are represented in the area. Furniture, textile and clothing and food seem to dominate. Sizes range from small service industries to major plants. |
| Harding Industrial | A substantial industrial area has been developed in the formal town of | In the case of Harding there is an exceptionally strong focus on the timber and timber products sector |

| | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| | Harding. The grid structure of the layout provides easy access to the | (see list of industries). Kulu Snacks, a major employer in the District, is also located in Harding. |
| Margate Quarry Industry | The industrial area is located close to the entrance to the NPC Quarry immediately to the west of the N2 as you approach the Margate turnoff from Durban. The area is separated from neighbouring developments either by the N2 or vacant land. | The number of concrete block, brick and moulded concrete block manufacturers benefiting from the location in relation to the Quarry is clearly noticeable. Furniture manufacturers and a church are also housed in industrial buildings. |
| Park Rynie Industrial | The industrial area is located to the west of Park Rynie, between the residential area and the N2. The land gently slopes towards the coast. constructed to expand the industrial a | Manufacturing activity in this township is limited. Paint manufacturing and block making are two of the manufacturing activities. The majority of space available is used for storage and distribution or service industries. |
| Umzinto South Industries | The original town centre of Umzinto is located to the south of the CBD. This area consists mostly of older buildings fronting on to the main street. | The Albany bakery is the only industry of note in this node. Small services industries and distributors are also located in this node. |
| Margate Airport Industrial | This industrial area is located on the northern end of the runway of the Margate airport. It is located on relatively flat land. The area is home to only a handful of industries. | Construction related, and furniture manufacturing firms are located in the area. |
| Umzinto North Industries | A cluster of industries are located in Umzinto North (east of Main Road), however, it is obvious that three larger textile/clothing firms used to dominate. Two are located in the vicinity of the cluster and one within an | The three textile industries dominate industrial development in the |

Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

3.11.9 Retail Trade

Retail activity is concentrated in a ribbon development of small towns along the coastal strip that act as commercial and service centres for local residents and neighbouring rural communities. Port Shepstone is the main commercial centre and major source of employment. Shelley Beach is the fastest growing commercial centre. There has been a gradual change in rural settlement patterns, from a dispersed settlement pattern to a concentration of residential sites around the main access routes. Ray Nkonyeni is the major contributor with regards to retail and commercial services due to its location there are many commercial activities and uses that are concentrated within the municipality. However, in other local municipalities that are within Tribal land there are limited, or local convenient facilities and they occur in residential areas unlike with highly urbanised areas like Ray Nkonyeni LM there are town centres with large retail commercial centres. In Municipalities within rural areas, this takes the form of spaza shops and general dealers. The UMdoni Local Municipality offers a narrow range of shopping experiences. The areas within the district municipality that do not have commercial centres such as UMzumbo due to their geographic location (located within Tribal land) depend on those that are in close proximity.

Within Ugu district municipality there are micro and small retailers that are attempting to enter the retail market but are often unable to compete with large national and multi-national retailers in well-developed town centres. Such businesses are often restricted to activities such as taverns, spaza shops, car washes, fruit and vegetable sales

and cell phone kiosks. Such restricted activities result in high levels of competition within the lowest end of the market. There are strong linkages between the retail and tourism sectors within the Ugu district and the seasonality of the tourism industry is felt by local retailers. The Shelley Beach Mall has attracted substantial commercial development. Toyota and BMW's move to Shelley Beach has been a major boost for the area. The industrial park development for the node has been welcomed by businesses. The Shelley Beach node captures a market that extends to the Eastern Cape with large volumes of public sector employees frequenting the mall over weekends, and especially at month-end.

The business and real estate hubs are located within different urban centres of the district. There are approximately 3 778 businesses within Ugu and the majority are located within Ray Nkonyeni due to the size of that municipality. Approximately 50% of these businesses consist of wholesale and retail facilities as well as hotels and restaurants. There is a complete dominance of Ray Nkonyeni along with UMdoni in hosting many businesses and real estates. Other than Harding in UMuziwabantu, the economic activities recorded in the remaining rural municipality is negligible.

3.11.10 Mining

The mining and related processing of stone into various aggregates and crusher dust is essentially aimed at supplying the local construction industry and to boost the local economy. However, the Ugu GDS states that Mining is one of the poorest performing sectors in terms of GVA growth. This is due to a number of factors. There is therefore a need to enhance and encourage investment in this sector, through ensuring market stability. One of the ways to do this is to enhance the formalisation of the sector. In the case of the Margate quarry of NPC it is evident that a number of brick and block making yards has established on the "doorstep" of the quarry. The construction material industry appears to be benefiting from its location in relation to the quarry. Various other quarries are located throughout the area. The Idwala Carbonates facility in Ray Nkonyeni LM is the major employer in the District but receives limited attention in terms of strategic planning. There are also potentially open up mining opportunities on the coast (to the south of Ugu).

3.11.11 Local Economic Development SWOT Analysis

The SWOT Analysis with regards to Local Economic Development analysis are summarised as follows

Table 3.11.11.1: Local Economic Development SWOT Analysis

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Tourism destination which offer beach, bush and adventure · Strong institutional capacity in USCDA and USCT. · Eventing Activities (Bike Week Festival, Ugu Jazz Festival, iBeach Festival, Vukile Gospel Concert, Yadini Beach Picnic and Traditional Music Festival) · Land availability for agricultural development · Existing fresh produce market · Strong political support · High level staff commitment | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Lack of common understanding of LED and what it should do · Bulk infrastructure (roads, storm water, water, electricity) · Poor maintenance of facilities (swimming pool, beach front, public toilet/rest rooms) · Limited public private partnerships · High mortality rate of SMME's and Cooperatives |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Expansion of Margate Airport · Beach Front Development · Hinterland Tourism | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · High Unemployment rate · High Poverty Levels · Low level of Education · Limited specialist skills base |

| | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Agricultural Product suitable for expansion (essential oils – tee tree and Moringa) · Enhancement of SMME's and Cooperatives | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Economic Inequality · Dependency syndrome (culture of entitlement) |
|---|---|

3.12 Social Development Analysis

This section provides an analysis of the current socio-economic status of the district through looking at the poverty levels, educational and health status, safety and security, nation building and social cohesion, and community development with focus on vulnerable groups.

3.12.1 Educational status

In terms of the educational profile of the Ugu District Municipality the Stats SA Census, 2011 shows that the literacy rate has grown by 5% from 73% in 2001 to 78% in 2016. There has been a gradual increase of the percentage of people with grade 12 / Std 10 from 7% in 2001 to 17% in 2016 as illustrated in figure 3.12.1.1. There has also been a slight increase in the number of people who completed higher education even though the numbers are still relatively low. Based on these stats it is evident that the Ugu District Municipality has a low skills base.

Educational Profile




Figure 3.12.1.1: Ugu District Municipality Educational Profile
Source: Statics SA Community Survey, 2016

Table 3.12.1.1 gives an analysis of the grade 12 pass rate over the past five years starting from 2012. Based on these statistics as received from DoE there has been a steady progress and increase in the grade 12 pass rate with the exception of the 2014 academic year. Furthermore, there are more people passing with higher certificate and diplomas than those with the bachelors.

Table 3.12.1.1: Grade 12 Pass Rate

| | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | |
|--------------------|-------|----|-------|-------|------|-------|
| | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| Candidates | 12073 | 0 | 10653 | 0 | 8522 | 0 |
| Passed | 7141 | 59 | 6993 | 65.64 | 6237 | 73.19 |
| Failed | 4711 | 39 | 3660 | 34.36 | 2285 | 26.81 |
| Bachelors | 1254 | 10 | 2534 | 36.24 | 2497 | 40.04 |
| Diploma | 2824 | 23 | 2696 | 38.55 | 2377 | 38.11 |
| Higher Certificate | 2003 | 17 | 1763 | 25.21 | 1363 | 21.85 |

Source: Department of Education, 2018

To further analyse the educational status in the district this section interrogates the level of schools' access to basic services. Table 3.8.1.2 shows the level of school access to basic water services. With regards to water services the statistics show that 35 (7%) schools in the Ugu area of jurisdiction have no access to basic water and about 29% use tanks. 3.12.1.2 show the level of school access to basic sanitation services.

Table 3.12.1.2: Schools Access to Water

| Municipality | On-Site | Off-Site | Borehole | Mobile | Tanks | None |
|------------------|---------|----------|----------|--------|-------|------|
| Former Vulamehlo | 44 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 59 | 12 |
| Umdoni | 37 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 1 |
| Umzumbe | 49 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 142 | 18 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | 120 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 82 | 1 |
| UMuziwabantu | 38 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 41 | 3 |
| Ugu | 291 | 28 | 14 | 13 | 152 | 35 |
| % | 55 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 7 |

Source: Department of Education, 2015

Table 3.12.1.3 shows the level of access to sanitation services within Ugu District area of jurisdiction. What is worth noting is that all the schools do have some level of access to sanitation. However, the high percentage of pit latrines (50%) is cause for concern as per the standards of Ugu District as the VIP is the minimum acceptable sanitation standard. Table 3.12.1.4 further shows the schools' level of access to electricity. About 90% of the schools have access to electricity through Eskom, about 5% using alternative energy and only 4% having no access at all.

Table 3.12.1.3: Access to Sanitation

| Municipality | Flush Toilet | VIP | Pit Latrine | Temporary |
|------------------|--------------|-----|-------------|-----------|
| Former Vulamehlo | 11 | 36 | 47 | 1 |
| Umdoni | 22 | 11 | 20 | 0 |
| Umzumbe | 10 | 52 | 117 | 6 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | 53 | 38 | 66 | 8 |
| UMuziwabantu | 10 | 18 | 31 | 2 |
| Ugu | 106 | 155 | 281 | 17 |
| % | 19 | 28 | 50 | 3 |

Source: Department of Education, 2015

Table 3.12.1.4: Access to Electricity

| Municipality | Eskom | Generator | Solar | None |
|------------------|-------|-----------|-------|------|
| Former Vulamehlo | 73 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Umdoni | 46 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Umzumbe | 150 | 6 | 10 | 9 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | 149 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| UMuziwabantu | 52 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Ugu | 470 | 11 | 14 | 2 |
| % | 91 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Source: Department of Education, 2015

3.12.2 Health

The summary of the burden of disease in Ugu District is reflected in Figure 3.12.2.1 below. Like much of South Africa, Ugu has a mixture of high prevalence of communicable diseases, predominantly tuberculosis (TB) and HIV, and non-communicable diseases like cerebrovascular disease and diabetes. This is typical of a society in transition, where there are social determinates of disease such as poverty, lack of housing, water, and sanitation.




Figure 3.12.2.11 Causes of Mortality

Source: Ugu DHP, 2018 / 2019

The main cause of death in both male and female under 5 is associated with communicable diseases together with maternal perinatal and nutritional conditions. In the 5-14 years category, most females die of factors relating to communicable diseases together with maternal, perinatal and nutritional conditions. Males in this age group most commonly die of factors associated with HIV and TB. Most deaths of females in the 15-24 category is due to HIV and TB whilst males in the same age category die most commonly of injury related causes. In the 25-64 age groups, most of the deaths of both male and female are due to HIV and TB. NCD is the leading cause of death to those over 65.

Ugu has a higher incidence of hypertension at 22.4 compared with the South African incidence of 13.9, and the Provincial incidence of 19.1. As already stated these lifestyle type diseases are increasing among males in the Province. Mental Health admission rate is also high in Ugu at 25.3, whereas in the Province it is 1.0. The district aims to improve marketing of Integrated Ideal clinical services management (ICSM) to improve adherence to treatment and appointments.

3.12.2.1 HIV / AIDS and TB Control (HAST)

TB remains the top major underlying cause of death in Ugu and the TB incidence remains high. The drug resistant TB success rate is around 53% and is short of the target of 63%. SA Stats (2015) estimate that in Ugu 19.1% of

the population are HIV positive, and the Annual ANC Seroprevalence 2014 found in Ugu had 40.1% of pregnant women HIV positive.

Ugu has a growing number of people on ART (89200 as at Q3 17/18).

3.12.2.2 Child Mortality Rates

Child mortality is decreasing in South Africa and Ugu district is no exception with the under 5 in facility deaths declining from 4.6 per in 15/16 to 3.3 per 1000 in 2017/18 (q3). Ugu faces the challenge of poor immunisation coverage at 75.8% below the KZN average of 85.4%. PCR testing IS THE third HIGHEST in the Province at 1.4%. Modifiable factors that will be prioritised for action include close monitoring of immunisation performance, development of counselling skills on and marketing of safe infant feeding and management of shock at accident and emergency units.

Maternal mortality has dropped since 2014/15 in which 112 died per 100 000 live births and 2015/16 in which 92.4 died per 100 000. The 2017/18 quarterly reports project a rate of 80 maternal deaths per 100 000 which is a further drop. At 86%, Ugu is not performing well for the number of HIV positive pregnant women initiated on ART falling both below the district target of 99% and the Provincial target of 98%. The strategies to improve maternal and child outcomes include management of asphyxia related births, correction of data errors in reporting ANC started on ART. Youth friendly services will be prioritised to target teenage pregnancies, and there will be continued support of facility clinical governance strategies.

3.12.2.3 Accessibility to Health Facilities

The access to health facilities has already been covered under section 3.10.7. This section provides only the catchment populations and catchment areas. The District Health Plan projects a Low PHC utilisation rate of 3 vs target of 3.4 for 17/18. Strategies to improve utilisation include optimal management of outreach teams namely tracing teams, school health, ward-based outreach teams and mobile clinics as well as mobilizing men towards early health seeking behaviour. Ugu is the 11th highest district for grade 8 screening coverage in the country and top District in KZN. At fixed facilities, strategies to reduce waiting times and improve client satisfaction will be prioritized. The Provincial changes in TB regime has resulted in improved client outcomes, better community management and the reduced need to hospitalize clients on TB treatment. The resultant drop in hospital efficiency at Dunstan Farrell TB hospital (29%) has led the Province to initiate the decommissioning of the hospital.

Table 3.12.2.3.1: Population to PHC facility (Sub-District)

| Organisation unit | CHC | Clinic | Mobile |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Umzumbe LM | 168 396.00 | 12 953.50 | 56 132.00 |
| Ray Nkonyeni LM | 324 908.00 | 16 245.40 | 46 415.40 |
| uMuziwabantu LM | | 9 285.10 | 34 045.30 |
| uMuziwabantu LM | | 16 369.40 | 40 923.50 |

Source: Ugu DHP, 2018 / 2019

No clinics were commissioned in 2016/17. Gamalakhe CHC in Hibiscus completed phase 2 of 3. Umuziwabantu has the lowest population to clinic ratio. There are 11 fixed clinics to the population of 101 086 hence Umuziwabantu clinics appear to be adequate in terms of number of population to clinics. Ray Nkonyeni and Umdoni have the highest population to facility ratio and this is typical of an urban area. Umzinto in Umdoni has the highest headcounts for a clinic and is almost as much as the headcounts of a CHC. The clinic is in need of infrastructure upgrades as the building, although conveniently situated in Umzinto town, is very old and unsuitable for a clinic. The 4 other clinics with the highest headcounts are all in Ray Nkonyeni: Izingolweni, Marburg, Mbunde and Margate clinic. Port Shepstone Hospital is a Regional hospital but due to no Gateway and no CHC in close proximity, the hospital still has non-referred OPD headcounts. In 2016/17, 7 326 clients were non-referred OPD headcounts. The pressure point for the district is a CHC in close proximity that can also assist to decongest

the Regional hospital of the clinics that the hospital oversees. Marburg clinic upgrade to CHC is a much-needed project for the district.

3.12.3 Broad Based Community Needs

Based on the community consultations that the District together with its Local Municipalities categorised community needs into 10 categories. These categories are namely, Water; Sanitation; Roads; Sport fields; Health; Education facilities; Health Facilities; Public Facilities; Electricity; and Other. Figure 3.12.3.1 below a statistical overview of the community needs issues raised during the public consultation sessions. A full public participation report is attached (Annexure 11).




Figure 3.12.3.1 Statistical summary of community needs

Source: Mayoral Izimbizo and IDP/ Budget Roadshows 2017/2018

Based on the 2017 / 2018 consultation sessions as reflected in Figure 3.12.3.1, the 3 broad based community needs are:

- Water;
- Roads; and
- Housing.

3.12.4 Safety and Security

Some communities have converted the Community Policing Forums into Safety and Security Committee. There was also a safety and security strategy that was developed by the Department of Community Safety and Liaison in 2009, which is due to be reviewed and it is at the same level where the District Safety and Security Forum will be established.

3.12.5 Nation Building and Social Cohesion

Ugu District Sports Council was launched in 2009. Ugu District Municipality also participates in SALGA-KZN Games. There are Sport Development Hubs that were established by the Department of Art, Culture Sports and

Recreation. The District Youth Council is also responsible for the coordination of social cohesion activities targeted at the youth.

3.12.6 Community Development with Focus on Vulnerable Groups

Community development with focus on vulnerable groups addresses the development of; people with disabilities; women; people affected and infected by HIV / AIDS; and early childhood. The district has a dedicated Special Programmes Unit which caters for the needs of these vulnerable groups.

The Unit oversees the implementation and monitoring of the status of all vulnerable groups within the District. Supporting Local, Provincial and National activities by ensuring that all government departments, non-governmental organizations and civil society in a coordinated manner on issues affecting vulnerable groups through Operation Sukuma Sakhe.

3.12.6.2 Development of the People with Disabilities

The challenges that are facing people with disabilities have been well documented across the country and Ugu District area of jurisdiction is no exception. Persons with disabilities experience three main types of interrelated barriers:

- social (including high cost, lack of disability awareness, and communication difficulties);
- psychological (such as fear for personal safety); and
- structural (including infrastructure, operations, and information).

About 22 061 people receive grants for different forms of disability. Ugu District remains committed to ensuring the environment is friendly for people with disabilities. To that effect the municipality has established a Disability Programme which is based on the following pillars:

- To encourage Government Departments to integrate disability issues into their line function activities.
- To promote awareness of disability by government and civil society.
- To coordinate, facilitate and monitor the implementation of the Integrated National Disability Strategy.
- To foster equal and transparent partnerships with relevant stakeholders.

The municipality has established Disability Forum through which on an annual basis implements programmes such as, Sanitary Dignity Campaign in Special Schools; Disability Awareness Campaign; Therapy Session for Mothers with Disabled Children; Disability Sports Day; Disability NPO Grant Support; and People with Disabilities assisted with Drivers Licence.

3.12.6.3 Development of the Elderly

As per the 2016 Community Survey done by Statistics South Africa, the elderly makes up 6.7% of the total population of the Ugu District area of jurisdiction. Furthermore, 15.8% of the Ugu District area of jurisdiction households are headed by the elderly. The elderly people are vulnerable in many aspects and thus the district commitment to ensuring their protection and care. The District has a Senior Citizen Programme which is underpinned by the following pillars:

- Creation of an enabling and supportive environment for senior citizens;
- Community-based care and support services;
- Promotion of a healthy lifestyle; and
- Promotion of protection and safety

The District Municipality has a Senior Citizens Forum through which on an annual basis implements programmes such as; Golden Games; Honouring Centenarians; Support to Destitute Elderly; and Awareness Campaigns.

3.12.6.4 Development of Women, Crime, Drugs and Substance Abuse, etc.

As per the 2016 Community Survey done by Statistics South Africa, the female makes up 52.1% of the total population of the district. The challenges facing women, not only in Ugu, but the entire world has been well documented. The district is therefore committed to the realisation of women empowerment goals and development of the women in general. However, the district does not look at development of women in isolation but acknowledges the importance of gender equity. It is for this reason that the district established the Gender Programme which is based on the following pillars:

- Implementation and translation of legislative frameworks on gender equality into measurable and meaningful programmes;
- Address emerging gender issues and concerns;
- Coordination and facilitation of a comprehensive agenda on the promotion and protection of women's rights; addressing gender-based violence and men as partners;
- Monitoring and evaluation of gender mainstreaming into government programmes, projects, policies and day-to-day work; and
- Establishment Gender Forums to mainstream and monitor the rights of women, men, boy- and girl-child, disabled people and senior citizens; and
- Address the scourge of decay of community's moral compass

The district has established Gender, Men's, Widows and Moral Regeneration Forum through which on an annual basis implements programmes such as, Sanitary Dignity Campaign; Women Empowerment Sessions; Men Empowerment Session; Moral Regeneration Campaign; Boys-to-Men; Moral Regeneration Campaign; and Reed Dance.

3.12.6.5 People affected and infected by HIV / AIDS.

Even though many strides have been made to combat the scourge of HIV / AIDS in the district, a lot still needs to be done to support people affected and infected by the disease. The district established the HIV and AIDS Programme to coordinate the efforts made towards combating HIV / AIDS. The programme is reflected in the National Strategic Plan on HIV, STIs and TB 2017-2022 document which is guided by the following goals:

- Goal 1: To accelerate prevention to reduce new HIV, TB and STI infections.
- Goal 2: To reduce morbidity and mortality by providing HIV, TB and STIs treatment, care and adherence support for all.
- Goal 3: To reach all key and vulnerable populations with customised and targeted interventions.
- Goal 4: To address the social and structural drivers if HIV, TB and STI infections.
- Goal 5: To ground the response to HIV, TB and STIs in human rights principles and approaches.
- Goal 6: To promote leadership and shared accountability for a sustainable response to HIV, TB and STIs.
- Goal 7: To mobilise resources to support the achievement of NSP goals and ensure a sustainable response.
- Goal 8: To strengthen strategic information to drive progress towards achievements of NSP goals.

The district has established District AIDS Council through which programmes such as, Awareness Campaigns; World AIDS Day; Condom Distribution; Nutritional Supplements; and NPO Grant Support are implemented on an annual basis. With regards to people affected by crime and drug abuse, a Moral Regeneration Forum, was established to deal with the issue.

3.12.6.6 Early Childhood Development

Pre-primary education is seen as a vital grounding to a learner's ability to grasp concepts later on in their schooling career. Very few learners are registered in pre-Grade R classes and only 8 pre-primary schools are registered in the district. A key challenge remains the perception of parents and caregivers regarding ECD. The District Growth Development Plan has identified several interventions required to ensure early childhood development. The district has established a Right of the Child Programme which is based on the following pillars:

- Facilitate the co-ordination, collaboration and synergy in the promotion, protection and fulfilment of children's rights in Ugu District.
- Strengthen the capacity, systems and processes relating to the realization of children's rights.
- Provide a platform for government and other stakeholders to share information, collaborate in initiatives and promote best practices.
- 4 Pillars of Child Welfare (National Plan of Action for Children of South Africa)
 - ✓ Child Survival
 - ✓ Child Protection
 - ✓ Child Development
 - ✓ Standard of living

Through this forum, the municipality is implementing programmes such as, dress a Child Campaign; Spelling Bee; Financial Literacy; Support to Child Headed Household; Support to ECD Centres; Take a Girl Child to Work; Children's Rights Awareness Campaigns; and Christmas for Children Living in Shelters.

3.12.7 Social Development: SWOT Analysis

The SWOT Analysis with regards to Social Development analysis are summarised as follows:

Table 3.12.7.1: Social Development SWOT Analysis

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poverty alleviation through Operation Sukuma Sakhe as multi-stakeholder platform - Fully functional Forums dealing with vulnerable groups - Staff complement - Good working relations with other stakeholders - Support from NGOs and private sector - Functional programmes with focus on vulnerable groups | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor response from LM's which affects co-ordination of programmes for vulnerable groups - Special Programmes viewed as an ad hoc programme - Social Ills - Poverty and gender inequality - Social Development not given special priority in terms of resource allocation. |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sharing of funds for programmes - Job opportunities - Forging partnership with Private Sector (taking advantage of available Corporate Social Investment) - New innovative initiatives to address social ills - Expansion of existing programmes to cover the whole district | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor understanding of special programmes operations within the organization - Non-cooperation from some Local Municipalities - Social Inequality - High prevalence of HIV and AIDS - Social ills (teenage pregnancy, drug and substance abuse, gender-based violence) - Duplication of interventions - Gateway to Eastern Cape and Ethekwini (inward migration) places burden on social resources |

3.13 Municipal Financial Viability and Management Analysis

Ugu District Municipality's Constitutional Mandate of ensuring the provision of services to communities in a sustainable manner requires long term financial sustainability to support the service delivery objectives. The application of sound financial principles must ensure long term financial sustainability, strengthening of financial management systems and promotion of transparency. The multi-year budgeting method is currently being used to ensure stability. The method balances funding of capital expenditure with the impact on future operational budgets in the medium and long-term. Sound financial principles must be addressed by ensuring that compliance to legislation is maintained and policies, delegations, roles and responsibilities are properly reviewed and implemented.

3.13.1 Financial Ratios

Table 3.13.1.1 gives an indication of the Key financial ratios that give an overall view of the municipality's financial position and performance. The measures to improve these ratios are discussed in the subsequent sections.

Table 3.13.1.1: Financial Ratios Table

| Ratio | Calculation | Norm | 2016 / 2017 (Audited FS) | 2017 / 2018 (current) | 2018 / 2019 (Projected) |
|---|--|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Financial Position | | | | | |
| Cash / Cost Coverage Ratio | (Cash and Cash Equivalents - Unspent Conditional Grants - Overdraft) + Short Term Investment) / Monthly Fixed Operational Expenditure excluding (Depreciation, Amortisation, and Provision for Bad Debts, Impairment and Loss on Disposal of Assets) | 1 – 3 Months | 3.03 Months | 2.62 Months | 2.62 Months |
| Current / Liquidity | Current Assets / Current Liabilities | 1.5 to 2 :1 | 1.38: 1 | 1.29: 1 | 1.29: 1 |
| Capital Expenditure to Total Expenditure | Total Capital Expenditure / Total Expenditure (Total Operating Expenditure + Capital Expenditure) × 100 | 10 – 20% | 25.03% | 28.40% | 28.40% |
| Collection Rate | Gross Debtors Opening Balance + Billed Revenue – Gross Debtors Closing Balance - Bad Debts Written Off) / Billed Revenue x 100 | 95% | 79.12% | 79.12% | 80.00% |
| Debt to Revenue | Debt (Short Term Borrowing + Bank Overdraft + Short Term Lease + Long Term Borrowing + Long Term Lease) / Total Operating Revenue – Operating Conditional Grant | 45% | 18.76% | 20% | 25% |
| Level of Cash Backed Reserves (Net Assets - Accumulated Surplus) | (Cash and Cash Equivalents - Bank Overdraft + Short Term Investment + Long Term Investment - Unspent | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

| | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Conditional Grants) / (Net Assets - Accumulated Surplus – Non-Controlling Interest – Share Premium – Share Capital – Fair Value Adjustment – Revaluation Reserve) | | | | |
| Financial Performance | | | | | |
| Creditors Payment Period | Trade Creditors Outstanding / Credit Purchases (Operating and Capital) × 365 | 30 days | 45% | 40% | 40% |
| Remuneration to Total Expenditure | Remuneration (Employee Related Costs and Councillors' Remuneration) / Total Operating Expenditure x 100 | 25 – 40% | 35.61% | 35.61% | 35.61% |

Source: Ugu Treasury, 2018

3.13.2 Capability to execute Capital Projects

The municipality has a fully-fledged Project Management Unit and each project is assigned to a Project Officer who monitors and reports monthly on the performance of the contractors. The municipality achieved 90% expenditure on its capital budget in the prior years. The targeted 100% expenditure will be achieved through continuous implementation and monitoring of the procurement plans. Table 3. 13.2.1 below reflects a trend since 2013 / 2014 financial year up to the previous financial year, 2017 / 2018.

Table 3.13.2.1: Ugu District Capital Spending

| Description | 2013 / 14 | 2014 / 15 | 2015 / 16 | 2016 / 17 | 2017 /18 |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Budget | R 342 664 462 | R 393 204 664 | R 410 867 218 | R 348 878 293 | R 362 624 975 |
| Actual | R 308 818 290 | R 336 473 767 | R 325 530 748 | R 317 918 902 | |
| % spent | 90.12% | 85.57% | 79.23% | 91.13% | |
| % growth - budget | 21.48% | 14.75% | 4.49% | -15.08% | 3.94% |
| % growth - actual | 61.53% | 8.96% | -3.25% | -2.34 | |

Source: Ugu DM Treasury, 2018

3.13.2.1 Capability to Execute Operational Budget

Table 3.13.2.1 provides a summary of the municipality's operational budget spent for a five-year period. The country as a whole is going through a rough economic phase and the district is feeling it as well. The municipality has put in place financial austerity measures to ensure operational spending is managed. The is done parallel to the revenue enhancement strategies to ensure that service delivery is not adversely affected. The 2017 / 2018 financial year actuals are correct as at 30 April 2018.

Table 3.13.2.1: Ugu District Operational Spending

| Description | 2013 / 14 | 2014 / 15 | 2015 / 16 | 2016 / 17 | 2017 /18 |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Budget | 1 103 682 790 | 744 128 900 | 881 771 326 | 971 233 745 | 913 431 567 |
| Actual | 675 093 838 | 928 731 058 | 928 949 390 | 983 788 551 | 507 616 962 |
| % spent | 61.17% | 124.81% | 105.35% | 101.29% | 55.57% |

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|
| % growth - budget | 53.98% | -32.58% | 18.50% | 10.15% | -5.95% |
| % growth - actual | 2.52% | 37.57% | 0.02% | 5.90% | -48.40% |

Source: Ugu DM Treasury, 2018

3.13.3 Indigent Support (including Free Basic Services)

Ugu District municipality's indigent register has a total of 6 739 for water and 2 529 sanitation households and is reviewed on an annual basis. The management of indigent consumers has been identified as a challenge in the Revenue Enhancement Strategy. The municipality is currently in the process of verifying of indigent beneficiaries however it has been realised that it is more efficient and effective to do this continuously and not only once a year. This will have attempted through the profiling of applicants with data houses and any substantial economic activity should be followed up as it indicates a source of income. There is an automated ongoing process that could supplement the annual verification. The installation of electronic flow limiters and prepaid meter system to all indigent consumers can also act as a deterrent as those who can afford to pay for excess usage will not be comfortable with being limited to 6kl and having to change from paying in arrear to paying in advance as well as inconvenience of monitoring consumption. This will also be attempted also be explored by the municipality.

Table 3.13.3.1: Ugu District Indigent Support

| Indigent Households | 2015 / 2016 | | 2016 / 2017 | | 2017 / 2018 | |
|---------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | No. | Budget | No. | Budget | No. | Budget |
| Water | 6 739 | | 5 820 | | 5 211 | |
| Sanitation | 3 175 | | 2 657 | | 2 401 | |

Source: Ugu DM Treasury, 2018

3.13.4 Revenue Enhancement and Protection Strategies

On average the total revenue of the municipality is made up of 66% grant income and 34% of own generated income as reflected in Table 3.13.3.1. The municipality's grant dependency is high and thus the need for the municipality to increase own generated revenue. The municipality has therefore, identified revenue management and enhancement as one of the key elements to lifting the municipality from its current financial distress and every attempt is being done to ensure it functions effectively and efficiently. The summary of issues identified in the current revenue position of the municipality analysis include:

The District Municipality is directly losing revenue given the incompleteness of billing as well as the inherent incompleteness of the consumer database;

- There is a lack adequate documented procedure manual;
- That a substantial portion of meters are not physically read but are estimates;
- Lack of consistency in addressing and resolving customer queries;
- Lack of co-ordination or linkage of processes between Revenue Section and other service units such as Water Services, Customer Relations, Environmental Health etc.;
- Tariff determination is not driven by the scientific and factually accurate cost of providing the services;
- Inability of the Revenue Management System to produce usable statistics to aid factually accurate and scientific data analysis as a means to aid fact-based decision making by Management;
- Inability to apply effective credit control and debt management processes and procedures;
- An un-assured integrity of the Indigent Register; and
- Absence of an approach to identify and resolve the underlying causes substantial water losses, this in addition to the water loss model not necessarily technically robust in terms of best practice.

Table 3.13.4.1: Ugu District Municipality Revenue

| Revenue Source | 2016 / 2017 | 2017 / 2018 | 2018 /2019 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total Revenue | R 1 066 990 988 | R 1 095 287 350 | R 1 110 176 769 |
| Own Revenue Sources | R 358 175 158 | R 370 627 969 | R 378 296 769 |
| Grants and Subsidies | R 708 815 830 | R 724 659 381 | R 731 880 000 |
| % Own Revenue Sources | 33.57% | 33.84% | 34.08% |
| % Grants and Subsidies | 66.43 | 66.16% | 65.92% |

Source: Ugu DM Treasury, 2018

The Revenue Enhancement Strategy presented recommendations after taking into consideration the issues stated above that hinder effective revenue management and renders the environment not conducive to increased levels of revenue at the District Municipality. It was established that Revenue Enhancement of the Municipality is not so much about finding additional streams of revenue, but it is more about the efficiency to bill and collect the revenue that rightly and legally belongs to the municipality. Therefore, the focus of the Revenue Enhancement Strategy will primarily be on the following issues:

- Data cleansing and database management;
- Procedure Manuals including Customer Relations;
- Alignment of Revenue Management to other departments;
- Credit Control and Debt Management; and
- Management of Indigents.
- Water Loss Management

3.13.5 Municipal Consumer Debt Position

The Municipality bills for the water, sanitation, environmental health services and other miscellaneous services. Major services are provided to households. The consumer debt has been in a constant increase since as reflected in Table 3.13.5.1 and 3.13.5.2. Debt management is closely linked with revenue management and thus has been addressed as part of the Revenue Enhancement Strategy. A debt reduction strategy has also been developed and that it is currently pending implementation and that it covers extensively credit control and debt management issues.

Table 3.13.5.1: Ugu District Outstanding Debt

| Description | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018 |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Households | R189 560 251.83 | R 229 243 052.46 | R 282 047 731.74 | |
| Business | R 47 867 981.31 | R 54 241 756.43 | R 61 076 686.48 | |
| Government | R 11 169 053.12 | R 17 938 1882.43 | R 21 319 195.79 | |
| Total Debt | R 248 597 286.26 | R 301 423 691.32 | R 364 443 614.01 | |
| % growth | 17% | 17.5% | 17.3% | |

Source: Ugu Treasury, 2018

Table 3.13.5.2: Ugu District Consumer Debt Age Analysis

| Days | R. Value | % |
|--------------|-----------------|------|
| 0-30 | R 36,698,229.49 | 11.2 |
| 31-60 | R 16,100,938.15 | 4.9 |
| 61-90 | R 17,181,971.59 | 5.2 |

| | | |
|--------------------|------------------|------|
| 91-120 | R 12,248,453.53 | 3.7 |
| 121-150 | R 13,588,519.04 | 4.1 |
| 151-180 | R 7,344,456.87 | 2.2 |
| 181-1 year | R 52,263,397.23 | 15.9 |
| Over 1 year | R 173,747,948.22 | 52.8 |

Source: Ugu Treasury, 2018

3.13.6 Grants and Borrowings

The municipality currently has 4 long-term debt commitments in the form of three DBSA loans and one ABSA loan as reflected in Table 3.13.6.1. The servicing of existing loan commitments from generated revenues has delayed the process of cash backing of the depleted reserves which had supplemented capital investment when the municipality was in a cash crisis.

Table 3.13.6.1: Ugu District Consumer Debt Age Analysis

| Start Date | End Date | Amount | Institution | Purpose |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 04/09/2007 | 31/03/2022 | R 25,000,000 | DBSA | Provision of Infrastructure |
| 23/12/2008 | 30/06/2023 | R 25,000,000 | DBSA | Provision of Infrastructure |
| 30/10/2009 | 30/06/2029 | R 62,000,000 | DBSA | Provision of Infrastructure |
| 10/06/2010 | 30/06/2020 | R 102,000,000 | ABSA | Provision of Infrastructure |
| Total | | R 214,000,000 | | |

Source: Ugu Treasury, 2018

3.13.7 Municipal Infrastructure Assets and Maintenance

The asset management unit which comprises of technical and financial sections are in place and is currently addressing historic asset management challenges and bringing about a reform in the municipality. In the 2016 / 2017 financial year, the municipality received a qualified audit report with a few asset management matters as emphasis. The municipality has put plan in place to ensure that the asset management challenges are addressed. The municipality is committed to:

- Ensure management, accounting and information system that accounts for the assets, long term liabilities, investments and leases;
- Ensure that assets, liabilities, investments and leases are valued in accordance with standards of Generally Recognized Accounting Practice (GRAP) i.e. GRAP 17;
- Ensure a system of internal control of assets, loans, investments and leases including registers;
- Develop and implement asset, loans, investments and leases management framework, policies, strategies, assets repairs and maintenance plans;
- Coordination of Disposal of Fixed Assets;
- Assist with Replacement of Fixed Assets; and
- Ensure appropriate assets counts.

3.13.8 Auditor General Report

Table 3.13.8.1 gives a summary of the Auditor-General's Report on the Ugu District Municipality Financial Management of the past three years.

Table 3.13.8.1: Summary Auditor-General's Report 2015 - 2017

| Financial Year | Audit Outcome | Basis for Audit Opinion |
|----------------|---------------|---|
| 2014 / 2015 | Unqualified | |
| 2015 / 2016 | Unqualified | |
| 2016 / 2017 | Qualified | Revenue Assets Management Commitments |

Source: Auditor-General's Reports, 2015 – 2017

The details of the 2016 / 2017 auditor general findings and action plans to address them thereof are captured in Annexure 2.

13.3.9 Supply Chain Management (SCM)

MFMA section 110 - 119; SCM Regulations 2005; and relevant MFMA circulars set out required processes and guidance manuals to help ensure that SCM arrangements provide appropriate goods and services, offer best value for money and minimize the opportunities for fraud and corruption. The Municipality's supply chain management functions are centralised in the Budget and Treasury Office Supply Chain Management Unit. All bid committees are in place, effective and they sit as per the adopted roster of bid committees. The coordination of the procurement of goods and services, management of supplier database, inventory management and contract management are performed within the Supply Chain Management Unit. The Supply Chain Management Policy and Standard Operating Procedures are in place and will be reviewed for the 2018 / 2019 financial year.


There is an updated contract register that is populated with all contracts for the municipality. They have all been procured through the SCM process, they are all valid written contracts with the terms and conditions stipulated in the contract. The period of the contract is specified in the contract register. A contract administrator is responsible for the management of all the municipal contracts. All officials in the Supply Chain Management Unit meet the prescribed competency levels as required by the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA)

Demand management is managed through the Procurement Plan that was adopted annually as a working document for the Municipality. There is still a need for improvement in the following areas:

- ☐ Contracts awarded within 90 days.
- ☐ Limited or decreased irregular expenditure; and
- ☐ Skills development for the current staff in the SCM unit will always be needed since regulations change.

3.13.10 Cash Flow Management

Figure 3.13.10.1 gives a summary of the cash flow management of the Ugu District Municipality over a period of 3 years from 2014 / 2015 to 2016 / 2017 financial years. The municipality experienced a cash flow shortfall in the 2016 / 2017 financial year where the cash out flow was higher than the cash inflow. The municipality took immediate measures to ensure that the cash flow position is improved in the 2017 / 2018 financial year and is currently implementing these measures.



3.13.11 Municipal Financial Viability and Management SWOT Analysis

The SWOT Analysis with regards to the Municipal Financial Viability and Financial Management analysis are summarised as follows:

Table 3.13.11.1: Municipal Financial Viability and Management SWOT Analysis

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stability of Budget and Treasury Office Financial management turnaround strategy in place. Critical managerial posts have been filled | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-compliance with MFMA – Policies and procedures Not fully MSCOA compliant No standard operating procedures for financial management. Misalignment of skills within the organisation. Inaccurate billing Lack of contracts management Inaccurate customer information's database |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential for investment to enhance economic development and revenue collection. Larger Urban areas to enhance revenue Support from National and Provincial treasury and CoGTA | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial management trainings are not specific to the Treasury department. Under collection of revenue threatens cash flow. Non-performance of service providers Limited financial resources |

3.14 Good Governance and Public Participation Analysis

Good governance as per the democratic principles can be achieved through effective public participation. Public participation allows constituents to monitor the governance record of its elected officials and further encourages the public to take an active interest in the performance of their municipality and region. It is through broad public participation that citizens can recognise that their interests are taken to heart – especially the needs of the most vulnerable members of society. The Ugu District has therefore made every attempt to ensure it allows all of its

citizens to be heard in determining the political, social and economic priorities through the establishment of a broad societal consensus that includes civil society, government and the private sector. However, community participation alone is not sufficient in ensuring that good governance practices are adopted. Institutional integrity is of equal importance and therefore, Ugu District Municipality has ensured that its Finance Committee, Audit Committee, Council and sub-committees are fully functional. This was done through the adoption of effective by-laws and policies that entrench the effective performance of all aspects of municipal governance.

3.14.1 National and Provincial Programmes rolled-out

The Ugu District Municipality is two National and Provincial Programmes which are Batho Pele and Operation Sukuma Sakhe.

3.14.1.1 Batho Pele Programme

Batho Pele, a Sesotho word meaning “people first”, is a notion which was adopted in 1994 and became a policy in 1997. The Batho Pele concept has as a main objective of addressing service delivery improvement by introducing principles which guide the transformation of service delivery to be people centric. In Ugu DM, Batho Pele was implemented in 2007. Since then a lot has been done towards implementing it such as Batho Pele principles and in SDIP, flagship projects such as Municipal Service week and Know your Service Right Campaigns. In 2008/2009 Ugu obtained a Golden Award in the Premier’s Service Excellence Award. The legislative framework calls for setting up of service standards, defining outputs and targets, and benchmarking performance indicators against international standards. Similarly, it also calls for the introduction of monitoring and evaluation mechanism and structures to measure progress on a continuous basis. Batho Pele Principles: Nationally there are eight principles that govern the transformation of service delivery according to Batho Pele White Paper. The province added three more Principles and adheres to eleven principles in

the Citizens Charter, as listed below:-

- Consultation
- Service Standards
- Access
- Courtesy
- Openness and Transparency
- Information
- Redress
- Value for Money
- Encouraging innovation and rewarding excellence
- Leadership and strategic direction
- Service Delivery Impact

3.14.1.2 Service Delivery Impact

Under the direction and guidance of DPSA Batho Pele implementation has focused on principles and Service Delivery Improvement Plan. Batho Pele Belief which says, “We Belong, We Care, We Serve.” is a value system which clearly captures the revitalized Batho Pele culture. More still needs to be done relevant to belief sets. A Batho Pele belief set implementation Strategy will be developed and made available. The Public Service Regulations Act of 2001 states the following:

- i. SDIP must be approved annually. To ensure the implementation of SDIP by all Departments, Departmental Assessments are conducted annually before the end of the year. A department that performs the best in implementing SDIP wins an award for service excellence.

Municipalities are required to publish a Statement of the Service Charter reviewed in the in the 2015/2016 financial year. Service standards must be set at a demanding but realistic, measurable level to be reached by adopting more efficient and customer- focused working practices. Batho Pele Flagship Projects: Since 2008/2009 the following flagship projects, also known as service delivery watch, were implemented and are continuously conducted:

- ii. Municipal Service week and Project Khaedu
- iii. Know your Service Rights Campaign
- iv. Batho Pele Learning Network
- v. Unannounced site visits or mystery customer,
- vi. Change Management

3.14.1.3 Operation Sukuma Sakhe (OSS)

The Operation Sukuma Sakhe Programme, formerly known as the Flagship, Social Cluster Programme (War on Poverty), was introduced to the Ugu District in 2009. In introducing the programme, the Kwa-Zulu Natal, Office of the Premier gave a mandate to all districts, to ensure successful implementation of the OSS programme. In terms of structural framework, OSS has Ward Task Team, Local Task Team, and District Task Team as reflected in Figure 3.14.1.3.1 below




Figure 3.14.1.3.1 Ugu Operation Sukuma Sakhe Structural Framework
Source: Ugu District Special Programmes Unit

3.14.1.3.1 Ward Task – Team

The ward task-team comprises of members of WAC, Sector Departments, Private Sector, Traditional Council, Traditional Healers, Ward Committee, Youth Sector, Disability Sector, Gender, Sector, Senior Citizens, Community Care-Givers, Crime Prevention Volunteers, NGOs, FBOs, Moral Regeneration. Ward Councillor acts as a champion of the War Room. Ward Task-Team Function –

- Identifies the needs of the community at ward level through CCGs and volunteers through profiling;
- Discusses all information received and refers to relevant sector departments and municipalities for relevant intervention;
- Consolidates reports on all interventions, events, reports from WAC and statistics from sector departments; and reports to the LTT of the municipality; and
- Oversight, coordination and implementation of household profiling and the facilitation of interventions.

3.14.1.3.2 Local Task – Team

The structure comprises of members from WAC, Ward Task-Team, Sector Departments, Managers from Municipalities, Political Principals from the local municipality. Local Task-Team Function -

- Consolidates and compiles all reports from Ward Task-Team and forwards to the District Task-Team;
- Executive structure of the Local Task-Team Attends District Task- Team meetings;
- Monitors and evaluates the performance of the Ward Task-Teams; and
- Provides mentoring to the Ward Task-Team.

3.14.1.3.3 District Task –Team

The structure comprises of political champion (MEC), administrative champion (HOD), district convener, Local Task-Team, senior departmental and municipal officials, sector department managers, political principals from Local Municipalities, civil society, and all other relevant stakeholders.

- Political Champion (MEC) - to provide political leadership and guidance.
- Administrative Champion (HOD) - to provide administrative leadership and guidance – develop a monitoring mechanism in terms of the participation and compliance of government departments.
- District Convener - to provide the linkages between the Office of the Premier and the District Task-Team.

In terms of functionality of the Operation Sukuma Sakhe in Ugu District Municipality, 5% is fully functional, 57% is functional, 14% poorly functional and 24% is not functional.

3.14.2 Intergovernmental Government Relations

The Intergovernmental Relations Act requires the establishment of structures and mechanisms aimed at ensuring a high level of input. To ensure effective Intergovernmental Relations the municipality has a dedicated IGR Officer. At a municipal level, different forums have been established to facilitate performance and service delivery. The referred to forums consists of representatives from different spheres of governments, local municipalities, parastatals and other. IGR clusters are not meant to duplicate the work of Municipal forums as those are meant to deal with specifics/ details other than strategic issues. Municipal Forums will remain in existence and therefore, the reports from these forums will be submitted to the relevant IGR Cluster for consideration.




Figure 3.14.2.1: Ugu District IGR Structures

Source: Ugu OMM, 2018

3.14.2.1 IGR Challenges

Coordination of IGR meetings has occasionally posed a challenge due to competing provincial meetings, mostly urgent. However, despite this, the municipality still managed to achieve the objective to discuss matters of common interest. There is still a challenge with the participation of sector departments in IGR Rep forum however once they are represented they do show commitment to the structure and participate well. It is still evident in areas like projects affecting more than just the municipality, e.g. construction of houses. It has been found that a housing project would be at implementation before the bulk supply of water or sewerage system is finalised, leading to delayed occupation.

3.14.2.2 IGR Achievements

In the previous year sub technical IGR committees could not convene until the structure of the committees was adjusted and the District HODs convened committees within the district within their portfolios. The Governance subcommittee gave birth to an ICT forum who have in addition to innovative discussion and sharing resources or information where needed, held a successful inaugural ICT forum in 2017. International cooperation like the Waste Management programme and Water management programmes with the different Swedish Municipalities are not restricted to the district nor relevant local municipality only. All municipalities have a participatory role in the partnerships. This has even extended to the country where Swedish embassy has established a networking structure with all municipalities who have a relationship with Sweden. There is generally a good working relation among all the spheres of government within the district.

3.14.3 Internal Information and Communication Technology

The ICT Section, placed within the Corporate Services Department is primarily responsible for the implementation, monitoring and reporting for the following ICT services supplied to the Municipality:

- ☐ Infrastructure planning, maintenance, and support;
- ☐ Production, Test and Disaster Recovery environment establishment and protection;
- ☐ Information and Communication Technology Strategy;
- ☐ Information and Communication Technology Governance;
- ☐ Business relationship management;
- ☐ Change control management
- ☐ Systems Configuration management
- ☐ Continuous Improvement ☐ Incident management and request fulfilment ☐ Information security management.
- ☐ Quarterly ICT Steering Committee Management reviews
- ☐ Continuous Measurement of ICT Services
- ☐ Problem management
- ☐ Systems Release and deployment management
- ☐ Service continuity and availability management
- ☐ Service design
- ☐ Service level management and quarterly reviews of service levels
- ☐ Service reporting

Over and above problem resolution, the unit continues to take the Municipality forward and strategically targeted and achieved the following within ICT:

- Implementation of 7 additional sites into the MPLS network. The average network uptime per month, consistently for the financial year was 95% and measured over a 24/7.
- Incident Management System with continuous reporting and fault analysis.
- The review of and successful full testing of the Disaster Recovery Plan.
- Monthly documented backups of core financial system data, monthly documented restoration tests and monthly off-site storage of all backups.
- The internal maintenance of the Ugu website and Intranet on a Microsoft SharePoint platform.

- Rigorous ICT security review sessions with all staff and the use of the ICT security policy DVD presentation for all new staff.
- The review and alignment of the ICT Policy Strategy, ICT Governance Framework and Charter and ICT total policy reviews and adoption with the IDP
- The monitoring of ICT Governance through the monitoring tool and achieving above required governance goals for the Municipality for both Governance phases.
- VOIP implementation across all network sites, enabling sites with no previous voice telecommunications to be online and operational at negligible costs, leveraging on existing infrastructure.
- Ensure licencing and software applications are aligned and only licensed software is installed on Municipal equipment.
- Upgrade of the PBX equipment in line with the latest versions ensuring technological advancement in the Voice service
- The LAN infrastructure technology Refresh project was successfully implemented which introduced wireless networks to all offices and Network Management Software to monitor all network uptime.
- Mobile devices assigned to ICT Technicians to enable notification received by them while in the field, with the impact that incidents are attended to faster

During the 2018 calendar year, the established ICT District Forum comprising of the 4 Municipalities within the District met 6 times. A successful ICT Seminar was held with the topic of “Network planning and optimization of existing infrastructure within the Ugu District” and had representation from all membership and other stakeholders. The ICT Forum did a knowledge management excursion to the Dube Tradeport Datacentre for research into effective disaster recovery and systems replication models.

3.14.4 Municipal Structures

In line with Section 80 of the Municipal Structures Act, the Municipality currently has five multi-party Portfolio Committees, namely:

- Finance Portfolio Committee;
- Water and Sanitation Portfolio Committee;
- Local Economic Development Portfolio Committee;
- Special Programmes Portfolio Committee; and
- Sound Governance and Human Resource Portfolio Committee.

These Portfolio Committees continue to assist the Executive Committee in policy development and monitoring to accelerate service delivery, as well as the oversight of strategic programmes and projects. Figure 3.14.4.1 gives the functionality of these committees.

There is also a Municipal Public Accounts Committee (MPAC). The primary function of a MPAC is to help council to hold the executive and the municipal administration to account and to ensure the efficient and effective use of municipal resources. It will do this by reviewing public accounts and exercising oversight on behalf of the Council. The committee examines the following:

- financial statements of all executive organs of council;
- any audit reports issued on those statements;

- any reports issued by the AG on the affairs of any municipal entity;
- any other financial statements or reports referred to the committee by council; and to council and
- the annual report on behalf of council and make recommendations to council.

Thereafter the MPAC may:

- report on any of those financial statements or reports to council;
- initiate and develop the annual oversight report based on the annual report;
- initiate any investigation in its area of competence; and
- perform any other function assigned by resolution of council.

3.14.4.1 Municipal BID Committees

The municipality has put in place the BID Committees and are all functional. The sittings of committees are based on the roster that is scheduled on annual basis. The committees are comprised as follows:

3.14.4.1.1 BID Specification Committee

The BID Specification Committee is a committee of three and two members make up the quorum. The committee is made up of the following members:

- GM: Water Services (Chairperson)
- Senior Manager Operations
- Manager: Fleet
- Contracts Co-ordinator

Manager ICT is the representative from user departments are on by invitation

3.14.4.1.2 BID Evaluation Committee

The BID Evaluation Committee is a committee of five and three members makes up the quorum. The committee is made up of the following members:

- Manager SCM (Chairperson)
- Contracts Co-ordinator
- Manager Assets
- Manager Environmental Health
- Manager ICT – Alternate Member

The representative from user departments are by invitation.

3.14.4.1.3 BID Adjudication

The BID Evaluation Committee is a committee of five and three members makes up the quorum. The committee is made up of the following members:

- GM: Budget and Treasury Office (Chairperson)
- Manager Financial Services (Deputy Chairperson)
- Manager: PMU
- Manager: Environmental Services
- Manager Disaster Management – Alternate Member

The representative from user departments are by invitation

3.14.4.1.4 Appeal Authority

Manager Legal Services as delegated by the Accounting Officer as the appeals authority and no delegated authority is given to Bid Committees. All recommendations are directed to the Accounting Officer.




Figure 3.14.4.1: Ugu District Standing Committee Functionality

Source: Ugu Corporate Services, 2018

3.14.5 Internal Audit

In terms of section 165 of the MFMA, an in house Internal Audit section has been in operation since 2004. The following functions are discharged in accordance with an approved Internal Audit Charter:

- To examine, evaluate and improve the adequacy and effectiveness of the municipality's governance, risk management processes and systems of internal control;
- Governance: assisting senior management in achieving the goals of the municipality by evaluating and approving the process through which goals & values are established, communicated and monitored accountability is ensured and municipal values preserved;
- Risk Management: assisting the Executive Committee and senior management in identifying, evaluating and assessing significant organisational risks and providing assurance as to the effectiveness of the related internal controls;
- Internal Controls: evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of the information systems environment, financial and operational information, operations, safeguarding of assets and compliance with laws, regulations and controls;
- To conduct special investigations and other ad hoc projects at the request of management; and
- To report to the Audit Committee and Municipal Manager.

Internal Audit completes internal audit reviews in accordance with an approved three-year strategic plan. The plan is formulated using a risk-based approach and includes evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of the information systems environment, financial and operational information, operations, safeguarding of assets and compliance with laws, regulations & controls.

3.14.5.1 Forensic Services

The Internal Audit unit also conducts forensic investigations and fraud risk management services. The District Municipality together with its local municipalities have committed themselves to fight against fraud and corruption. They have strengthened their fraud prevention and detection techniques by jointly procuring an Anti-Fraud and Corruption Hotline from an independent service provider where members of the public can anonymously blow the whistle on fraud and corruption. The contact details for the Anti-Fraud and Corruption Hotline are as follows:

Toll Free: 0801 111 660 – Email: information@whistleblowing.co.za – Fax: 086 5222 816 - P. O. Box 51006, Musgrave, 4001

3.14.5.2 Internal Audit Committee

The Internal Audit Committee is functional and sits on a quarterly basis or as and when the need arises. The members are appointed on a three-year term. There are currently four members appointed. Two of the members are Chartered Accountants, one member is in the field of law, and the other a person who has experience in the field of Municipal Performance Management.

3.14.6 Community Participation Strategy

A Community Participation Strategy has been developed which is aimed at consolidating and formalising these initiatives to ensure that there is an effective, well-co-ordinated and ongoing interaction between the municipality and the communities it serves on municipal affairs. The municipality's intention is to strengthen community participation processes however, there is a need to implement interventions to improve the level of participation by the community, especially the urban based members of the public. Although this strategy is in existence, its adequacy in terms of communication could not be ascertained. Within Ugu District, one way of implementing the Community Participation Strategy and involving communities within the IDP and Budget process is through the IDP/Budget road shows and Mayoral Izimbizo. Community inputs made during Mayoral Izimbizo inform the budget processes of the municipality as it is part of the consultation process aimed at improving public participation in the affairs of the District. The Ugu District Public Participation Forum was established which is comprised of CoGTA representatives, local municipalities and all Public Participation Practitioners and is responsible for the coordination of public participation activities.

3.14.6.1 Ward Committees

The district includes for local municipalities with 85 wards and all ward committees have been established. However, the key challenges that hinder effectiveness of ward committees includes administration / logistics support and the vastness and inaccessibility of rural wards. Some of the areas are serviced by the Community Development Workers who have a dual responsibility to report to Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs and local municipalities. Findings on the Role of Ward Committees Challenges: Ward committee training and workshop was conducted; the following concerns were found to be primary to ward committees and were raised as issues that required immediate and utmost attention:

- There is a high level of frustration from the Ward Committee Members complaining there is no space created for them to use all the information and skills they receive from all these workshops. This is a general feeling from the Ward Committee Members that they have enough knowledge and skill now but are being underutilized and overlooked in the municipal affairs and decisions.
- There is still a high number of Ward Committees that feel there is tension between them and the Chairpersons. This is coupled with a complain that the Chairpersons of the Ward Committees do not make themselves available for workshops of this kind where issues hindering development as a result of unclear roles that must be played by both parties are explained and interrogated.
- The unstable relationship between the Chairpersons and the rest of ward committee members were sighted to have been based on the Chairperson feeling insecure as far as their positions are concerned thus, active ward committee members being perceived by as declaring their ambitions for councillorship.
- There is a communication breakdown between the municipalities and the Ward Committees which affects information flow. There is no feedback on the community needs that the Ward Committees submit to the municipality for inclusion in the IDP or explanations as to why some projects are prioritised and others not. Furthermore, the Ward Committees are not informed of the proposed or planned development thus cannot inform the community timelessly and effectively and cannot answer to the community.
- There are limited resources to carry out the expected duties of Ward Committees, such as loudhailers, stationery, and other necessary office equipment. Furthermore, the stipend amount needs to be reviewed as it only covers telephone costs and falls short in travelling costs.
- Ward committees need to be capacitated continuously in accredited courses that will equip them with certificates so that they are able to utilise them in their endeavour to advance their careers and not just the consultation workshops.
- Municipal documents and materials to be translated into isiZulu in a bid to accommodate the majority people of the district.

3.14.7 Customer Relations

Customer relations unit is concerned with the customers' perception of the services rendered by the municipality and to instil and establish a positive image of the municipality in the customer's mind. The main function performed include:

- Being an ambassador for the municipality – both internally and externally;
- To resolve customer conflicts when are not provided as expected and the customer contacts the municipality;
- To answer questions from the municipality's current and potential customers;
- To create and propose innovative solutions to solidify the partnership between the municipality and the customer; and
- To be in communication with the customers through Customer Satisfaction Surveys to understand their needs and to stay updated on the customers' perception of the municipality's services.

The analysis of customer satisfaction within Ugu DM is informed by an annual customer satisfaction survey as well as records of received complaints during each financial year. The survey findings included the following:

- That most wards and/communities have access to water and sanitation, however there are water interruptions experienced more often due to aging infrastructure and drought;
- A need to improve on communication and information transmission to rural communities in particular; and
- The survey conducted was focused on general household district wide, soliciting views on integrated services rendered by all spheres of government hence, the need for a more specific and focused survey.

Analysis of received complaints also revealed the following:

- i. That there are several water shortages and burst pipes which is also due to aging infrastructure and drought. There are several mechanisms put in place in order to ensure that communities are satisfied with the services they receive from the municipality.
- ii. Customer Care Bus: The purchase of the mobile office allowed the municipality to reach all communities especially the rural areas. It brings the services and information to the people.
- iii. Service Commitment Charter: The reviewed and approved Service Commitment Charter is in place and employees are workshopped on it to ensure that they are aware, comply and meet the service standards set by the municipality in delivering services.
- iv. Complaints Handling Procedure: It is in place and assists employees in dealing with the complaints received properly and meeting the turnaround times.
- v. Community Outreach Programmes: These are in place and assist in educating communities about the services they receive, their rights and responsibilities.
- vi. Quality Assurance: In place to ensure that work is done properly and timely and also determine whether or not consumers are satisfied with the service rendered.
- vii. Batho Pele: Its programmes are in place to ensure Service Delivery improvement putting customers first and doing things right the first time for customer satisfaction.

3.14.8 Role of Amakhosi and Communities in the IDP

The internal and external role players have roles and responsibilities in terms of the IDP development process. The IDP Representative Forum consists of representatives from all local municipalities, the House of Traditional Leaders, civil society, and service providers / sector departments. This forum provides public and private sector input into the IDP. Ugu District Municipality has ensured the continual participation of all the role players to ensure maximum input into the IDP process. Amakhosi have also been incorporated into the District Municipal Council Furthermore, Amakhosi also form part of the municipal portfolio committees.

3.14.9 Risk Management

Risk Management is one of Management's core responsibilities in terms of section 62 of the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) and is an integral part of the internal processes of a municipality. It is a systematic process to identify, evaluate and address risks on a continuous basis before such risks can impact negatively on service delivery by a municipality. When properly executed risk, management provides reasonable assurance that the institution will be successful in achieving its goals and objectives. The Municipality conducts Risk Assessments (Enterprise wide, IT and Fraud Risk Assessments) annually and develops an organisational Risk Register. The Risk Register is compiled to determine the magnitude of risk exposure by assessing the likelihood of the risk materialising and the impact that it would have on the achievement of objectives. The identified risks are prioritised which enables Management to focus more time, effort and resources on higher risk areas. The Municipality has a Risk Management Committee in place. There is a framework in place incorporating the Risk Management Policy, Risk Management Committee Charter, Risk Management Implementation Plan, Risk Management Strategy and Mitigation Plan.

3.14.10 Annual Report

An annual report for the 2018/2019 financial year will be compiled and issued in terms of the Municipal Finance Management Act. The report will be made available to interested stakeholders. The 2017 / 2018 Annual Report has been adopted by Council and been distributed to all the relevant stakeholders. The 2019 / 2020 IDP Review

has taken into consideration the performance of the 2018 / 2019 financial year as well as the 2018 / 2019 financial mid-year performance. Good Governance and Public Participation SWOT Analysis

The SWOT Analysis with regards to the Good Governance and Public

- i. Participation analyses is summarised below.

Table 3.14.11.1: Good Governance and Public Participation SWOT Analysis

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Development of strategies and plans. Public participation Mechanisms Transparency Governance Structures are functional (Audit Committee, Council and Portfolio Committees etc). Political leadership and buy in | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Failure to implement strategies, plans, policies, and resolutions. Analysis and Follow-up is ineffective. Media reactions are more reactive than proactive. Emergence of unethical conduct Lack of employee accountability and consequence management. Media reactions are more reactive than proactive which results in poor corporate image. |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Technological Advancements Inter-Governmental Relations Local, National, and International Social Media platforms available for communication | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Volatile political environment Socio-Economic Environment (Poverty and unemployment) |

| Strengths | Weaknesses |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strategically located – gateway to Eastern Cape Wall – Wall Schemes Geographic Information's Systems Well-developed strategic development documents Environmental By-laws in place A Disaster Management Centre facility is available, and it is functional. This facility is being upgraded and equipped to be utilized as a fully operational Disaster Management Centre which integrates multiple role players and agencies as well as an information management and communication system. A fully functional District Disaster Management Advisory Forum (DDMAF) and Practitioners Forum are well established in the Ugu Municipality. All major role players are represented in this forum and attend regular meetings | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Topography Limited land for development Limited rural development Settlement sprawl Implementation of strategic documents Lack of land use management mechanisms Biodiversity and Protected Areas Environmental degradation National norm of 1:10 000 people not adhered to IDP credibility declining Lack of cooperation towards development (internally and externally) Non-completion of environmental management strategic tools Lack of capacity for disaster Management at a local municipal level which provides constraints to the district for the implementation of disaster management programs. |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>where inputs are provided from all line function departments.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training and Development • Functional Employee Assistance Programme • Highly qualified and committed staff compliment • Regular Driver training and testing • Sound municipal systems in place (policies, fleet, auxiliary etc.) • Functional Organisational Performance Management System • Individual Performance Management System in place • All section 54 / 56 post are filled • Staff retention • The coastal strip has good access to basic services • Infrastructure Grant Expenditure availability to speed up backlog eradication • Availability of fresh water resources (plans to undertake/ implement regional schemes) • Good road infrastructure already in place • Even spread of community facilities (reasonable access across the district) • Functional programmes with particular focus to Vulnerable Groups • Adequate access to social facilities • Good Community interventions • Established NGOs with a focus on social development • Stability of Budget and Treasury Office • Financial management turnaround strategy in place. • Critical managerial posts have been filled • Development of strategies and plans. • Public participation Mechanisms • Transparency • Governance Structures are functional (Audit Committee, Council and Portfolio Committees etc). • Political leadership and buy in | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Disaster management plans drafted by the local municipalities are not being implemented • Staff capacity against the extent of disasters in our District e.g. fire services –the areas to vast to cover with the resources available • Lack of Communication Management within the local municipalities • Ageing vehicle fleet assets • Fleet management system • Employer – Employee Relations (Labour Unrest) • Misplacement of Skills • Understanding of Organisational Culture • Understanding of Individual Performance Management System • Enforcement of disciplinary and consequent management • Document and records management • Printing cost • Disciplinary processes taking too long • Unclear delegation of authority / power • Succession planning • Inadequate maintenance of infrastructure (reservoirs) • Aged water and sanitation infrastructure • Outdated Water and Sanitation Masterplan • Lack of capacity of key treatment plants • Historic haphazard infrastructure development in rural areas • Basic services backlog concentration in the rural areas • Below minimum standards No, Blue, and Green Drop scores • Poorly organised waste minimisation and lack of integrated recycling system • Limited access to public transport • Outdated Integrated Transport Plan • Limited telecommunications data for analysis • Informal settlements • Illegal Connections • Social Development not given special priority in terms of resource allocation. • Limited partnership with private sector • Limited partnership with internal stakeholders • Limited social programmes • Non-compliance with MFMA – Policies and procedures • Not fully MSCOA compliant • No standard operating procedures for financial management. • Misalignment of skills within the organisation. • Inaccurate billing • Lack of contracts management • Inaccurate customer information's database |
|--|--|

| | |
|--|---|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure to implement strategies, plans, policies, and resolutions. • Analysis and Follow-up is ineffective. • Media reactions are more reactive than proactive. • Emergence of unethical conduct • Lack of employee accountability and consequence management. • Media reactions are more reactive than proactive which results in poor corporate image. |
|--|---|

| Opportunities | Threats |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Densification • Access to an accredited laboratory • A Disaster Management Centre facility is available and is currently in use by the municipality. • This facility will be upgraded and equipped to be utilized for both Disaster Management Fire and Rescue Services. • Bursary allocation to be aligned to critical skill (internally and externally) • Satellite university / tertiary institutions • Alternative water sources • Alternative energy • Forging partnership with Private Sector (taking advantage of available Corporate Social Investment) • New innovative initiatives to address social ills • Expansion of existing programmes to cover the whole district • Potential for investment to enhance economic development and revenue collection. • Larger Urban areas to enhance revenue • Support from National and Provincial treasury and CoGTA • Technological Advancements • Inter-Governmental Relations • Local, National, and International • Social Media platforms available for communication | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tenure security • Rural Population Outmigration • Climate Change • Compromised community health as regular inspections cannot be conducted • Disease outbreak • Non-Development, update, and implementation of DMPs by the local municipalities as the district plan should contain a consolidation of all the local plans. • The same will apply when the various sector departments do not have their own disaster management plans in place which will create gaps in the capacity and readiness of the municipality and will leave the community vulnerable. • Critical skills shortages • High staff turnover • Natural adversities such as droughts and flooding • Urbanisation and rural population outmigration • Immigration – the district is the Eastern Cape gateway to KZN, the arrival of people seeking better opportunities often further burdens the already stretched infrastructure. • Non-cooperation from some Local Municipalities • Social Inequality • High Crime Rate • High prevalence of HIV and Aids • Social ills (Teenage pregnancy, drugs and substance abuse, gender-based violence) • Duplication of Efforts/Initiatives • Gateway to Eastern Cape and Ethekwini (inward migration) put burden on social resources • Financial management trainings are not specific to the Treasury department. • Under collection of revenue threatens cash flow. • Non-performance of service providers |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited financial resources • Volatile political environment • Socio-Economic Environment (Poverty and unemployment) |
|--|--|

3.16 Key Challenges

The key challenges faced by the Ugu District Municipality as emanating from the Situational Analysis Chapter and the 2018 Organisational Strategic Planning session are detailed in Table 3.12.1 below.

| Key challenge | Description |
|---|--|
| | Basic Service Delivery |
| Non-Compliance with No drop | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water loss management • Ageing infrastructure • Billing – no credible billing system to measure the amount of water we are selling which leads to un accounted water loss • Leakage management- rate of responding to leaks • Issue of educating our people about saving water |
| Non-Compliance with Green drop | The minimum score for Green Drop Certification is 90%. The current status for Ugu District Municipality is 72%. |
| Non-Compliance with Blue drop | The minimum score for Blue Drop Certification is 95%. The current status for Ugu District Municipality is 66%. |
| Illegal connections | The municipality has a challenge of illegal connection and tampering of meters |
| Backlog management | The Ugu District Municipality has 175 146 households. A total of 21 018 has households has no access to quality drinking water and 54 295 households has no access to decent sanitation. The municipality has an enormous task to eradicate all the backlogs, however, due to current economic conditions there is not enough financial resource to eradicate these backlogs speedily. The municipality therefore, needs to have a plan that will spell out how the backlogs will be eradicated spelling out the timeframes. Furthermore, the plan will need talk to the total funding required to eradicate these backlogs. The municipality's Water and Sanitation Master Plan is out dates as it was last adopted in 2007. There is an urgent need for the municipality to review it Water and Sanitation Master Plan and develop a Backlog Study Plan. |
| Municipal Transformation & Organisational Development | |
| High Employee Related Costs | The norm for employee related costs as a percentage of total operating expenditure ratio is 35%. The current employee related cost as a percentage of total operating cost ratio of Ugu District Municipality is ____%. Furthermore, the employee related costs |

| | |
|--|--|
| | of Ugu District Municipality take 95.34% of internal revenue. This means without grants the municipality would not be able to sustain itself |
| Disengaged Employees | <p>The organisational culture assessment of the municipality has revealed that there is a challenge of disengaged employees. The disengaged employees are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Complain - Disengaged employees are always complaining. Nothing is ever good enough for them; <input type="checkbox"/> Gossip - Gossip destroys morale and the team dynamic, and creates cliques within the organization; <input type="checkbox"/> Make Excuses - Disengaged employees never take responsibility for their actions and always find an excuse; <input type="checkbox"/> Show No Growth - Disengaged employees don't invest in themselves to add to their skills and growth within the organization; <input type="checkbox"/> Lack Enthusiasm - When a new task or project comes, disengaged employees are always the least excited; <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Help Others - They're always saying*It's not my job*, and are never willing to go above and beyond; <input type="checkbox"/> Lie - Employees who lie and make up stories are really dangerous to the team; <input type="checkbox"/> Know-It-All - Bad employees act like they know everything and are too good for whatever you have to say; <input type="checkbox"/> Irresponsible - Bad employees often miss deadlines, are late for work and break their promises; <input type="checkbox"/> Don't Ask Questions - Disengaged employees aren't interested enough to ask questions and learn new things; <input type="checkbox"/> Show No Initiative - Good employees take initiative; bad employees just sit around waiting to be told what to do next; <input type="checkbox"/> Easily Distracted - Good employees know how to stay focused; disengaged employees are easily distracted; <input type="checkbox"/> Independent - Bad employees are set on working alone. A team needs collaboration to thrive. |
| Slow Implementation of Individual Performance Management (IPMS) | IPMS is designed to maximize outputs of individual managers in the work place. Furthermore, IPMS ensures accountability through assumption by all the participant managers in the IPMS process of full responsibility for their individual actions and conduct as well as a willingness and commitment to implement, abide by and communicate as necessary all measures and decisions during the process. The slow progress in the implementation of limits the productivity and accountability of the staff. |
| Bloated Organisational structure | The work study that was done in 2014 revealed that the organisational structure is bloated. One of the recommendations was to do placement which has also been a challenge. |

| | |
|--|--|
| Lack of Monitoring and Evaluation | Monitoring involves collecting, analysing and reporting on inputs, activities, outputs and outcomes in a way that supports effective management on an ongoing basis. Monitoring, aims to provide managers, decision-makers and other stakeholders with regular feedback on progress in implementation, results and early indicators of problems that need to be corrected. It usually reports on actual performance against what was planned or expected. Evaluation is a time-bound and periodic exercise that seeks to provide credible and useful information to answer specific questions to guide decision-making by staff/managers and policy-makers. Evaluation may assess relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact and sustainability of the institution and officials. In the Ugu District Municipality there is poor alignment of SDBIP performance with what is happening on the ground. Furthermore, there is no evidence that decision making is informed monitoring and evaluation tool. |
| Poor Recruitment Processes | The recruitment process takes too long which may have a negative effect on service delivery. Furthermore, the recruitment policy is not complied with sometimes. |
| Poor Conflict Resolution and Management | The Conflict management is the process of limiting the negative aspects of conflict while increasing the positive aspects of conflict. In the Ugu District Municipality there has been a number of employee conflicts which have been poorly managed or resolved. |
| Poor Manager and employee relations | The management and employees need to work together on common projects, services, an goals, with a meshing of functions and mutual support to be more effective. Poor manager and employee relations affects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The purpose, mission or main objective is not known and understood; <input type="checkbox"/> Communication in the team is not open, direct and honest; <input type="checkbox"/> Absence of leadership and willingness to be led; and <input type="checkbox"/> Regular review of how well the unit or section is performing towards achieving its purpose cannot be done. |
| Poor Time Management of Employees | The municipality is currently using attendance registers as time management tool. The poor time management of employees may lead to low productivity and wasteful expenditure. There is a need to explore an efficient time management of employee's tool or improvement of the current tool. |
| Lack of Skills Transfers | Skills transfer plays a pivotal role in ensuring organisational growth and in ensuring institutional memory. Furthermore, plays a role in employee development and motivation which in turn increases productivity. The lack of skills transfer can adversely affect service delivery i.e. if a manager leaves the municipality and no one in the section can fill the gap timeously for continued service provision. |
| Old Aging Fleet | Fleet Management plays a pivotal role in ensuring effective and efficient service delivery by ensuring viability of vehicles for service delivery. This role of Fleet Management is compromised by the challenge of old fleet which have high mileage. |

| | |
|--|--|
| | This escalates the budget for operation and maintenance of vehicles as there constantly must go for repairs. |
| Clashing of Meetings | The municipality's secretariat unit on an annual basis draws up a schedule of Council and its committee meetings. All the other meetings need to be planned around this schedule. The challenge is that even though there is a schedule in place adopted by Council, the adhoc meetings continue to clash with the scheduled meeting. |
| Limited Office Space | There is an increasing demand for office space as the municipality recruits new employees. There is a challenge of hiring of human resources without the consideration of office plan (office space, etc). |
| High Security Costs | Security of municipal assets as well as employees is of high importance for the municipality. However, the current system of using warm bodies only to secure municipal asset is costing the municipality greatly. There is there a need to explore technologically advanced security measures versus warm bodies. |
| High Printing and Copying Costs | The municipality is still heavily reliant on paper system for meetings, recording keeping, etc. This is very costly for the municipality there is high level of wastage. Departments not taking responsibility with regards to cost cutting, e.g., printing, copies |
| Lack of Human Resource Capacity | There is a misplacement of skills in the Budget and Treasury Office. Furthermore, there is a challenge of skills balance within the LED Unit and skills transfer, training, etc is required. |
| Delegations of authorities of Ugu are not clear | Delegation of authorities ensure efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability. The current delegations of authorities for the municipality are not clear and as a result there is no accountability. |
| Local Economic Development | |
| Limited Scope of LED | <p>The National LED Framework (2014-2019) as “a process involving the formation of new institutions, the development of alternative industries, the improvement of the capacity of existing employers to produce better products, the transfer of knowledge, the identification of new markets and the nurturing of new firms and enterprises.”</p> <p>The framework further defines LED as “the process by which public, business and nongovernmental sector partners work collectively to create better conditions for economic growth and employment generation with the objective of building up an economic capacity of a local area to improve its economic future and the quality of life for all.”</p> <p>The Ugu District Municipality seems to be focusing on the second definition and the task of the first definition are given to the Development Agency. There is a need to clarify the roles and responsibility of both the LED Unit and the Development Agency to ensure that the LED scope is widened.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| Duplication of Resources and Efforts between Local and District LED Units | LED is a shared responsibility between all the three spheres of government. The district needs to play a coordinating role amongst the four local to ensure effective and effective use of the limited resources. There has been growing unhealthy competition between the district and the locals in the implementation of LED. This has led to duplication of efforts and uneven implementation of LED across the district which has a negative effect on the community. Furthermore, this hinders the district in playing its coordinative role. |
| High Levels of poverty, inequality and unemployment | The triple threat challenge is not just an Ugu challenge, but it is nationwide. The South African government as captured in the National Development Plan, is committed to eliminating poverty, inequality and unemployment. The Ugu District Municipality is also committed to eliminating poverty, inequality, and unemployment. The District needs to develop clear strategies and programmes to address the triple threat challenge. |
| Unfavourable LED Environment | <p>Creating favourable conditions for the growth and development of business enterprises by reducing risk and making it more foreseeable by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increasing confidence levels of the public and private sector investors; <input type="checkbox"/> Unlocking under-utilised resources; <input type="checkbox"/> Reducing the establishment and operating costs of businesses; <input type="checkbox"/> Improving infrastructure; and <input type="checkbox"/> Facilitating the provision of trained labour. <p>High mortality rates of SMMEs and Cooperatives have been recorded because of unfavourable LED environment. The District and the Local Municipalities need to explore ways of creating an enabling environment.</p> |
| Poor Resourcing of LED | LED is often not viewed as a core by the municipality and thus, has been poorly resourced especially financially. Although there has been some improvement over the years there is a long way to go. More awareness campaigns need to be done to give LED the recognition it requires. |
| Unclear LED Mandate | There is a lack of common understanding of LED and what it should do. Furthermore, the district and its family of local municipalities does not have one clear mandate when it comes to LED. |
| Municipal Financial Viability and Management | |
| Budget management and financial reporting | The Fruitless and Expenditure as a percentage of Total Operating Expenditure ratio norm is 0%. The current Ugu District Municipality ratio is more than 0%. With regards to financial reporting, there is some level of inaccurate reporting. There is a need of Ugu District Municipality to investigate how mSCOA affects budgeting processes and change financial reporting to be in line with mSCOA standards. |
| Supply chain management | The Irregular Unauthorised Expenditure as a percentage of Total Operating Expenditure ratio norm is 0%. The current Ugu District Municipality ratio is more than 0%. The ratio that exceeds the norm as it is in the Ugu case, points to poor |

| | |
|--|---|
| | procurement planning. Furthermore, there is a lack of understanding that SCM must also facilitate economic transformation agenda and as a result there is no alignment |
| Revenue management | <p>The norm for the Collection Rate is 95%. The current Ugu District Municipality Collection Rate is 79% for urban accounts and 12% for rural. The rate that is below the norm is an indication of performance in a number of areas, in the Ugu case these are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Quality of Credit Control - there is poor management of debtors as a result the municipality is not collecting. As at 30 June 2017, the total outstanding debt was sitting at R 364 443 614.01 and 52.8% of that debt was over a year. <input type="checkbox"/> Quality of Revenue Management – there is a challenge with the structuring of tariffs as there is no cost recovery for water and sanitation provision. |
| Asset management | Issue of incorrect asset register, unbundling of infrastructure, asset replacement and management plan |
| Non-compliance with MFMA | There is a lack of financial internal controls and processes |
| Expenditure management | The norm for the Creditors Payment Period Ratio is 30 days. Currently the ratio for Ugu District Municipality is more than 30 days. A period of longer than 30 days to settle creditors is normally an indication that the Municipality may be experiencing cash flow problems, however in certain instances this may be as a result of disputes, processing of payments, etc. In addition, a ratio that exceeds the norm indicates that the Municipality may not be adequately managing its Working Capital or that effective controls are not in place to ensure prompt payments. |
| Good Governance and Public Participation | |
| Poor Communications and stakeholder Engagements | <p>The municipality has endured bad media publicity over the past few years which was mostly fuelled by the challenges that were experienced in water provision. The municipality's communication and stakeholder engagements were test and a couple of weaknesses were identified. What the communication analysis also found is that the communication field is vastly becoming digital and the municipality is lagging behind in this aspect.</p> <p>The internal communications standard practices are not adhered to which leads to miscommunication and communication breakdowns between departments. This has had an adverse effect on service delivery and has resulted in unnecessary delays in the implementation of projects and programmes.</p> <p>The external communication is urban biased and is limited in the hinterland. The main language of written communication is English which further marginalise the rural</p> |

| | |
|--|---|
| | <p>hinterland. Furthermore, there is no standard system in place to engage stakeholders and lack of stakeholder analysis.</p> <p>Customer services not being embraced internally but rather seen as an external service, there is no systems in place to ensure that this is being done. The communication strategy need to be reviewed to accommodate the rapidly changing communication environment. Customer satisfaction survey needs to be undertaken. The Batho Pele needs to be re-institutionalised as well.</p> |
| Disintegrated Public Participation | <p>Public participation is a key element of ensuring that the public is consulted and is involved in the running of the municipality. The current public participation mechanisms are not as effective as they should be. Furthermore, there is no standard coherent system followed by different municipal departments to consult the public. There is a need to review the Public Participation Strategy and explore new innovative public participation mechanisms. Revitalisation of ward committee engagements needs to also be explored.</p> |
| Lack of Inter Departmental Collaboration | <p>There is a lack of Internal partnerships in terms of projects being implemented for the community which result in duplication because departments are not talking to each other.</p> |
| Unfavourable Environment for Civil Society Group to Participate in Municipal Structures | <p>Most of the organised civil groups representative are unemployed. Due to the vastness of the district, travelling for these representatives become too expensive and they often have transport challenges. This limits the civil society participation and representation in the municipal structure. The challenge will be further exacerbated the cost cutting measures of the municipality. The organised civil groups representative that participate in the municipal structure will have to also bear the cost of providing own lunch.</p> |
| Limited Information Communications Technology (ICT) | <p>ICT plays a critical role in the vastly digitalising world. Technology is upgrading rapidly, and the district needs to keep up with the pace which is costly. Due to the current economic condition the ICT infrastructure budget is shrinking and that poses a huge challenge in the day to day running's of the municipality.</p> |
| Cross Cutting Interventions | |
| Slow Intake of Management Trainees | <p>There is human resource capacity challenge with regards to Disaster Management in the district. The District Disaster Management Unit is providing disaster management training to mitigate the challenge; however, the trainees are not absorbed by the local municipalities.</p> |
| Limited Disaster Management Funding at a Local Level. | <p>Emergency relief needs to be provided to victims of disasters that occur in the district. The challenge is that the budget for emergency relief is limited at the local level which places extra burden at the district level. Furthermore, this sometime causes unnecessary delays in providing victims with assistance.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| Slow Housing Provision for Disaster Victims. | The housing provision for disaster victims is slow and as a result the victims don't get relief speedily. The process for disaster victims should be fast tracked by the Department of Humana Settlements. |
| Outdated and Insufficient environmental strategies | The IWMP is outdated and is not directly aligned to the Integrated Waste Management Act of 2009. The Environmental Outlook Report needs to be developed |
| Lack of commitment towards biodiversity management and community initiatives | The municipality needs to have a strategy to manage feral animals that threaten the domestic animals and invade the agricultural lands. The initiatives that are being implemented are not strategic and not sufficient. The municipality needs to commit funds to this course in order to ensure that the biodiversity does not negatively affect local economic development and food security initiative. Hence, the need for the Feral animal strategy needs to be prioritised. |
| Insufficient and inconsistent compliance with environmental requirements by Water Services projects | Compliance with EIA Regulations has improved over years with the new and existing developments. Compliance with the environmental authorisations conditions is still a serious challenge and needs compliance monitoring. Pollution of estuarine and riverine environments by municipal effluent is symptomatic of lack of compliance with environmental, health and wastewater regulation standards. |

Chapter 4: DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES

UGu District Municipality held Strategic Planning Session for the development of the 2017 / 2018 to 2021 / 2022 Integrated Development Plan on the 20th – 21st October 2016 at The Estuary Hotel in Port Edward. The long-term vision and mission was developed. The 2019 / 2020 review of the five IDP had to look at the progress that has been made to date and make the necessary adjustment to ensure that municipality remains on track to achieve the long-term vision.

4.1 Vision and Mission

The Ugu District Municipality developed a long-term vision that is aligned with the National, Provincial, and District long term vision. The Vision and Mission for the district are as follows:

Mission

To ensure all our communities have access
to quality drinking water, decent sanitation,
sustainable economic opportunities
underpinned by the active participation of
our citizens in exemplary government.

Vision

By 2035 Ugu District Municipality will provide
adequate access to basic services in an
efficient and sustainable manner, enhancing
the quality of its citizens in an inclusive

4.2 Strategic Framework

In developing the Strategic Framework, the following questions were addressed in the strategic session that was held by the district:

- Who do we serve?
- What service or product do we provide?
- What Higher Level Benefits Are Gained?
- What do our customers want from us?
- Who are our stakeholders?
- What is our stakeholders' interest in us?
- What is our value proposition to customers and stakeholders?
- What are our focus areas?
- What Result Do We Want?

4.2.1 Who do we serve?

Ugu District Municipality has secondary customers who are; investors, developers, tourists, vacant land owners and septic tank users. There are also primary customers which are; households, industries, schools, hospitals and clinics, shops and malls, restaurants, farms, prison and courts, mortuaries, other local municipalities, and car washes.

4.2.2 Service or product we provide

Ugu District Municipality provides universal quality water suitable for human consumption and other use. There is access to decent sanitation, safe and sustainable environment. There is a wholesome economic platform to stimulate multi-sectoral economic opportunities including tourism.

4.2.3 Higher Level Benefits Gained

Internal customers need training, safe working environment, academic support, and market related compensation. External customers need good quality drinking water, decent sanitation, reliable and affordable services equitably distributed throughout the district. They need Ugu District Municipality to be reliable and accountable. They need to be informed, accurately billed, and have indigent services for those who qualify. They need free basic services, efficient turnaround times for services and applications. They need quality-maintained infrastructure, clear direction for investment and payment of creditors within 30 days.

4.2.4 What do our customers want from us?

They want sustainable service delivery, openness, and transparency. They want consultation and value for money. They want improved quality of life and a thriving economy. They want recreations – gym, night life, entertainment events, spiritual gatherings, and sports facilities. They want sustainable service delivery where there is uninterrupted water supply with high pressure water. They want quick response on complaints and queries, free services, and waterborne sanitation in rural areas. They want household connections and constant, instant two-way public consultation and feedback.

4.2.5 Ugu District Municipality Stakeholders

Ugu District Municipality stakeholders are national and provincial government sectors, state owned entities, business sector, communities, CBOs, and NGOs, religious organisations, political organisations, councillors, employees, SALGA, organised labour and traditional leaders.

4.2.6 Stakeholders' interest in Ugu District Municipality

The stakeholders are interested in quality drinkable water, decent sanitation, investment opportunities, accurate billing, reliable services, involvement in the Ugu business, information, IDP, finance position of the district,

annual report and audit opinion, accountability, challenges experienced and community perceptions in infrastructure provision.

4.2.7 Value proposition to customers and stakeholders

Ugu District Municipality offers a good lifestyle with blue flag beaches, malls and entertainment entities and affordable friendly activities. We offer skilled, relevant, customer centric and value for money labour. There is natural-Eco states land. There is clean drinkable uninterrupted supply of water, an ability to give rebate development, rates facilitation of access to load turnaround times related. Infrastructure is proactively maintained with pothole free roads, uninterrupted power using alternative energy and blue drop on tap 24/7.

There is cost and time effective services and investment landscape. Investors and customers have a competitive environment. There is superefficient process management, affordable local and tourist lifestyle. There are competitive incentives for investors and competitive rates for services. UGu District has the longest visitors friendly coast lines in Kwa-Zulu Natal which puts the district in a competitive advantage.

4.2.8 Our focus areas

Ugu District Municipality focuses on organisational development which includes workplace study, process modelling and the organisational structure, improved communication, improved service delivery efficiency resulting in the quality of water, preventative maintenance, reduction of service delivery protests and implementation of the water master plan. Job creation and economic development, investment attraction and human resource development is also one of the focus areas. The focus is also on improvement and maintenance of information and communication infrastructure, security of information. The municipality also focuses on replacement of aging infrastructure, clean governance, decent sanitation, institutional transformation, administration excellence, facilitating job creation and SMMEs, compliance with regulatory standards and a participatory government.

4.2.9 Expected Results

If operational excellence is achieved the result will be good governance and administration, sound financial management and viability, innovative, effective, and efficient institution, and administration. In general, Ugu District Municipality through stakeholder relations is striving for:

- Coordinated, effective and efficient planning and service delivery.
- A credible and well informed IDP
- Better investments and reduced dependency on grants.
- Protest free and happy communities.
- Confident investors and partners in service delivery.
- Social cohesion.
- Quality input and engagements.
- Vibrant and active tourism, increasing investment and job opportunities.
- Realisation of a developmental state.

4.3 Strategic Objectives

The municipality has developed 22 strategic objectives in the quest to achieve its vision and mission. The 22 strategic objectives have been aligned with the National Key Performance areas, Provincial and District Priorities as reflected in Table 4.2.1.1.

Table 4.2.1.1.: Alignment of Strategic Objectives

| Code | KPA | PGDS Goal | DGDS Driver | Goal / Desired Outcome | S.O. No. | Strategic Objective |
|------|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|----------|--|
| BSD | Basic Service Delivery | Strategic Infrastructure | Strategic Infrastructure Investment | Universal access to Basic Services | BSD 1 | To increase access to adequate basic services |
| | | | | | BSD 2 | To ensure access to free basic water |
| | | | | | BSD 3 | To increase infrastructure capacity |
| | | | | Compliance with No Drop | BSD 4 | To reduce water loss |
| | | | | | BSD 5 | To replace and maintain ageing infrastructure |
| | | | | Minimum Blue Drop Score of 95% | BSD 6 | To ensure compliance with access to quality drinking water standards |
| | | | | Minimum Green Drop Score of 90% | BSD 7 | To ensure compliance with decent sanitation standards |
| | | | | Eradication of Illegal Connections | BSD 8 | To reduce illegal connections |
| | | | | | | |
| MTID | Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development | Human Resource Development | Education and Skills Development | Highly Motivated, Skilled, Productive and Disciplined | MTID 1 | To optimise the workforce potential |
| | | | | | MTID 2 | To improve skills and capacity of work force |

| | | | | Workforce | | |
|------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------|---|
| | | | Institutional Development | Sound and Efficient Municipal Systems and Operations | MTID 3 | To optimise systems and operations |
| Code | KPA | PGDS Goal | DGDS Driver | Goal / Desired Outcome | S.O. No. | Strategic Objective |
| MTID | Municipal Transformation and Institutional Development | Human Resource Development | Institutional Development | Sound Performance, Monitoring and Evaluation Systems | MTID 4 | To increase performance, monitoring and evaluation |
| | | | | | | |
| GGPP | Good Governance and Public Participation | Governance and Policy | Institutional Development | Effective Governance and Leadership | GGPP 1 | To strengthen Governance and Leadership |
| | | | | Unqualified Audit with no Matters of Emphasis | | |
| | | | | Clean and Social Government | GGPP 2 | To promote clean and social government |
| | | | | Effective Communication and stakeholder involvement | GGPP 3 | To strengthen communication and stakeholder relations |
| | | | | Effective and integrated approach to Public participation | GGPP 4 | To ensure integrated Public participation approach |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------|---|
| LED | Local Economic Development | Inclusive Economic Growth | Sectoral Development and Support | Reduced Levels of poverty, inequality, and unemployment | LED 1 | To improve job creation opportunities particularly to youth |
| | | | | | LED 2 | To promote small businesses, Cooperatives and SMMEs |

| Code | KPA | PGDS Goal | DGDS Driver | Goal / Desired Outcome | S.O. No. | Strategic Objective |
|------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------|---|
| | | Inclusive Economic Growth | Sectoral Development and Support | Enabling LED Environment | LED 3 | To promote Sectoral development |
| LED | Local Economic Development | | | | LED 4 | To increase investment and development opportunities |
| | | | | | LED 5 | To optimise tourism marketing and Development |
| | | Human and Community Development | Safety Nets & Civic Empowerment | Effective Community | LED 6 | To promote Special Vulnerable focus group development |
| | | | | Development | LED 7 | To promote Youth Development |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Zero unauthorised, irregular expenditure | MFVM 1 | To optimise expenditure |
| | | | | Creditors paid within 30 days | MFVM 2 | |
| | | | | Zero fruitless and wasteful expenditure | MFVM 3 | |
| MFVM | Municipal Financial | | | | MFVM | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|---|-----------|-------------------------------------|
| | Viability and Management | | | 100% compliance with all laws and regulations | 4 | To ensure full compliance with MFMA |
| | | | | 100% accurate billing | MFVM 5 | To improve revenue collection |
| | | | | Reduction of overdue debt | MFVM 6 | To optimise debt management |
| | | | | GRAP compliant asset register | MFVM 7 | To optimise Asset Management |
| | | | | | | |

| Code | KPA | PGDS Goal | DGDS Driver | Goal / Desired Outcome | S.O. No. | Strategic Objective |
|------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|----------|--|
| CCI | Cross Cutting Interventions | Environmental Sustainability | Environmental Sustainability | Effective Disaster prevention and management | CCI 1 | To improve Disaster prevention and management |
| | | | | Sustainable Environment | CCI 2 | To promote a healthy, safe, and sustainability environment |
| | | | | | CCI 3 | To enhance measures to reduce community exposure to diseases and health risk |
| | | Spatial Equity | Spatial Integration and Facilitating Security of Tenure | Improved Planning and Coordination | CCI 4 | To improve planning and coordination |

Source: Ugu DM Strategic Planning Session, 2016

WATER SERVICES SDBIP 2019/2020

PERSON RESPONSIBLE: GENERAL MANAGER WATER SERVICES

| SD BIP Ref . | Strategic Objective | ID P Ref | mScoa ID | Measurable Objective / Output | Key Performance Indicator | Baseline | Demand | Backlog | Annual Target | Quarterly Target and Actual Achieved | | | | | | | | | | | | Financial Implication | | Location (Ward / LM) | Annual POE |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|--------|---------|---------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|-----|-----|--------|---|-----|--------|-----|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | Q 1 | Actual | POE | Q 2 | Actual | POE | Q 3 | Actual | POE | Q 4 | Actual | POE | Annual budget information | Budget Spent to Date | | |
| BASIC SERVICE DELIVERY | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WS 1 | | BSD 1.1 | WS/PM U19 | Water infrastructure | Number of HH with access to water through Malangen | | | | 200 | N/A | | N/A | N/A | | N/A | 200 | | Completion certificate and Beneficiary List | N/A | | N/A | R 6 000 000.00 | R 0.00 | Ray Nkon yeni | Completion certificate and Beneficiary List |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--------------|---|---|--|--|---------|--|--|--|--------|--|--|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--------------------------|--|------------------|---|
| | | | | i Low Cost Hous ing Proje ct bulk water r suppl y | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WS 2 | | WS/PM U21 | Sani tatio n Serv ice infra struc ture | Perc enta ge of Umzi nto WW TW and outfa ll sewe r upgr ade com plete d | | | 10 0 | | | | 2 5 | | | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | | | | | R 1 000 000. 00 | | Umd oni LM | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|---------|---------|--|-----|--------|--|--|--------|--|--|-------------|--|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| WS 3 | | | WS/PM U22 | | Perc enta ge of Penn ingto n Wate rborn e Sanit ation Proje ct com plete d | | | | 10 0 | N/ A | | N/A | 2 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 7 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R 26 950 000. 00 | | Umd oni LM | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e |
| WS 4 | | | WS/PM U24 | Sani tatio n Serv ice infra struc ture | KM of Marg ate sewe r pipeli ne repla ced | | | | 10 | N/ A | | N/A | 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com | 5 | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | | | R 11 349 833. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|--------------|--|---|--|--|--|---------|--|--|--|--------|--|--------|--|--|-------------|--|--|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | mitte e | | | | | | | | | | |
| WS 5 | | | WS/PM U25 | | Perc enta ge of Masi neng e/ Uvon go sanit ation proje ct com plete d | | | | 10 0 | | | | 2 5 | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 7 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment s certif icate | R 7 000 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment s certif icate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|------|------|--|-----|-----|--|--|-----|--|--|-------|--|--|-----------------|---------|--|--|
| WS 6 | | | WS/PM U31 | | Perc enta ge of hardi ng Sanit ation sche me com plete d | | | | 10 0 | N/ A | | N/A | 2 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 7 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment s certif icate | R 1 986 167. 00 | | Umu ziwa bant u LM | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment s certif icate |
| WS 7 | | | WS/SAN/CAP/ 1 | Wat er and sanit ation infra struc ture | Perc enta ge com pleti on of budg eted agin g infra struc ture | | | | 10 0 | N/ A | | N/A | 2 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com | 7 5 | Pro gre ss rep ort fro m con sult ant s and min ute s of | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment s certif icate | R 10 000 000. 00 | | All LMs | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment s certif icate | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------|----------|---|----------|------------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|---|------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---|
| WS 9 | | B S D 2. 1 | WS/G M/WAT /10.3 | Alter nativ e water supply | Num ber of times static tanks filled with water through water tank ers | 400 0 | 40 00 | 0 | 40 00 | 1 0 0 0 | Wat er deliv ery regis ter sign ed by Ward Com mitte e mem ber or Cou ncillo r | 1 0 0 0 | Wat er deliv ery regis ter sign ed by Ward Com mitte e mem ber or Cou ncillo r | 1 0 0 0 | Wat er deliv ery regis ter sign ed by Ward Com mitte e mem ber or Cou ncillo r | 1 0 0 0 | Wat er deliv ery regis ter sign ed by Ward Com mitte e mem ber or Cou ncillo r | R 8 633 117. 00 | N/ A | All | Wat er deliv ery regis ter sign ed by Ward Com mitte e mem ber or Cou ncillo r |
| | | B S D 1. 2 | WS/W AT/CA P/3 | Stati c tank s insta lled | Num ber of static tanks instal led in com muni ty area s | 0 | 0 | | 20 | 4 | Hap py letter from a ward Cou ncillo r and clos e-out | 4 | Hap py letter from a ward Cou ncillo r and clos e-out | 4 | Hap py letter from a ward Cou ncillo r and clos e-out | 4 | Hap py letter from a ward Cou ncillo r and clos e-out | R 400 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | All LMs | Hap py letter from a ward Cou ncillo r and clos e-out |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--------------|---------|------------|-----|------------------|------------|--|------------------|------------|---|------------------|------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | repo rt | | | repo rt | | | repo rt | | | repo rt | | | | repo rt | |
| WS 11 | | B S D 1. 2 | WSO/S /WAT/4 WSO/S /WAT/8 WSO/ WRM/ WAT/3. 1 WSO/ WRM/ WAT/3. 2 WSO/N /WAT/5 | Met er insta llatio n and billa ble cust ome rs | Num ber of mete rs instal led and repla ced | 0 | | | 4 32 6 | N/ A | | N/A | 1 4 4 2 | | Mete r repla cem ent regis ter sign ed by bene ficiar ies and Cou cillor s | 1 4 4 2 | | Mete r repla cem ent and insta llatio n regis ter sign ed by bene ficiar ies and Cou cillor s | 1 4 4 2 | | Mete r repla cem ent and insta llatio n regis ter sign ed by bene ficiar ies and Cou cillor s | R 7 850 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | All | Mete r repla cem ent and insta llatio n regis ter sign ed by bene ficiar ies and Cou cillor s |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|--|--|-------------|--|--|-------------|--|--|-------------|--|--|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| WS 12 | | B S D 1. 2 | WSO/N /WAT/2 | Building and structure main tenance | Number of buildings and structures maintained | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | | Closure report and payment certificate | 1 | | Closure report and payment certificate | 1 | | Closure report and payment certificate | 1 | | Closure report and payment certificate | R 1 000 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | Umd oni and Umz umb e LM's | Closure report and payment certificate |
| WS 13 | | B S D 2. 1 | WSO/S W/SAN /4 | Health And Safety | Number of time employees received ratios | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 3 | | Distribution register signed by recipients | 3 | | Distribution register signed by recipients | 3 | | Distribution register signed by recipients | 3 | | Distribution register signed by recipients | R 490 000. 00 | R0 .0 0 | N/A | Distribution register signed by recipients |
| WS 14 | Reduce water losses | B S D 4. 1 | WSO/N /SAN/1 1 | Sanitation Service | Number of mobile toilet clearance loads | 225 0 | 22 50 | 22 50 | 22 50 | 5 6 2 | | Loads register and payments | 5 6 2 | | Loads register and payments | 5 6 2 | | Loads register and payments | 5 6 2 | | Loads register and payments | R 2 700 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | Umd oni LM | Loads and payments registers |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|--|--------------|---------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---------|--|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | unde rtake n | | | | | | | regis ter | | | regis ter | | | regis ter | | | regis ter | | | | |
| WS 15 | | B S D 4. 2 | WSO/S /WAT/3 1/16 WSO/ WRM/ WAT/2 0 WSO/S /SAN/1 3 | Staff prot ectiv e cloth ing | Date staff prote ctive clothi ng proc ured and deliv ered to the muni cipali ty (unif orm) | 30/ 05/ 201 9 | 30- Ma y- 19 | N/ a | 30 - M ar- 20 | N/ A | | N/A | N/ A | | N/A | 3 0- M ar - 2 0 | | Distri butio n list sign ed by bene ficiar ies | N/ A | | N/A | R2 525 000. 00 | R0 .0 0 | N/A | Distri butio n regis ter sign ed by bene ficiar ies |
| WS 16 | | B S D 6. 1 | WSO/N /SAN/2 | Main taine d acce ss | Num ber of acce ss road | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | N/ A | | N/A | 1 | | Com pleti on certif icate | 1 | | Com pleti on certif icate | N/ A | | N/A | R 350 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | Umd oni LM | Clos eiut repo rt |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|----|---|----|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---|
| | | | | road s | s main taine d | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WS 17 | | B S D 6. 1 | WSO/N /SAN/4 | Ope ratio nal plant s | Num ber of sanit ation plant and equi pme nt main taine d | 2 | 6 | 4 | | 1 | | Mait enan ce clos e out repo rt | 1 | | Mait enan ce clos e out repo rt | 1 | | Mait enan ce clos e out repo rt | 1 | | Mait enan ce clos e out repo rt | R 1 800 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | All Lms | Mait enan ce clos e out repo rt |
| WS 18 | | B S D 4. 2 | WSO/N /WAT/1 2 | Ope ratio nal plant s | Num ber of water purifi cation plant s main taine d | 3 | 11 | 8 | 15 | 3 | | Main taina nce clos e-out repo rt and com pleti on certif icate | 4 | | Main taina nce clos e-out repo rt and com pleti on certif icate | 4 | | Main taina nce clos e-out repo rt and com pleti on certif icate | 4 | | Main taina nce clos e-out repo rt and com pleti on certif icate | R6 175 000. 00 | R0 .0 0 | All LMs | Main taina nce clos e-out repo rt and com pleti on certif icate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|------------------------|---|--|--|----------|---------|----|---------|-------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|------------|---|
| WS 19 | | B S D 7. 3 | WSO/S /WAT/3 WSO/N /WAT/1 4 | Hygi en Res ervoir s | Num ber of rese voirs clean ed | 4 | 20 | 16 | 26 | 6 | Res ervoi r hygi en repo rt issu ed by Ugu Envir onm ental Heal th | 6 | Res ervoi r hygi en repo rt issu ed by Ugu Envir onm ental Heal th | 6 | Res ervoi r hygi en repo rt issu ed by Ugu Envir onm ental Heal th | 8 | Res ervoi r hygi en repo rt issu ed by Ugu Envir onm ental Heal th | R2 650 000. 00 | R0 .0 0 | All LMs | Res ervoi r hygi en repo rt issu ed by Ugu Envir onm ental Heal th |
| WS 20 | Co mpli anc e with acc ess to qual ity drin king wat er | B S D 6. 2 | WSO/S /Wat/1 5 | Wat er infra struc ture Rep airs | Turn aron d time take n to repai r Wate r pipeli ne | 24h r | 24 h | 0 | 24 h | 2 4 h | Rep airs regis ter; job card and clos eout repo rt and invoi ce | 2 4 h | Rep airs regis ter; job card and clos eout repo rt and invoi ce | 2 4 h | Rep airs regis ter; job card and clos eout repo rt and invoi ce | 2 4 h | Rep airs regis ter; job card and clos eout repo rt and invoi ce | R 1 499 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | All LMs | Rep airs regis ter; job card and clos eout repo rt and invoi ce |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------------|---------|--|-----------------------|--|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|------|--|--|------|--|--|-----------------|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| WS 21 | standards | BSD 6.1 | WSO/S /WAT/16 WSO/S /WAT/6 WSO/N /WAT/17 | Road reinstatement | Percentage of road reinstatement completed | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | Road reinstatement closure report and payment register | 100% | | Road reinstatement closure report and payment register | 100% | | Road reinstatement closure report and payment register | R 7 625 000.00 | R0.00 | All Lms | Road reinstatement closure report and payment register |
| WS 22 | | BSD 6.1 | WSO/S /WAT/17/10 | Silt removal | Percentage of silt removed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | Silt removal report with payment certificate | 100 | | Silt removal report with payment certificate | 100 | | Silt removal report with payment certificate | R 474 000.00 | R 0.00 | Ray Nkonyeni and Umdoni LMS | Silt removal report with payment certificate |
| WS 23 | | BSD 7.3 | WSO/S /WAT/28/8 | Purification material | Number of times purification material | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | | Material list with payment certificate | 1 | | Material list with payment certificate | 1 | | Material list with payment certificate | R 11 180 000.00 | R 0.00 | N/a | Material list with payment certificate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|------------------------|--------------|--|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|--|--|-------------|--|--|-------------|--|--|-------------|--|--|--------------------------|---------------|------------|--|
| | | | | | rial purc hase d | | | | | | | icate s | | | icate s | | | | icate s | | | | | icate s | |
| WS 24 | | B S D 7. 1 | BSD 7.1.1 | Gre en drop statu s achi eved . | Perc enta ge efflu ent qualit y com plian ce to Gen eral Auth orisa tion Stand ards | 75 % | 90 % | 15 % | 75 % | 7 5 % | | Inde pend ent wast e water quali ty repo rt | 7 5 % | | Inde pend ent wast e water quali ty repo rt | 7 5 % | | Inde pend ent wast e water quali ty repo rt | 7 5 % | | Inde pend ent wast e water quali ty repo rt | R 5 500 000. 00 | R 0. 00 | N/a | Inde pend ent wast e water quali ty repo rt |
| WS 25 | | B S D 7. 1 | BSD 7.1.1 | | Num ber of Wast e Wate | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | | N/A | 0 | | N/A | 0 | | N/A | 2 0 | | MAN CO Res oluti on | R 0.00 | R 0. 00 | | MAN CO Res oluti on |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|--|---|----------|----------|--------|--------------|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|---------------|-----|---|
| | | | | | r Risk Abat eme nt plans revie wed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WS 26 | Opti mis e exp endi ture and get bett er retu rns on inve stm ents | M F V M 2. 2 | MFVM 2.2.1 | Muni cipal Infra struc ture gran ts actu ally expe nditu re | Perc enta ge expe nditu re on MIG capit al budg et per trans ferre d amo unt | 100 % | 10 0% | 0 % | 10 0 % | 4 0 % | | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent | 6 0 % | | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent | 8 0 % | | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent | 1 0 0 % | | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent | R 235 888 000. 00 | R0 .0 0 | N/A | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent |
| WS 27 | | | MFVM 2.2.2 | | Perc enta ge expe nditu re on WSI | 100 % | 10 0% | 0 % | 10 0 % | 0 % | | N/A | 6 0 % | | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from | 8 0 % | | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from | 1 0 0 % | | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from | R 55 000 000. 00 | R0 .0 0 | N/A | Certi ficat e of expe nditu re from |

| | | | | | G capit al budg et per trans ferre d amo unt | | | | | | | | | UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent | | | | UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent | | | | UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent | | | | UGU Trea sury Dep artm ent |
|----------|--|--|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|----------|-------------|--|--|-------------|---|--|-------------|--|--|-------------|--|--|---|--|------------|--|---|
| WS 28 | | | WSO/S W/SAN /11/13 | Sani tatio n servi ces | Num ber of times sanit ation conn ectio n mate rial purc hase d | | | | 4 | 1 | | Stor es aqui sitio n regis ter and paye nt certif icate | 1 | | Stor es aqui sitio n regis ter and paye nt certif icate | 1 | | Stor es aqui sitio n regis ter and paye nt certif icate | 1 | | Stor es aqui sitio n regis ter and paye nt certif icate | R1 550 000. 00 | | N/A | Stor es aqui sitio n regis ter and paye nt certif icate | |
| WS 29 | | | WSO/S W/SAN /14 WSO/N /SAN/7 WSO/S | | Num ber of sanit ation loads sipho | | | | 21 60 | 5 5 0 | | Load regis ter sign ed by both | 5 5 0 | | Load regis ter sign ed by both | 5 5 0 | | Load regis ter sign ed by both | 5 5 0 | | Load regis ter sign ed by both | R2 550 000. 00 | | All Lms | Load regis ter sign ed by both | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|----|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|----------|--|
| | | | /SAN/14 | | ned and disposed off | | | | | | | bene ficiar y and truck drive r and pay ment certif icate | | | bene ficiar y and truck drive r and pay ment certif icate | | | bene ficiar y and truck drive r and pay ment certif icate | | | | bene ficiar y and truck drive r and pay ment certif icate | | | bene ficiar y and truck drive r and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 30 | | | WSO/S W/WA T/4 | Wat er man age men t and supp ly | Num ber of sprin gs and bore hold instal led main taine d | | | | 30 | 7 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | 7 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | 7 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | 9 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R3 050 000. 00 | | Alla LMs | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 31 | | | WSO/ WRM/ WAT/1 1 | Fun ction al labo rator y | Num ber of time labor atory cons | | | | 4 | 1 | | Acqu isitio n regis ter and pay | 1 | | Acqu isitio n regis ter and pay | 1 | | Acqu isitio n regis ter and pay | 1 | | Acqu isitio n regis ter and pay | R1 000 000. 00 | | N/A | Acqu isitio n regis ter and pay |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | | | | | uma bles purc hase d | | | | | | | ment certif icate | | | | ment certif icate | | | | ment certif icate | | | | ment certif icate | |
| WS 32 | | | WSO/ WRM/ WAT/1 8 | Wat er supp ly | Num ber of times water r conn ectio n mate rial proc ured | | | | 4 | 1 | | Mate rial acqu isitio n regis ter an pay ment certif icate | 1 | | Mate rial acqu isitio n regis ter an pay ment certif icate | 1 | | Mate rial acqu isitio n regis ter an pay ment certif icate | 1 | | Mate rial acqu isitio n regis ter an pay ment certif icate | R75 0 000. 00 | | N/A | Num ber of time s water r conn ectio n mate rial proc ured |
| WS 33 | | | WSO/N /SAN/6 | Sani tatio n servi ces | Budg et spen t on sewer retic ulation repai rs | | | | R5 00 0 00 0. 00 | R 1 2 5 0 0 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay | R 1 2 5 0 0 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay | R 1 2 5 0 0 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay | R 1 2 5 0 0 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay | R5 000 000. 00 | | All Lms | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---|
| | | | | | and main tena nce (eme rgen cy work) | | | | | | ment certif icate | | | ment certif icate | | | ment certif icate | | | ment certif icate | | | ment certif icate | | |
| WS 34 | | | WSO/N /SAN/5 WSO/N /WAT/1 3 WSO/N /WAT/2 8 | Ope ratin al plant s | Num ber of plant and equi pme nts main taine d | | | | 8 | 2 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | 2 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | 2 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | 2 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R4 900 000. 00 | | All Lms | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 35 | | | WSO/N /WAT/1 5 | Wat er supp ly | Budg et spen t on wate r retic ulatio n | | | | R3 02 0 00 0. 00 | R 7 5 5 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate | R 7 5 5 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate | R 7 5 5 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate | R 7 5 5 0 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate | R3 020 000. 00 | | Umd oni LM | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | (emer gen cy work) | | | | | | | and pay ment certif icate | | | | and pay ment certif icate | | | | and pay ment certif icate | | | | | and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 36 | | | WS0/N /WAT/1 9 | Wat er supp ly | Num ber of litres purc hase d from Umn geni wate r | | | | 43 54 1 66 6 | 1 0 8 8 5 4 1 6 | | Wat er sale state ment and invoi ce from Umn geni | 1 0 8 8 5 4 1 6 | | Wat er sale state ment and invoi ce from Umn geni | 1 0 8 8 5 4 1 6 | | Wat er sale state ment and invoi ce from Umn geni | 1 0 8 8 5 4 1 6 | | Wat er sale state ment and invoi ce from Umn geni | R13 0 625 000. 00 | | Umd oni and Umz umb e LM's | Wat er sale state ment and invoi ce from Umn geni |
| WS 37 | | | WS0/N /WAT/2 | Wat er and sanit ation Serv ices | Budg et spen t on gene ral emer gency work | | | | R5 00 0 00 0. 00 | R 1 2 5 0 0 0. 00 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment | R 1 2 5 0 0 0. 00 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment | R 1 2 5 0 0 0. 00 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment | R 1 2 5 0 0 0. 00 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment | R5 000 000. 00 | | All LMs | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|---------|---------|-----------------|-----|--------|-----------------|--|--------|-----------------|--|-------------|-----------------|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | certif icate | | | certif icate | | | certif icate | | | certif icate | | | | certif icate | |
| WS 38 | | | WS/PM U14 | | Perc enta ge of the budg eted mista ke farm suppl y sche me com plete d | | | | 10 0 | N/ A | | N/A | 2 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 7 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R5 000 000. 00 | | Umd oni LM | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 39 | | | WS/PM U36 | | KM of Marg ate emer genc y pipeli | | | | 40 | N/ A | | N/A | 1 0 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts | 1 0 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts | 2 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif | R99 300 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|-------------|--|---|--|--|--|---------|---------|--|-----|--------|--|--------|--|--|-------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| | | | | | ne proje ct com plete d | | | | | | | | | and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | | | and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | | | icate and pay ment certif icate | | | | icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 40 | | | WS/PM U4 | | Perc enta ge of Kwa Xolo Bulk wate r suppl y proje ct com plete d | | | | 10 0 | N/ A | | N/A | 1 0 | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 7 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R20 000 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|---------|---------|--|-----|--------|--|--|--------|--|--|-------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|
| WS 41 | | | WS/PM U6 | | Perc enta ge of Umth avun a WW raw water r upgr aded | | | | 10 0 | N/ A | | N/A | 1 0 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 7 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R11 000 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 42 | | | WS/PM U7 | | Perc enta ge of the budg eted Hardi ng Wez a Regi onal bulk water r | | | | 10 0 | N/ A | | N/A | 1 0 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com | 7 5 | | Prog ress repo rt from cons ultan ts and minu tes of steer ing com | 1 0 0 | | Clos eout repo rt, com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R5 000 000. 00 | | Umu ziwa bant u LM | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|---------------|--|---|--|--|--|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|--------|--|----|--|--|-----|--|--|----------------|--|--|--------------|--|
| | | | | | supply dam completed | | | | | | | | | mittee | | | | mittee | | | | | | | | |
| WS 43 | | | WS/PM U9 | | Percentage of Umzimkhulu bulk water augmentation scheme completed | | | | 100 | N/A | | N/A | 100 | | Progress report from consultants and minutes of steering committee | 75 | | Progress report from consultants and minutes of steering committee | 100 | | Closure report, completion certificate and payment certificate | R14 100 000.00 | | | Ray Nkonyeni | Closure report; completion certificate and payment certificate |
| WS 44 | | | WS/WAT/CAPP/2 | | Percentage of budgeted plant and equipment | | | | 100 | N/A | | N/A | 100 | | Progress report from consultants | 75 | | Progress report from consultants | 100 | | Closure report, completion certificate | R9 000 000.00 | | | N/A | Closure report; completion certificate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| | | | | | pme nt repla ced | | | | | | | | | and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | | | | and minu tes of steer ing com mitte e | | | | icate and pay ment certif icate | | | | icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 45 | | | WS/W AT/CA P/40 | | Date Bhob hoyi WT W Clarif ier no.1 bridg e refur bishe ment com plete d | | | | 31 - De c- 19 | | | | 3 1- D ec - 1 9 | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | | | | | | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R1 000 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 46 | | | WS/W AT/CA P/41 | | Date Umth avun a WT W Clarif | | | | 31 - De c- 19 | | | | 3 1- D ec - 1 9 | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on | | | | | | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on | R60 0 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| | | | | | ier no.1 surfa ce scre edin g com plete d | | | | | | | | | certif icate and pay ment certif icate | | | | | | certif icate and pay ment certif icate | | | | certif icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 47 | | | WS/W AT/CA P/42 | | Date Umth avun a WT W Clarif ier No.1 bridg e refur bish ment com plete d | | | | 31 - De c- 19 | | | 3 1- Dec - 19 | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | | | | | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R60 0 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--------------|--------|--|---|-------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|------------------|--|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| WS 48 | | | WS/W AT/CA P/5 | | Num ber of sites wher e Scad a and tele metr y is upgr aded | | | | 50 | | | | 1 0 | | Prog ress repo rt note d by MAN CO | 2 0 | Pro gre ss rep ort not ed by MA NC O | | 2 0 | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate | R25 000 000. 00 | | All LMs | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on certif icate and pay ment certif icate |
| WS 49 | | | WS/W AT/CA P/7 | | Perc entan ge redu ction of non- reve nue wate r | | | | 2 % | 2 % | | Red ction repo rt note d by MAN CO | 2 % | | Red ction repo rt note d by MAN CO | 2 % | | Red ction repo rt note d by MAN CO | 2 % | | Red ction repo rt note d by MAN CO | R25 000 000. 00 | | All LMs | Red ction repo rt note d by MAN CO |
| WS 50 | | | WS/PM U37 | | Perc enta ge of budg eted Murc hison | | | | 10 0 % | | | | 2 5 % | Pr ogr es s rep ort not | | 5 0 % | | Prog ress repo rt note d by | 1 0 0 % | | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on | R18 052 000. 00 | | Ray Nkon yeni LM | Clos eout repo rt; com pleti on |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|-------------|-----|--|-----|----|-------------|--|-----|--|-----|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | pump station project completed | | | | | | | | | ed by MANCO | | | | | MANCO | | | certificate and payment certificate | | | | certificate and payment certificate |
| WS 51 | | | WSO/P MU38 | | Date Qoloqolo Reservoir completed | | | | 31 - Mar-20 | | | | | | 31-Mar-20 | | | | Closure report; completion certificate and payment certificate | | | | R3 000 000.00 | | | Closure report; completion certificate and payment certificate |
| WS 52 | | | WSO/N /WAT/29 | Water infrastructure | Number of standpipes installed | | | | 30 | N/A | | N/A | 30 | | Closure report, completion certificate | N/A | | N/A | N/A | | | | R150 000.00 | | | Closure report, completion certificate |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | and pay ment certif icate | | | | | | | | | | | and pay ment certif icate |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | CORPORATE SERVICES SDBIP 2019/2020 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------------|---|--|---------|-----|-----|---|---|
| | PERSON RESPONSIBLE: GENERAL MANAGER: CORPORATE SERVICES VELA MAZIBUKO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S D B I P R E F | Str ate gic O b j e c t i v e | ID P R e f | S D B I P R e f. | Me asu rab le O b j e c t i v e / O u t p u t | Key Per f o r m a n c e I n d i c a t o r | B a s e l i n e | D e m a n d | B a c k l o g | A n n u a l T a r g e t | Quarterly Target and Actual Achieved | | | | | | | | | | | | Fi n a n c i a l I m p l i c a t i o n | | | | L o c a t i o n (W a r d / L M) | P O E |
| | | | | | | | | | | Q 1 | A c t u a l | POE | Q 2 | A c t u a l | POE | Q 3 | A c t u a l | POE | Q 4 | A c t u a l | POE | | | | | | |
| Municipal Transformation and Organisation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C S 1 | To o p t i m i s e t h e w o r k f o r c e p o t e n t i a l | M T I D 1. 1 | | Co m p l i a n c e t o t h e e m p l o y m e n t e q u i t y t a r g e t | % o v e r a l l c o m p l i a n c e t o t h e e m p l o y m e n t e q u i t y | 4 1 % | 4 9 % | 8 % | 4 9 % | 4 0 % | | Prog ress Rep ort to Ext- MA NC O/ MA NC O | 4 2 % | | Prog ress Rep ort to Ext- MA NC O/ MA NC O | 4 5 % | | Prog ress Rep ort to Ext- MA NC O/ MA NC O | 4 9 % | | Prog ress Rep ort to Ext- MA NC O/ MA NC O | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | Al l w a r d s | Prog ress Rep ort to Ext- MA NC O/ MA NC O |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|--|--|---------------|---------|--|---|----------------------|--|
| | | | | ets at a Ma nag em ent leve l | targe ts at a Man age ment level 0-6 | | | | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | | | | | | Min utes | | |
| C S 2 | | M T I D 1. 2 | | Pro mot ing Prof essi ona lism in the Wor kpla ce (Or gan isati ona l Cult ure) | Num ber of Work shop s on Profe ssion alism cond ucted | 4 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | | Atte nda nce Regi ster Prog ram me of Eve nt | 2 | | Atte nda nce Regi ster Prog ram me of Eve nt | 1 | | Atte nda nce Regi ster Prog ram me of Eve nt | 1 | | Atte nda nce Regi ster Prog ram me of Eve nt | R 0. 00 | N/ A | Org anis atio nal Beh avio r | Emple ye e Professi onalism | Al l war ds | Atte nda nce Regi ster Prog ram me of Eve nt |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| C S 3 | | M T I D 1. 4 | | Co m p l i a n c e t o t h e H o u r s o f W o r k P o l i c y | Nu m b e r o f W o r k s h o p s o n L a b o r R e l a t i o n s a n d C o d e o f C o n d u c t w i t h e m p l o y e e s | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Atte n d a n c e R e g i s t e r s P r o g r a m m e o f e v e n t. | 1 | | Atte n d a n c e R e g i s t e r s P r o g r a m m e o f e v e n t. | 1 | | Atte n d a n c e R e g i s t e r s P r o g r a m m e o f e v e n t. | 1 | | Atte n d a n c e R e g i s t e r s P r o g r a m m e o f e v e n t. | R 5 0 0 0. 00 | C S 0 0 9 A | Em p l o y e e D i s c i p l i n e M a n a g e m e n t | Administ ration and Corporat e Support | Al l w a r d s | Atte n d a n c e R e g i s t e r s P r o g r a m m e o f e v e n t. |
|-------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------|--|---|---|------|------|---|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|--|--|-----|--|--|-----|--|--|-----------|---------|--|--|---------|--|--|
| CS4 | To improve skills and capacity of work force | MTID 2.1 | | Implementation the workplace skills plan. | % of Training budget spent on implementing the workplace skills plan. | 100% | 100% | 0 | 50% | N/A | | N/A | 25% | | Training Report to Ext MA NC O / MA NC O & Number of Training Programs implement | 35% | | Training Report to Ext MA NC O / MA NC O & Number of Training Programs implement | 50% | | Training Report to Ext MA NC O / MA NC O & Number of Training Programs implement | R 3000.00 | CS 004a | Percentage of Training budget spent on implementing the workplace skills plan. | Operational:Typical Work Streams :Community Development and Training Operational:Typical Work Streams :Capacity Building Training and Development:Workshops, Seminars and Subject Matter Training Operational:Typical Work Streams | Alwards | Training Report to Ext MA NC O / MA NC O & Number of Training Programs implement | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|-------------|-----|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|-----|---|--|---|---------------|---------|-----|---|----------------------------------|--|
| C S 6 | | M T I D 3. 2 | | Needs based organo gram | Number of reviews and rationalisation of organigram | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N / A | N / A | | N / A | | N/A | N / A | | N/A | 1 | | Copy of Organogram Minutes of Manco / Extended Manco | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | Copy of Organogram Minutes of Manco / Extended Manco | Al l w a r d s | Progress Report to Manco/ Extended MANCO Extract |
| C S 7 | | M T I D 3. 3 | | | Number of Sourcing and Placement group Inductions done | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | N / A | | N/A | 1 | | Attendance Registers Programme of event | N / A | | N/A | 1 | | Attendance Registers Programme of event | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | Al l w a r d s | Attendance Registers Programme of event |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----|
| C S 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | </ |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----|

| | | | | | | | | | | | NC O | | | NC O | | | NC O | | | NC O | | | | | | NC O | |
|-------------|--|----------|--|-------------------------|--|------|------|-----|-----|-----|--|------------|-----|--|---------------------|-----|--|------------|-----|--|---------------------|-----------|--------|--|--|--|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | | | | Min utes | |
| CS10 | | MTID 4.1 | | OHS Act compliance | % Compliance with OHS Act as per checklist | 50% | 100% | 50% | 50% | 10% | | Check list | 20% | | Check list | 30% | | Check list | 50% | | Check list | R12000.00 | C0002A | Number of Health and Wellness Programmes | Operational:Typical Work Streams:Human Resources:Employee Assistance Programme | Alwards | Check list |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Compliance report to MANCO / Ext MANCO | | | Compliance report to MANCO / Ext MANCO | | | Compliance report to MANCO / Ext MANCO | | | Compliance report to MANCO / Ext MANCO | | | | | | Compliance report to MANCO / Ext MANCO | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | | | | Min utes | |
| CS11 | | MTID 4.2 | | Provision of EHW Progra | % implementation of the EHW Programm | 100% | 100% | 0 | 50% | N/A | | N/A | 25% | | Attendance Register | N/A | | N/A | 25% | | Attendance Register | | | | | | Attendance Register |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Programme | | | | | | Programme | | | | | | Programme |

| | | | | mm es | es as per the FY plan | | | | | | | | | of eve nt | | | | | | of eve nt | | | | | | of eve nt | |
|------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|--|--|-------------|-----------------|--|-------------|--|--|-------------|-----------------|--|---------------|---------|---|---|--------------------------|--|
| C S 1 2 | To inc rea se per for ma nc e, mo nit ori ng an | M TI D 5. 1 | | IPM S Fra me wor k and Insti tuti ona l arra nge me nts | Num ber of Level 1-6 with work plans devel oped | 3 3 | 3 4 | 0 | 3 4 | 3 4 | | Sign ed Wor kpla ns | N / A | | N/A | N / A | | N/A | N / A | | N/A | R 0. 00 | | Org anis atio nal Perf orm anc e Ma nag em ent syst em | Achieve ments and awards (operatio nal expendit ure) | Al l w ar ds | Sign ed Wor kpla ns |
| C S 1 3 | d eva lu ati on | M TI D 5. 2 | | nts in plac e | Num ber of perfo man ce revie ws con duct ed | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Atte nda ce Regi ster for perfo ranc e Revi ews & PM | 1 | | Atte nda ce Regi ster for perfo ranc e Revi ews & PM | 1 | | Atte nda ce Regi ster for perfo ranc e Revi ews & PM | 1 | | Atte nda ce Regi ster for perfo ranc e Revi ews & PM | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | Al l w ar ds | Atte nda ce Regi ster for perfo ranc e Revi ews & PM |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | reports on reviews conducted | | | reports on reviews conducted | | | reports on reviews conducted | | | | | | | | | reports on reviews conducted |
|------|------------------------------------|----------|--|-------------------|--|-----|------|-----|-----|----|--|------------------------------|-----|--|------------------------------|-----|--|------------------------------|-----|--|----------|------------|--------|---------------------------|---|----------|------------------------------|
| CS14 | To optimise systems and operations | MTID 6.1 | | Fleet Replacement | % of vehicles replaced as per the fleet replacement plan | 74% | 100% | 26% | 70% | 0% | | Replacement Plan | N/A | | | N/A | | | 70% | | Invoices | R800000.00 | CS011A | Fleet Management Services | Assets: Non-current Assets:Property, Plant and Equipment:Cost Model:Transport Assets:Future Use:Cost:Acquisitions Assets: Non-current Assets:Property, Plant and Equipme | Allwards | Replacement Plan Invoice |

[illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Man co / Exte nde d Man co Min utes | | | Man co / Exte nde d Man co Min utes | | | Man co / Exte nde d Man co Min utes | | | Man co / Exte nde d Man co Min utes | | | | | | Man co / Exte nde d Man co Min utes |
| C S 1 6 | | M T I D 6. 3 | | Ser vice Deli ver y vehi cles avai labil ity | % avail abilit y of servi ce deliv ery vehic les | 7 5 % | 1 0 0 % | 2 5 % | 7 5 % | 7 5 % | Conf irma tion Rep ort sign ed by Wat er Serv ices (GM WS or Snr Mng r WS O) | 7 5 % | | Conf irma tion Rep ort sign ed by Wat er Serv ices (GM WS or Snr Mng r WS O) | 7 5 % | | Conf irma tion Rep ort sign ed by Wat er Serv ices (GM WS or Snr Mng r WS O) | 7 5 % | | Conf irma tion Rep ort sign ed by Wat er Serv ices (GM WS or Snr Mng r WS O) | R 1 50 0 00 0. 00 | C S 0 1 0 A | Per cen tag e Imp lem ent atio n of Fle et Mai nte nan ce Pla n | Operatio nal:Muni cipal Running Cost | | Conf irma tion Rep ort sign ed by Wat er Serv ices (GM WS or Snr Mng r WS O) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|---|------------------|------------------|---|------------------|---|--|------------------|--|--|------------------|--|--|------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| C S 1 7 | | M T I D 6. 4 | | Veri f i c a t i o n o f D r i v e r s l i c e n c e s a n d P D P ' s | % v e r i f i c a t i o n o f d r i v e r ' s l i c e n c e s a n d P D P ' s | 1 0 0 % | 1 0 0 % | 0 | 1 0 0 % | | Prog r e s s r e p o r t t o t h e M A N C O / E x t e n d e d M a n a g e m e n t C o m m i t t e e | 1 0 0 % | | Prog r e s s r e p o r t t o t h e M A N C O / E x t e n d e d M a n a g e m e n t C o m m i t t e e | 1 0 0 % | | Prog r e s s r e p o r t t o t h e M A N C O / E x t e n d e d M a n a g e m e n t C o m m i t t e e | 1 0 0 % | | Prog r e s s r e p o r t t o t h e M A N C O / E x t e n d e d M a n a g e m e n t C o m m i t t e e | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | A l l w a r d s | S i g n e d v e r i f i c a t i o n f o r m s |
| C S 1 8 | | M T I D 6. 5 | | Fue l U s a g e M a n a g e m e n t | Nu m b e r o f A n a l y s e d R e p o r t s o n F u e l c o n s u m p t i o n p e r D e p a | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | R e p o r t o n F u e l r e d u c t i o n p e r d e p a r t m e n t t o M A N C O /E | 1 | | R e p o r t o n F u e l r e d u c t i o n p e r d e p a r t m e n t t o M A N C O /E | 1 | | R e p o r t o n F u e l r e d u c t i o n p e r d e p a r t m e n t t o M A N C O /E | 1 | | R e p o r t o n F u e l r e d u c t i o n p e r d e p a r t m e n t t o M A N C O /E | R 22 50 0 00 0. 00 | C S 0 1 4 A | P e r c e n t a g e I m p l e m e n t a t i o n o f F l e t M a i n t e n a n | O p e r a t i o n a l : M a i n t e n a n c e : N o n - i n f r a s t r u c t u r e : C o r r e c t i v e M a i n t e n a n c e : P l a n n e d : T r a n s p o r t A s s e t s | A l l w a r d s | R e p o r t o n F u e l r e d u c t i o n p e r d e p a r t m e n t t o M A N C O /E |

| | | | | | rtme nt | | | | | | xten ded MA NC O | | | xten ded MA NC O | | | xten ded MA NC O | | | xten ded MA NC O | | | ce Pla n | | | xten ded MA NC O |
|------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|---|--------|-------------|-------------|--------|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | Min utes | | | | | Min utes | |
| C S 1 9 | To opti mise sys tems and op era tions | M TI D 7. 1 | | Suffi cie nt offic e spa ce | Num ber of sites wher e maint enan ce is comp leted as per Long - Term Buildi ng Maint enan ce Plan | 1 3 | 4 3 3 | 4 2 0 | 1 0 | 2 | Buil ding Mai nten anc e Plan Prog ress repo rt on impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O | 2 | | Prog ress repo rt on impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes | 2 | | Prog ress repo rt on impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes | 4 | | Prog ress repo rt on impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes | R 2 50 0 00 0. 00 | C S 0 5 9 A | Nu mb er of site s wher e mai nte nan ce is co mpl ete d as per Lon g- Ter m Buil din g | Expendit ure:Cont racted Services :Contrac tors:Mai ntenanc e of Building s and Facilities Expendit ure:Cont racted Services :Contrac tors:Mai ntenanc e of Equipme nt | Al l wards | Buil ding Mai nten anc e Plan Prog ress repo rt on impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | Min utes | | | | | | | | | Mai nte nan ce Pla n | | | Min utes |
| C S 2 0 | | M T I D 7. 2 | Ugu Site s Imp lem ent ed in line with the Lon g Ter m Offi ce Acc om mo | Num ber of Ugu sites Imple ment ed in line with the Long - Term Offic e Acco mmo datio | 3 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 1 | Prog ress Rep ort to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes Invoi ces | 1 | Prog ress Rep ort to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes Invoi ces | 1 | Prog ress Rep ort to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes Invoi ces | 1 | Prog ress Rep ort to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes Invoi ces | R 20 25 0 00 0. 00 | C S 0 2 3 A | Nu mb er of Ugu site s Imp lem ent ed in line with the Lon g- Ter m Offi | Assets: Non- current Assets:P roperty, Plant and Equipme nt:Cost Model:F urniture and Office Equipme nt:In- use:Cost :Acquisit ions Assets: | Al l war ds | Prog ress Rep ort to MA NC O/E xten ded MA NC O Min utes Invoi ces |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|-------------|---|-------------|--------|--|---|--------|--|---|--------|--|---|--------|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|-------------|
| | | | | dati on Pla n | n Plan | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ce Acc om mo dation Pla n | Non- current Assets:P roperty, Plant and Equipme nt:Cost Model:O ther Assets: Cost:Ac quisition s | | |
| C S 2 1 | | M T I D 7. 3 | | Co mpli ance with Rec ords Ma nag ement | Nu mer of Depar tments comply ing with the Reco rds man age ment poli cy, file plan and | 4 | 2 0 0 | 0 | 2 0 0 | 5 0 | | Pro gress Rep ort to Man co/E xten ded MA NC O | 5 0 | | Pro gress Rep ort to Man co/E xten ded MA NC O | 5 0 | | Pro gress Rep ort to Man co/E xten ded MA NC O | 5 0 | | | | | | | | Pro gress Rep ort to Man co/E xten ded MA NC O | Min utes |

[illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|---|
| C S 2 3 | | M T I D 7. 5 | | Sec ure pre mis es, staf f and ass ets | Num ber of Secu rity Anal ysis perfo rmed | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / Ext- MA NC O Sign ed Min utes | 1 | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / Ext- MA NC O Sign ed Min utes | 1 | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / Ext- MA NC O Sign ed Min utes | 1 | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / Ext- MA NC O Sign ed Min utes | R 22 50 00 0. 00 | C S 0 1 7 A | Per cen tag e Imp lem ent atio n of Sec urit y Ma nag em ent Che ckli st bas ed on eng age me nt ses sion s | Expendit ure:Cont racted Services :Outsour ced Services :Security Services | Al l w ar ds | Prog ress Rep ort to MA NC O / Ext- MA NC O Sign ed Extr act Atte nda nce Regi ster of Sec urity Eng age men t Ses sion s |
| Good Governance and Public Participation | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--------|--------|---|--------|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---------------|---------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|---|
| C S 2 4 | To strengthen Governance and Leadership | G G P 1. 1 | | Compliance to the Rules and Orders of Council | Number of reports on Councillor Attendance at meetings produced | 1 0 | 1 0 | 0 | 1 0 | | | Signed Acceptance of Report on the Analysis of Councillors at Council and its Committee Meetings to office of the Speaker | | | Signed Acceptance of Report on the Analysis of Councillors at Council and its Committee Meetings to office of the Speaker | | | Signed Acceptance of Report on the Analysis of Councillors at Council and its Committee Meetings to office of the Speaker | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | Al l w a r d s | Signed Acceptance of Report on the Analysis of Councillors at Council and its Committee Meetings to office of the Speaker |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------|--|---|---|--------|--------|---|--------|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---------------|---------|-----|-----|----------------------------------|---|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|--|---|-------------|------------------|---|-------------|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|---------------|---------|-----|-----|--------------------------|---|
| C S 2 5 | | G G P P 1. 2 | | | Num ber of Anal ysed Repo rt on the imple ment ation of EXC O and Coun cil Reso lution s for all Depa rtme nts | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Anal ysis repo rt of EXC O and Cou ncil Reso luti ons Impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded Extr act of Min utes | 1 | | Anal ysis repo rt of EXC O and Cou ncil Reso luti ons Impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded Extr act of Min utes | 1 | | Anal ysis repo rt of EXC O and Cou ncil Reso luti ons Impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded Extr act of Min utes | 1 | | Anal ysis repo rt of EXC O and Cou ncil Reso luti ons Impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded Extr act of Min utes | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | Al l w ar ds | Anal ysis repo rt of EXC O and Cou ncil Reso luti ons Impl eme ntati on to MA NC O/E xten ded Extr act of Min utes |
| C S 2 6 | | G G P P 1. 3 | | | % of Com plian ce with Secti on 79 | 5 0 % | 1 0 0 % | 0 | 5 0 % | 5 0 % | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / | 5 0 % | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / | 5 0 % | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / | 5 0 % | | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | Al l w ar ds | Anal ysis Rep ort to MA NC O / |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|----------|--|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|----------------------------|-----|-------|----------------------------|-----|-------|----------------------------|-----|---|--|---|--------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | | and 80 Committees Procedure Manuals on the Submission of Reports by Departments | | | | | | Ext MA NC O Signed Extract | | | Ext MA NC O Signed Extract | | | Ext MA NC O Signed Extract | | | | | | | | | | Ext MA NC O Signed Extract |
| CS27 | To strengthen communication and stakeholder rela | GGPP 3.9 | | Effective ICT | Number of ICT Infrastructure implemented | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | N/A | | N/A | N / A | | N/A | N / A | | N/A | 4 | | Server Invoice UPS Invoice Tape Library Invoice Backup (Vee | R 3600000.00 | CS025A | Computer Equipment AA | Cost - Acquisition s | All wards | Server Invoice UPS Invoice Tape Library Invoice Backup (Vee |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------------|--|---|------------------|--|---|------------------|--|---|------------------|--|---|---------------|---------|-----|--------------------|---|---|
| | tion s | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | am) Invoic e | | | | | | | am) Invoic e | | |
| C S 2 8 | | G G PP 3. 10 | | ICT Servi ce Cont inuit y | Perce ntage reporti ng on ICT Servic e Contin uity | 10 0 % | 10 0 % | 0 % | 10 0 % | 10 0 % | | Netw ork Oper ation s Repo rt: Back ups Resto res Offsit e back ups | 1 0 0 % | | Netw ork Oper ation s Repo rt: Back ups Resto res Offsit e back ups | 1 0 0 % | | Netw ork Oper ation s Repo rt: Back ups Resto res Offsit e back ups | 1 0 0 % | | Netw ork Oper ation s Repo rt: Back ups Resto res Offsit e back ups | R 0.0 0 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | All ward s | Netw ork Oper ation s Repo rt: Back ups Resto res Offsit e back ups |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | | | ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | | | ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | | | | | | | | ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|----------|--|--|--|------|------|----|------|-----|--|---------------------------------------|-----|--|---------------------------------------|-----|--|---------------------------------------|------|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|--------|---|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| CS29 | | GGPP3.11 | | Compliance to ICT Governance Framework and Charter | Percentage Compliance with ICT Governance Framework & Charter Phase 1 | 100% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 20% | | ICT Governance checklist Q1 - Phase 1 | 45% | | ICT Governance checklist Q2 - Phase 1 | 65% | | ICT Governance checklist Q3 - Phase 1 | 100% | | ICT Governance checklist Q4 - Phase 1 | | R60000.00 | C5050A | ICT Governance Framework and Charter reviewed and implemented | Municipal Running Costs | All wards | ICT Governance tool checklist Q1 - 4 Minutes of ICT Steering Committee |
| CS30 | | | | | Percentage CS Compliance with ICT Governance Framework & Charter Phase 2 | 100% | 100% | 0% | 100% | 20% | | ICT Governance checklist Q1 - Phase 2 | 45% | | ICT Governance checklist Q2 - Phase 2 | 70% | | ICT Governance checklist Q3 - Phase 2 | 100% | | ICT Governance checklist Q4 - Phase 2 | | | | | | | ICT Governance tool checklist Q1 - 4 Minutes of ICT Steering Committee |

[illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--------------------------|--|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-------|--|--|-----------------|--------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|
| C S 3 2 | | | | | Number of Governance Reviews completed: ICT Strategy, Governance Charter and Governance Framework | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | | Reviewed ICT Strategy, Governance Charter, Governance Framework | N / A | | N/A | N / A | | N/A | N / A | | N/A | | | | | | | | | ICT Strategy ICT Governance Charter ICT Governance Framework | Minutes of ICT Steering Committee |
| C S 3 3 | | G G PP 3. 12 | | Effective ICT | Number of analysed ICT Service desk log reports | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | ICT Operations, Service desk log reports | 1 | | ICT Operations, Service desk log reports | 1 | | ICT Operations, Service desk log reports | 1 | | ICT Operations, Service desk log reports | R 2 050 000 .00 | C S0 48 A | Commission ICT Facilities and Infrastructure | Municipal Running Costs | All wards | | | ICT Operations, Service desk log reports | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---------------|---------|-----|-----|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | Minut es of the ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e | | | Minut es of the ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e | | | Minut es of the ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e | | | Minut es of the ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e | | | | | | | Minut es of the ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e | |
| C S 3 4 | | | | | Numb er of compli ance with the Websi te legisla tive requir ement s Repor ts | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | R 0.0 0 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | All wa rd s | Webs ite legisl ative comp liance check list ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|------------------|---|
| C S 3 5 | | | | | Numb er of WAN (Wide area netwo rk) availa bility Repor ts | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Netw ork Avail ability Repo rt | 1 | | Netw ork Avail ability Repo rt | 1 | | Netw ork Avail ability Repo rt | 1 | | Netw ork Avail ability Repo rt | R 2 400 000 .00 | C S0 24 A_ 1 | Impl eme nt ICT Prog ram mes such as; Moni torin g of Netw ork oper ation s, Lice ncin g man age ment , Web site com plian ce and deve lopme nt of | Operation al:Infrastru cture Projects:E xisting:Up grading:Inf ormation and Communica tion Infrastruct ure:Core Layers | All ward s | Netw ork Avail ability Statis tics for 12 mont h perio d ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|------------------|---|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--|---|------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SOP's | | | | | | |
| CS36 | | | | Numb er of Servic e and Licenc e Agree ments Mana geme nt to core syste ms Repor t | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Sum mary licenc es report ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | 1 | | Sum mary licenc es report ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | 1 | | Sum mary licenc es report ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | 1 | | Sum mary licenc es report ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es | R 7 620 000 .00 | C SO 24 A | Impl eme nt ICT Prog ram mes such as; Moni torin g of Netw ork oper ation s, Lice | Operation al:Non- infrastruct ure:Existin g:Renewal : Intangible Assets:Lic ences and Rights:Co mputer Software and Applicatio ns | All ward s | Sum mary licenc es report ICT Steeri ng Com mitte e Minut es |

[illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|----|----|----|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
| C S 3 7 | | | | | Numb er of ICT Securi ty Aware ness Camp aigns | 2 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 3 | | ICT Secur ity Aware ness Flyer or Articl e or Work shop with proof of disse minat ion | 3 | | ICT Secur ity Aware ness Flyer / Articl e/Wo rksho p with proof of disse minat ion | 3 | | ICT Secur ity Aware ness Flyer / Articl e/Wo rksho p with proof of disse minat ion | 3 | | ICT Secur ity Aware ness Flyer / Articl e/Wo rksho p with proof of disse minat ion | R 0.0 0 | G G P P 3. 12 .1 | Perc enta ge Impl eme ntati on of ICT Servi ce Deliv ery Prog ram mes | Municipal Running Costs | All ward s | ICT Secur ity Aware ness Flyer / Articl e/Wo rksho p with proof of disse minat ion |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|----|----|----|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|---|

[illegible]

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|-------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|--|---|-------------|
| | na ge me nt | | | Ris k Red ucti on | ment ed | | | | | | | | AF Min utes | | | | | | AF Min utes | | | | | | | Min utes |
| C S 3 9 | | | | | Num ber of Foru ms meeti ngs for Disa ster Risk Man age ment DDM AF co- ordin ated | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce Regi ster | 1 | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce Regi ster | N / A | | NA | 1 | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce Regi ster | | | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce Regi ster | |
| C S 4 0 | | | | | Num ber of Foru ms for Disa ster Risk | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce | 1 | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce | 1 | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce | 1 | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce | | | | Age nda Min utes Atte nda nce | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|---|---|--------------|---|---|--------------|---|---|--------------|---|---------------|---------|-----|-----|----------------------|---|
| | | | | | Man age ment Distri ct Pract itione rs co- ordin ated | | | | | | Regi ster | | | Regi ster | | | Regi ster | | | Regi ster | | | | | | | Regi ster |
| C S 4 1 | | | | | Num ber of Ward Base d Struc tures / Com mitte e meet ings co- ordin ated | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | | Age nda Mun ites Atte nda nce Regi ster | 2 | | Age nda Mun ites Atte nda nce Regi ster | 2 | | Age nda Mun ites Atte nda nce Regi ster | 2 | | Age nda Mun ites Atte nda nce Regi ster | | | | | | Age nda MIn utes Atte nda nce Regi ster |
| C S 4 2 | To im pro ve Dis ast er | C Cl 1. 2 | | Dis ast er Ris k Ass ess | Num ber of Disa ster Risk Asse | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | Rep ort To MA NC O / DM | 1 | | Rep ort To Man co / DM | 1 | | Rep ort To Man co/D MAF | 1 | | Rep ort To Man co / DM | R 0. 00 | N/ A | N/A | N/A | Al l war ds | Rep ort To Man co /DM |

| | pre ve nti on and ma na ge ment | | | ment con duc ted | ssme nts Con duc ted . | | | | | | AF & Min utes | | | AF & Extr act | | | & Extr act | | | AF & Extr act | | | | | | AF & Extr act | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|--|---|--|------------------|---|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------|---|-------------|------------------------|---|-------------|------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| CS 4 3 | | | | | Num ber of Risk Maps comp leted | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | | Revi ewe d Map s sign ed by GM CS | 1 | | Revi ewe d Map s sign ed by GM CS | 1 | | Revi ewe d Map s sign ed by GM CS | | | Revi ewe d Map s sign ed by GM CS | | | | | Revi ewe d Map s sign ed by GM CS | |
| CS 4 4 | To im prove Dis ast er pre venti on and ma na ge | C Cl 1. 3 | | Coo rdin ate d Dis ast er Ris k Red ucti on initi ativ es | Num ber of HH inspe cted for Rural Fire Prev entio n Progr am | 2 0 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 0 0 0 | 5 0 0 0 | | Prog ress repo rt to the CS Port Foli o / DM AF Com mitt ee Mun ites | 5 0 0 | | Prog ress repo rt to the CS Port Foli o / DM AF Com mitt ee Mun ites | 5 0 0 | | Prog ress repo rt to the CS Port Foli o / DM AF Com mitt ee Mun ites | | | Prog ress repo rt to the CS Port Foli o / DM AF Com mitt ee Mun ites | R 40 0 00 0. 00 | C S 0 3 6 A | Nu mb er of Coo rdin ate d Dis ast er Ris k Red ucti on initi | Operatio nal:Muni cipal Running Cost | Al l w ar ds | Prog ress repo rt to the CS Port Foli o / DM AF Com mitt ee Mun ites |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|-------|--|--------------|---|--|---------------|----------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|--|---------------|---------------|
| CS45 | ment | | | | Number of Seasonal (Winter & Summer) Preparedness Plans done | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | N / A | | NA | 1 | | Seasonal Plan | Munites DM AF /Manco | 1 | | Seasonal Plan | Munites DM AF /Manco | | | Seasonal Plan | Munites DM AF /Manco | | | ativ es | | | Seasonal Plan | Munites DM AF |
| | | | | | | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 2 | | Safety Plans | 2 | | Safety Plans | 2 | | Safety Plans | DM AF Minutes | 2 | | Safety Plans | DM AF Minutes | | | Safety Plans | DM AF Munites | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--------|--------|---|--------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| C S 4 7 | | | | | Num ber of Com munit y Awar enes s progr amm es facilit ated; (a)Fir e Safet y (b)Di saste r Man age ment | 1 2 | 2 4 | 0 | 2 4 | 6 | | Atte nda nce regi ster Prog ram me | 6 | | Atte nda nce regi ster Prog ram me | 6 | | Atte nda nce regi ster Prog ram me | | | Atte nda nce regi ster Prog ram me | R 50 0 00 0. 00 | C S 0 7 1 A | Nu mb er of Inte grat ed Insti tuti ona l Cap acit y for Dis ast er Ris k Red ucti on pro gra mm es con duc ted | | | Atte nda nce regi ster Prog ram me |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--------|--------|---|--------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|---|

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|----------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|---|
| CS48 | | C CI 1.6 | | Dis ast er Res pon se and Rec ove ry | Turn arou nd time to resp ond to repor ted disas ters / Incid ents | 2 4 H O U R S | 2 4 H O U R S | 0 | 2 4 H O U R S | 2 4 H O U R S | | Ass ess men t For ms | 2 4 H o u r s | | Ass ess men t For ms | 2 4 H o u r s | | Ass ess men t For ms | | | Ass ess men t For ms | R 1 00 0 00 0.00 | C S 0 3 0 A | Tur nar oun d tim e to Dis ast er res pon se and rec ove ry | Operatio nal:Muni cipal Running Cost | Al l w ards | Syst ems repo rt Ass ess men t For ms |
| CS49 | | C CI 1.4 | | | Num ber of Mont hly Incid ent Stati stics repor ts prod uced | 1 0 | 1 0 | 0 | 1 0 | 2 | | Rep ort to the MA NC O / Ext. Man co / Port Foli o o n S/G Min utes | 3 | | Rep ort to the MA NC O / Ext. Man co / Port Foli o o n S/G Min utes | 2 | | Rep ort to the MA NC O / Ext. Man co / Port Foli o o n S/G Min utes | | | Rep ort to the MA NC O / Ext. Man co / Port Foli o o n S/G Min utes | | | | | | Rep ort to the MA NC O / Ext. Man co / Port Foli o o n S/G Min utes |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|---|---|
| C S 5 0 | | | | | Number of Post Disaster Committee Meetings co-ordinated | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Attendance Register Minutes | 1 | | Attendance Register Minutes | 1 | | Attendance Register Minutes | 1 | | Attendance Register Minutes | | | | | | Attendance Register Extract | Age nda Atte nda nce Regi ster Extr act |
| C S 5 1 | To improve Disaster prevention and mana | C CI 1. 5 | | Milestones achieved on the Implementation of Fire and | Number of District Fire Services Forum meetings co-ordinated | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | | Age nda Atte nda nce Regi ster Min utes | 1 | | Age nda Atte nda nce Regi ster Min utes | 1 | | Age nda Atte nda nce Regi ster Min utes | 1 | | Age nda Atte nda nce Regi ster Min utes | R 1 60 0 00 0. 00 | C S 0 3 4 A | Nu mb er of Dis ast er Ris k Ass ess me nts con | Operatio nal:Typi cal Work Streams :Capacit y Building Training and Develop ment:Mu nicipal Minimu m Compet | Al l w ar ds | Age nda Atte nda nce Regi ster Min utes | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--------|--------|---|--------|-------------|--|--|--------|--|--|--------|--|--|--|--|--|------------|---------------|--|--|
| C S 5 2 | ge me nt | | | Res cue Stra teg y | Num ber of fire safet y inspe ction s comp leted in buildi ngs; | 3 2 | 4 0 | 0 | 4 0 | 1 0 | | Rep ort to the Distr ict Disa ster Man age men t Foru m | 1 0 | | Rep ort to the Distr ict Disa ster Man age men t Foru m | 1 0 | | Rep ort to the Distr ict Disa ster Man age men t Foru m | | | | duc ted | ency Level | | Rep ort to the Distr ict Disa ster Man age men t Foru m |
| C S 5 3 | | | | | Num ber of Muni cipalit ies provi ded with the Gran t supp ort | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | N / A | | Prog ress repo rt to the DM AF /Ma nco | 2 | | Prog ress repo rt to the DM AF /Ma nco | 1 | | Prog ress repo rt to the DM AF /Ma nco | | | | | | | 1 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|---|----|---|---|----|---|--|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|---|--|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| CS54 | | | | | Number of Fire & Disaster Risk Management workshops conducted | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | | Agenda Attendance Register | 3 | | Agenda Attendance Register | 3 | | Agenda Attendance Register | | | | | | | | Agenda Attendance Register |
| | | | | | Number of Disaster Risk Management and Fire Trainings conducted | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | | Agenda Attendance Register | 3 | | Agenda Attendance Register | 3 | | Agenda Attendance Register | | | | | | | | Agenda Attendance Register |

Chapter 5: STRATEGIC MAPPING AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

5.1 Strategic Mapping

This section indicates the desired growth and development (addressing issues and trends highlighted in the situational analysis Chapter) of the document, and must reflect the desired spatial outcomes; land use guidelines; spatial reconstruction of the Municipality; strategic guidance in respect of the location and nature of development within the municipality; Spatial alignment with neighbouring municipalities; Comprehensive Infrastructure Investment (CIF); areas where strategic intervention is required; and areas where priority spending is required.

5.1.1 Desired Spatial Outcomes

The proposed Ugu SDF Vision:

“By 2035 Ugu District will be a spatially, socially and economically transformed living environment its economy and natural resources accessible to all its people through targeted actions to provide better living, social and economic opportunities.”

The vision commits the District to champion economic and social transformation within its key sectors, this includes the delivery new opportunities closer to major economic centres (e.g. GAP Housing) The attainment of this vision requires the municipality to facilitate the development of a spatial system that promotes social, economic, financial, institutional and environmental sustainability. The District spatial vision aims to achieve the following: -

- Transformation of the economy and inclusion of the previously disenfranchised into the mainstream economy
- Restructuring of the spatial geography through spatial planning and strategically catalytic projects (e.g. IFafa Industrial Park)
- Investments are directed towards nodes in order to strengthen their sustainability and impact
- Medium to high density Settlements are contained within urban edges to reduce the cost of services and optimise capital investments
- Enhance existing sustainable economic development opportunities;
- Protect and enhances the quality of the environmental assets and harmonise with human development through planning; and
- Facilitates non-discriminate access to a range of services and development opportunities; develops sustainable human settlements where residents can lead enriched, healthy and convenient lives.

5.1.2 Desired Spatial Form and Land Use

Based on the challenges identified in the situational analysis chapter careful consideration was given to finding a new regeneration strategic direction for the Ugu District. This should enable the authorities to meaningfully deal with the above challenges in such a way that it would meet the requirements of national, provincial and local planning policies and at the same time benefit the people of Ugu. Provision has been made for the establishment of one primary node and four secondary nodes which are intended to house the majority of the future population growth over the next 20 years. These growth centres would need to be structured such that they include opportunities for public-private investment in the agriculture; tourism; commerce; retail, Social and Utility Services; and a range of Housing Options and Densities sectors.

This would allow them to benefit from higher levels of social and utility services and locally based employment opportunities. It is argued in national policies that investment in high density urban areas is more cost effective and sustainable for municipalities than attempting to extend low level services throughout rural areas. Following these policies, the aim in rural settlements is to discourage further growth in housing. This is necessary in order to maintain areas of good agricultural land for cultivation and livestock production as the economic basis of these

areas. The emphasis in rural services provision should be to continue with basic service levels in identified rural areas.

A further opportunity in rural areas is the rehabilitation of degraded open space using certain of the state funding mechanisms to create 'green jobs'. Owing to the unique landscape qualities of this area and to certain of its natural and cultural heritage features there is scope for the establishment of different types of tourism routes through the area including landscape, hiking, mountain biking and cultural. This in turn would enable involvement of local communities in the guiding and hospitality industry. In the rural areas of the District where the emphasis is on sustaining agriculture and open space, adjustments will also have to be introduced into the traditional system of land allocation and municipal system of land use. This will involve defining limits to settlement expansion and internal sub-division of land. It will also need to involve including controls over use of agricultural land and open space such that it cannot be converted into further housing development.

5.1.3 Spatial Reconstruction of the Municipality

The spatial reconstruction of the municipality follows an understanding of the character of the District in terms of the physical, social and economic environment. This is followed by an understanding of the systems and/or guidelines to be used in bridging the gap between current spatial realities and the spatial objectives of the SDF. Central to the systems and/or guidelines for SDF development are SPLUMA principles and objectives which have been discussed and its related guidelines or interventions such as planning having taken into account nodes, corridors and other structuring and restructuring elements.

The first structuring element is the development and reinforcement of a system of varied activity nodes. This will enable greater access to districtwide opportunities, as well as equitable access to a system of local opportunities. The idea is to ensure that all people within the area live within easy walking distance of a public transport hub which will link to the district's public transport systems. These nodes, depending on their position in the hierarchy, will form points of access to a range of local and in some cases regional opportunities. Through the focus of development at these points the 'emerging core' will begin to reflect the opportunities that are present in the more developed areas of the Ugu District Municipality.

5.1.3.1 System of Activity Nodes

In August 2015, KZN COGTA published a report titled "Towards a framework for the classification of development nodes in KwaZulu-Natal – Regional spatial restructuring for an efficient delivery of services" The aims of the report were to provide a standardised classification of nodes throughout the province. The study identified the following categories of nodes:

Table 5.1.3.1.1: Activity Nodes

| Classification | Economic Development | Service Delivery Centre | Administration Centre |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| National Hub | Makes a major contribution to the national economy | Location of the offices of national service delivery agencies | |
| Provincial Development Node | Main economic centre in the province and makes major contribution to the provincial economy | | Seat of the provincial government |
| District Development Node | Economic centre that serves the entire district | Centre for the coordination of bulk | Seat of the district municipality. Location of provincial and national |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| | | infrastructure throughout the district | government districts offices |
| Municipal Development Node | Economic Centre that serves the entire municipality | Centre of coordination of delivery of services to the local communities | Seat for the local municipality offices. Location of decentralised government offices. |
| Community Development Node | Location of economic activities that serve the surrounding communities | Cluster of public facilities serving the surrounding communities | |
| Neighbourhood/Settlement Development Node | Location of economic activities that serve the surrounding settlements (urban and rural) | Cluster of community facilities serving the surrounding settlements | |

Source: Ugu DM Draft SDF, 2018

5.1.3.2 Hierarchy of Development Corridors

Corridors are areas of street or route-oriented uses which incorporate a mix of retail, employment and residential uses, developed at overall greater densities, located along arterial roads serving as major transit routes. Corridors link Nodes and important areas of activity within the district and are intended to be key locations for residential intensification. Corridors may form the boundaries of residential subdivisions or neighbourhoods but should act as a linear focus for activities and uses within the community.

The Districts Corridors provide a significant opportunity for creating vibrant pedestrian and transit-oriented places through investment in hard and soft infrastructure, residential intensification, infill and re-development. The concept of spatial development requires an understanding of the movement networks of people, goods and services which are channelled along specific routes. These routes are described as networks of interaction. The level and intensity of activity that these networks of interaction provide result in the concept of “Development Corridors” which are broad areas of development which are centred on activity and development routes. They are characterised by dynamic, mutually supporting relationships between land uses and the supporting movement system. These development corridors are generally supported by a hierarchy of transport services which functions as an integrated system to facilitate and foster ease of movement for private and public transport users. A key element of corridor development is intensification. A large portion of the Districts land use intensification target is directed to Nodes and Corridors. In older Corridors, intensification stabilizes and grows the population, helping to support local businesses, institutions and community facilities such as community centres, parks and schools, and returning vitality to these areas. In new or developing corridors, intensification, supported by transit, provides a diversity of housing types and living environments that reduce the dependency on automobiles, creating liveable environments.

The SDF recognized Corridors as key structural elements which for Municipal, neighbourhood and precinct planning; however, some corridors function as an integral part of a much broader environment with a national, provincial and district wide impact (N2). Therefore, a central element of corridor planning will be to identify the unique role played by each corridor and ensure that proper planning co-ordination and guidance is provided for each of the corridors identified, whilst embracing the general ethos of corridor development planning.

Development corridors in Ugu DM occur at various scales and are dependent on function and categorisation of the transportation route that forms the basis of the corridor. They carry flows of people and trade between two points (origin and destination) and foster nodal development at strategic points. Corridor development forms the basis of spatial structuring, and is a tool for economic growth, seeks to create functional linkages between areas

of higher thresholds and economic potential, with those that have insufficient thresholds. This, in turn, enables areas that are poorly serviced, to be linked to areas of opportunity and benefit and with higher thresholds. Based on this, the primary; secondary; tertiary; and tourism corridors have been identified.

5.1.4 Land Use Management Guidelines

Since it is the responsibility of the local municipality to manage and control local development it is its responsibility to establish an appropriate land use management system. The land use management framework at the district level is expected to ensure that local systems are based on a common district-wide approach, that the appropriately address issues of district-wide significance and that they connect to each other across local municipality boundaries.

5.1.5 Spatial Alignment with Neighbouring Municipalities

Spatial planning assists Municipalities and other authorities to guide their development planning processes; it is a continuous process in the physical space that would almost have no end, however for the SDF the Municipal boundary is the indicative point in which the SDF must normally conclude its business. It must be noted however, that Municipal boundaries are mainly for administrative reasons and in the perfect world such boundaries would not really affect the spatial planning process. Communities and the physical environment should not be impacted negatively by administrative boundaries, especially in the case of the delivery of basic services. Communities should not be affected by Municipal boundaries in the manner and type of services they receive from the government, the government ultimately has one face and should present itself as such in its various plans.

Cross boarder Municipal Planning is important in order to co-ordinate service delivery to communities which are affected by cross boarder planning, institutional structures should be put in place to ensure that Municipalities plan together for affected areas, evidence has shown that such areas can be subject to Municipal boundary alignments, making them fall under one Municipal area or dividing them to fall within two Municipal area, hence the importance of tangible spatial plans that go beyond administrative and political boundaries.

5.1.5.1 Cross-Border Development Implications: Service Delivery

Ethekwini Municipality: Areas which would be affected by cross boarder planning between Ugu and EThekwini would be at the Boundary of EThekwini and Umdoni Municipality namely:

- Amahlongwa Traditional Settlement across the N2 inland, the settlement is connected through the R197 which traverses both Umdoni (Ugu) and Ethekwini as an inland route parallel to the N2 from Amahlongwa to Umkomaaz (a portion of Amahlongwa falls within Ethekwini Municipality);
- Freeland Park, which is small high end suburban area located along the coast;
- Renishaw Hills Development, a newly developed high-end development inland of the N2; and
- A small sugar cane agricultural strip between Freeland Park (Umdoni-Ugu) and Umkomaas (Ethekwini).

The following service delivery projects are currently being implemented in the affected area:

Table 5.1.5.1.1: Ethekwini and Umdoni Cross-border Projects

| Project name | Implementing Municipality | Stage | Budget |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Lower Umkomaaz bulk water supply scheme | Ethekwini Municipality | Detailed planning | R2,8bn |
| Amahlongwa Rural Water and Sanitation Project | Ethekwini Municipality | Future project | TBD |

| | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-----|
| Amahlongwa Rural Housing Phase 2 | Umdoni Municipality | Under construction | - |
| Amahlongwa Rural Housing Phase 3 | Umdoni Municipality | Land acquisition from Church | TBD |
| Malangeni Rural Housing Projects Phase 2 (1000 units) | Umdoni Municipality | Under construction | |

Source: Ugu DM Draft SDF, 2018

Ethekwini Municipality has planned for water provision in and around the areas earmarked for cross border planning, the Amahlongwa areas in particular as there it will benefit from both the Lower Umkomaas bulk water supply scheme and the Amahlongwa Rural Water and Sanitation. On the other hand, Umdoni Municipality has planned for housing delivery within the Amahlongwa, with its Municipal boundaries, Ugu, Umdoni and Ethekwini Municipalities, through a mutually accepted arrangement must engage on cross broader alignment issues and these should not be limited to service delivery projects but around the future of the strip between Umdoni and Ethekwini and how it can be co-ordinated for the betterment of residents of both Municipalities.

Among the housing projects in this part of the Umdoni Municipality is the Amandawe Rural Housing Project, of which 1000 units have been completed, as well as the Malangeni Phase 1 and 2 Housing Projects, the latter of which is still under construction. It proposed that planning be put in place for the provision of water services from the people of Amahlongwa area, this is meant to expedite service delivery and to avoid any potential conflict that may arise from certain sections of Amahlogwa getting water whilst other sections are still without sufficient water supply. Harry Gwala District Municipality: Main linkages between the Ugu DM and Harry Gwala DM are through the P68 regional road, P73 and R612 provincial roads and N2 national freeway, where the boundaries of both Districts interface there are the settlement areas of Mnqumeni, Phungashe, Nhlabwane, Hlokozi, Hlutakungu, Kenterton and Sangwaba, these are mainly rural settlement clusters located across the boundaries of both Districts, below are some notable service delivery project within the identified areas:

Table 5.1.5.1.2: Ubuhlebezwe and Umzumbe Cross-border Projects

| Project name | Implementing Municipality | Stage | Budget |
|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Mnqumeni (Santombe) Water Supply Project Phase 3 | Harry Gwala District | Completed 150Kl and 100Kl reservoirs, 16km water reticulation pipeline | 662, 000,000.00 |
| Hlokozi Water project phase 4 | Harry Gwala District | 520 households have access to water | R13 400 000.00 |
| Gaybrook Water Supply | Harry Gwala District | Under construction | R20 076 520.00 |
| Highflats Bulk Water Supply | Harry Gwala District | | R10 000 000.00 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---|---------------|
| Highflats Clearance | Slums | Harry Gwala District | Land legal and bulk services issues | |
| Sangcwaba Operation Sukuma | | Harry Gwala District | IA did not accept the contract; the Department is in a process to reallocate to UFCC/ABT. | R3 158 379.73 |

Source: Ugu DM Draft SDF, 2018

Harry Gwala, Ugu and its Municipalities, through a mutually accepted arrangement must engage on cross broader alignment issues and these should not be limited to service delivery projects but around the future of the strip between Umdoni and Ethekwini and how it can be co-ordinated for the betterment of residents of both Municipalities.

Umgungundlovu Municipality: Umgungundlovu and Ugu DM are bordered via UMkhambathini Municipality, and a portion thereof links with Umdoni. For the most part, the land uses bordering these municipalities are rural settlements and subsistence farming, thus making the development uniform along the borders. There are however, environmentally sensitive areas which have been identified in both municipalities' SDFs as protected/conservation areas. The following areas are affected by cross broader service delivery: Odidini, Ezimini, Mdumezulu and kaMpucio, the following are some of the service delivery projects identified in the affected areas:

Table 5.1.5.1.3: UMkhambathini and Umdoni Cross-border Projects

| Project name | Implementing Municipality | Stage | Budget |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Mdumezulu bulk water supply scheme | Umgungundlovu District | Detailed Planning | R2,8bn |
| KwaMpucio Rural Housing Project | Mkhambathini | Future project | TBD |

Source: Ugu DM Draft SDF, 2018

Umgungundlovu, Ugu and its Municipalities, through a mutually accepted arrangement must engage on cross broader alignment issues and these should not be limited to service delivery projects but around the future of the strip between Umdoni and Ethekwini and how it can be co-ordinated for the betterment of residents of both Municipalities.

Alfred Nzo District Municipality: Both district municipalities have identified their bordering areas as environmentally protected areas with Critical

Biodiversity Areas which are irreplaceable, the integral linkage between Umuziwabantu LM and Mbizana LM is the P59 provincial road whilst The R61 which links connects into the upper areas of the Ray Nkonyeni Municipality. The following areas are important for cross boarder planning between the two districts: Port Edward, rural settlements Across the N2 and Umtamvuna in Alfred Nzo DM, Rennie's Beach, and Wild Coast tourism development area.

Table 5.1.5.1.4: Ray Nkonyeni and Mbizana Cross-Border Projects

| Project name | Implementing Agent | Stage | Budget |
|---|------------------------------|--|--------|
| Upgrade of the N2 | SANRAL | Construction | |
| Proposed Port Edward Interchange | SANRAL | Detailed Planning and community consultation | |
| Cross-Border Tourism Initiative between Port Edward business and the broader “Wild Coast” | Port Edward Business Persons | Future project | TBD |

Source: Ugu DM Draft SDF, 2018

5.1.5.2 Implications of Cross-Border Characteristics and Other Initiatives

Settlements located along cross border areas are mainly rural settlements, agricultural areas and environmental sensitive areas. For the most part, the district and local Municipal SDF’s identify these areas as “rural settlements and/or environmentally sensitive areas. These areas are notably the most which have service delivery gaps in terms of water, sanitation, human settlements and other infrastructure and social and economic services. Their access to economic opportunities is also very limited and this is clearly because of their location.

Municipalities are implementing various projects which affect areas along their borders (water, human settlements, sanitation etc.), but there is little emphasis on cross boarder planning which may result in conflict among communities in these areas, there are instances where one Municipality is planning water provision in a cross-border area, whilst the other Municipality is implementing a housing project. Ideally these two projects should be planned to be aligned and communicated with the community in partnership. The same principle should apply for all government projects implemented in cross boarder communities; this will require institutional structure to be put in place for cross planning between Municipalities and province.

5.1.6 Capital Investment Framework (CIF)

Capital Investment Framework (CIF) is a legal requirement in terms of the Municipal Systems Act Regulations S2 (4)(e). It plays a key role in the economic growth, social development and environmental well-being of any municipality. The CIF is a very important component of the Spatial Development Framework (SDF). It is a sound step towards a more systematic approach to infrastructure planning and coordination.

The objectives of the Capital Investment Framework include provision of practical and appropriate alignment regarding capital investment, to strive to ensure appropriate budget - IDP linkages, to link capital projects with potential sources of funding, and to ensure that there are staff and services providers to deliver on projects that are funded. The key goals of this component are as follows;

- Spatial budgeting – which involves mapping of the capital infrastructure projects that are approved by the IDP. This assists to determine whether the development trajectory that is advocated by the IDP is in harmony with the spatial development vision that is suggested by the SDF;
- Intensify spatial objectives with infrastructure proposals – the SDF identifies a number of spatial development proposals for further economic development and investments within the area but these proposals will be meaningless if the supporting infrastructure has not been planned for in tandem with the overall SDF. The CIF provides an opportunity to relook at these proposals in line with infrastructure requirements;

- Comparison of areas of greatest needs and where services or infrastructure proposals are directed to – this is intended to establish if the areas that encounters backlogs are receiving attention to address that. There are areas within the municipal area which suffers from historical and institutional neglect from benefiting from services. It is the role of a developmental government to be pro-active at developing these areas. This is part of the reconstruction and developmental mandate.

The normative aims and objectives are:

- To inform public and private sector investment decision-making.
- To influence municipal capital infrastructure project allocation.
- To serve as a strategic infrastructure guide for economic infrastructure priority areas.
- To map out all service delivery priority areas.
- To identify all major infrastructure priority areas including the projects currently underway.
- To determine if spatial proposal can be resourced by sufficient infrastructure resources.
- To integrate Capital Investment Framework with the IDP
- The framework aligns future capital spending with settlement hierarchy (nodes) and corridors, not just mapping of municipal and Sector Department projects and Tables of sector department or municipal expenditure. It maps out longer- term projects and programmes Municipal Sector Plan projects (up to 20 years).

5.1.7 Areas Requiring Strategic Intervention

With respect to areas requiring strategic intervention, areas where development intensity should be increased or decreased has been identified.

5.1.7.1 Areas where Development Intensity Should Increase


The following proposal is made in terms of direction for future growth:

- Outward expansion of the nodes. This should involves linking the existing nodes through activity or mobility route in a manner that promotes infill and interface development. This should be prioritized for District, Municipal and Community Development Nodes; and
- The existing nodes are still low in terms of densification. Inward densification could be encouraged within the District and Municipal Development Nodes. However, this should be supported provided that there is sufficient infrastructure capacity to warrant it.

5.1.7.2 Areas where Development Intensity Should Decrease

The following proposal is made in terms of direction for future growth:

- Some of the nodes on the northern part of the municipality are surrounded by agricultural land. Expansion of these nodes to high potential agricultural land would be undesirable.
- Expansion of the nodes to environmentally sensitive areas is prohibited. This includes expansion to KZN CBA Irreplaceable zones and KZN ESA zones.



Map 3.5.1.1: Ugu District SDF Framework

Source: Ugu District SDF, 2018

5.2 Five-Year Implementation Plan

The purpose of this section is to outline how the rest of the state is geared to support Ugu District Municipality to achieve its development goals as stated in the Integrated Development Plan. The implementation plan shows the alignment of service delivery implementation in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction. This is an attempt to ensure that the sector departments, state owned enterprises, NGOs and local municipalities are mobilised towards the implementation of the NDP, PGDS and DGDS. A significant amount of financial resources for the implementation of projects lies with sector departments. The availability of the IDP therefore, provides guidance to the departments as to where their services are required and hence where to allocate their resources.

The alignment of implementation of projects within the Ugu District area of jurisdiction have been aligned to the PGDS giving full details of each project in the Service Delivery / Implementation Plan as attached in Annexure 10 of which the summary is given in this section. Figure 5.2.1 gives a summary of the Service Delivery / Implementation Plan Catalytic Projects. A total estimated sum of R 8 603 757 499.00 is estimated to be spent towards the implementation of the 27 IDP Goals, 7 DGDS Drivers and 7 PDGS Goals.

The bulk of the budget goes towards Strategic Infrastructure Goal which is 84.19 %. The Human and Community Development, Spatial Equity, and Inclusive Economic Growth goals accounts for 9.33%, 3.73% and 2.78% respectively. The budget for Human Resource Development, Environmental Sustainability, and Governance Policy are minimal and negligible. This goals that reflect low budget are mainly result of non-participation of their representative stakeholders not participation in the municipal structures and thus do not submit required information.

Table 5.2.1: Ugu District Service Delivery / Implementation Plan Summary

| PGDS Strategic Goal | DGDS Strategic Driver | PGDS Strategic Objectives | Budget |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| Inclusive Economic Growth | Sectoral Development and Support | Develop and promote the agricultural potential of KZN | R4,460,200.00 |
| | | Enhance sectoral development through trade investment and business retention | R7,360,000.00 |
| | | Enhance spatial economic development | R221,850,000.00 |
| | | Improve the efficiency, innovation and variety of government-led job creation programmes | R1,420,000.00 |
| | | Promote SMME and entrepreneurial development | R3,620,000.00 |
| | | Enhance the Knowledge Economy | R 100 000.00 |
| | | Goal / Driver Total | R 238 810 200.00 |
| Human Resource Development | Education and Skills Development | Improve early childhood development, primary and secondary education | R 0 |
| | | Support skills development to economic growth | R365,000.00 |
| | | Enhance youth and adult skills development and life-long learning | R1,140,000.0 |
| | | Goal / Driver Total | R 1 505 000.00 |
| Human and Community Development | Safety Nets and Civic Empowerment | Eradicate poverty and improve social welfare services | R18,000,000.00 |
| | | Safeguard and enhance sustainable livelihoods and food security | R2,380,000.00 |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | Enhance health of communities and citizens | R400,000.00 |
| | | Safeguard and enhance sustainable livelihoods and food security | R1,030,000.00 |
| | | Promote sustainable human settlements | R394,166,000.00 |
| | | Enhance safety and security | R237,000,000.00 |
| | | Advance social cohesion and social capital | R144,179,000.00 |
| | | Promote youth, gender and disability advocacy and the advancement of women | R5,639,590.00 |
| | | Goal / Driver Total | R 802 794 590.00 |

Source: Ugu DM IDP Rep Forum, 2017 / 2018

Table 5.2.1: Ugu District Service Delivery / Implementation Plan Summary

| PGDS Strategic Goal | DGDS Strategic Driver | PGDS Strategic Objectives | Budget |
|------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|
| Strategic Infrastructure | Strategic Infrastructure Development | Development of seaports and airports | R 300 000 000.00 |
| | | | R 3 613 765 638.00 |
| | | Develop ICT infrastructure | R 1 200 000 000.00 |
| | | Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all | R 8 000 000.00 |
| | | Enhance KZN waste management capacity | R 21 214 28571 .00 |
| | | Goal / Driver Total | R 7 243 194 209.00 |
| Environmental Sustainability | Environmental Sustainability | Enhance resilience of ecosystem services | R 1 100 000.00 |
| | | Expand the application of green technologies | R 0.00 |
| | | Adapt and respond climate change | R 650 000. |
| | | Goal / Driver Total | R 1 750 000.00 |
| Governance and Policy | Institutional Development | Strengthen policy, strategy coordination and IGR | R 23 500.00 |
| | | Build government capacity | R 3 000 000.00 |
| | | Eradicate fraud and corruption | R 80 000.00 |
| | | | R 1 900 000.00 |
| | | Goal / Driver Total | R 5 003 500.00 |
| Spatial Equity | Spatial Integration and Facilitating Security of Tenure | Enhance the resilience of new and existing cities, towns and rural nodes, ensuring equitable access to resources, social and economic opportunities | R 310 000 000.00 |
| | | Ensure integrated land management use across the Province, ensuring equitable access to goods and services, attracting social and financial investment | R 700 000 |
| | | Goal / Driver Total | R 310 700 000.00 |
| Total Implementation Budget | | | R 8 603 757 499 |

Source: Ugu DM IDP Rep Forum, 2017 / 2018

5.2.1 Catalytic Projects

Catalytic projects are where a targeted intervention is used to encourage and promote much larger responses and achieve a broader transformation objective. Furthermore, a catalytic project is an intervention that has a strong leverage and/or multiplier effect by addressing a critical market failure, or by creating a strong leverage factor. A catalytic project addresses the root cause of obstacles to development, rather than symptoms. It unlocks resources and business opportunities. A catalytic project will often create a public good or a club good, but it will never create just a private good. Catalytic projects can have very different characteristics and prerequisites:

- Major financial resources needed (e.g. investment and infrastructure projects, rehabilitation of an abandoned industrial estate, creating a small business estate);
- High commitment to cooperation needed; and
- Serious and persistent political backing needed.

Ugu District Municipality has identified 16 catalytic projects that will be a driver for change in the Ugu District area of jurisdiction.

Table 5.2.2: Ugu District Catalytic Projects

| No. | Project | Description | Status | Budget |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|--------|
| Ray Nkonyeni Municipality | | | | |
| 1. | Margate airport to cater for larger carriers | Upgrade of Margate airport by extending the runway | Business Plan has been developed by RNM | |
| 2. | Port Shepstone beachfront redevelopment | Revitalization of the beachfront through Mixed Use Development | Concept will be developed in the new financial year | |
| 3. | Port Shepstone Government Precinct / Mixed Use More | Development of a Government Complex within 1.5 km radius from the CBD | Government offices are scattered in buildings not conducive for office activities | |
| 4. | Port Shepstone Government Precinct / Mixed Use More | A 74-km walking, hiking, cycling and horse riding trail linking RNM and Umzumbe | Business Plan developed, and funding proposals made to both the private as well as public sectors | |
| 5. | Umzumbe River Trail - R35m | Alerting local businesses and professionals about massive procurement opportunities from N2 development | Engagements with SANRAL and Wild Coast Business Forum have commenced | |
| 6. | KwaXolo Adventure Centre | An outdoor adrenalin pumping activity in KwaXolo | Service provider for linking the caves with the main admin building appointed | |
| 7. | Fallow fields cultivation - Tea Tree, Moringa, Macadamia | At least 100 hectares in Amakhosi land planted with cash crops | Engagement with Department of Agriculture have commenced | |
| 8. | Murchisson Mixed Use Node | Development of a Murchisson Precinct – filling station, retail, flats and offices | Concept document prepared and presented, and Expressions of Interest will be issued in the 2018/2019 fy | |
| Umuziwabantu Municipality | | | | |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| 9. | Renewable energy – KwaMachi bioethanol | A bio-diesel and bio-ethanol plant together with aquaponics and fish farm for KwaMachi | R14.5 m funding received from African Development Bank for planning studies | |
| 10. | Forestry Industrialization | Development of a Forestry Industrialization Framework | | |
| Umdoni Municipality | | | | |
| 11. | Scottburgh Beachfront redevelopment | Revamp of the beachfront by creating an activity precinct linking the beach with the CBD | A Call for Proposals has been issued and prospective investors will be appointed in August 2018 | |
| 12. | Ifafa Industrial Park | Creation of a light industrial node at Ifafa | Application for Release of Agricultural Land still pending | |
| 13. | Amandawe Precinct Plant | Development of a plan to guide investment in Amandawe | Service provider is at final stage of appointment | |
| 14. | Rural Events and Wedding Centre | African themed and upmarket events and wedding centre in KwaCele area | Concept presented to Umdoni LED and has been well received | |
| Umzumbe Municipality | | | | |
| 15. | Fish farm | Rolling out of a community fish farm in Umzumbe | Applications for funding made and decision still awaited | |
| 16. | Turton Beachfront development | New Beach development in Turton | Concept approved by Umzumbe Council and Expression of Interest advert has gone out | |

Source: USFDA, 2018

CHAPTER 6: FINANCIAL PLAN

6.1 Municipal Budget Overview

In terms of section 16(1) of the MFMA, the Council of the municipality must for each financial year approve an annual budget for the municipality.

6.1.1 South African Economy and Inflation Targets

The analysis of the current South African Economy and Inflation targets must be done when preparing the credible budget for 2018 / 2019 to 2020 / 2021 financial years. South Africa has experienced a period of protracted economic weaknesses which diminishes private investment across all sectors of economy due to declining in businesses and consumer confidence. The prerequisite for increased revenue to expand service delivery are rapid growth, investments, and job creation. These economic challenges will continue to exert pressure on municipal revenue generation and collections levels hence a conservative approach is advised for revenue projections. Therefore, municipalities will have to improve their efforts to limit non-priority and to implement stringent cost containment measures.

Macroeconomic performance and projections that must be considered when preparing 2018/2019 Medium Term Revenue and Expenditure Framework (MTREF) municipal budgets are captured in Table 6.1.1.1 below:

Table 6.1.1.1: Macroeconomic performance and projections

| Economic Indicator | 2018 / 2019 | 2019 / 2020 | 2020 / 2021 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Consumer Price Inflation (CPI) | 5.3% | 5.4% | 5.5% |
| Real Growth Domestic Product (GDP) Growth | 1.5% | 1.8% | 2.1% |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

Also, the South African Reserve Bank (SARB) has tried to contain an inflation to a target band of 3% - 6% despite economic challenges facing South Africa.

6.1.2 Proposed Tariffs Increments for 2018 / 2019

The municipalities are encouraged to maintain tariff increases at levels that reflect an appropriate balance between the affordability to poorer households and other customers while ensuring the financial sustainability of the municipality. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) inflation is forecasted to be within the upper limit of the 3% to 6% target band. Therefore, municipalities are required to justify all increases in excess of the projected inflation target for 2018/2019 in their budgets. Local government confronts tough fiscal choices in the face of financial and institutional problems that results in service delivery breakdowns and unpaid bills. These trends can be offset by improving own revenue collection, working more efficiently and implementing cost containment measures. The tariffs increment is proposed at 5.3% in 2018 / 2019 financial year.

The justification for the increment of 5.3% is the fact that the projected Consumer Price Inflation for 2018 / 2019 is 5.3% in terms of Macroeconomic performance. It is still below the maximum targeted inflation rate of 6%. Furthermore, the justification is the fact of the ESKOM and Water Boards tariffs are as follows:

- ESKOM is 8%; and
- Water Boards is 8.8%.

The proposed tariffs increase is far less than ESKOM and Water Boards tariffs increment of which the municipality is also expected to incur in provision of basic services to the community. Income Budget for 2018 / 2019 – 2020 / 2021 According to 2018 Division of Revenue Bill recently approved by Parliament together with National Budget in February 2018, the allocations to uGu District Municipality have been made per schedule reflected in Table 6.1.3.1 for 2018 / 2019 to 2020/2021. The Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG) and Water Services Infrastructure Grant (WSIG) has been reduced substantially. In respect of internal revenue, a recently Adjustment Budget has been used as a base for 2018 / 2019 budget by taking into account an inflation of 5.3% in 2018 / 2019 financial year.

Table 6.1.3.1: Draft Income Budget for 2018 / 2019 – 2020 / 2021

| Income Description | 2018 / 2019 | 2019 / 2020 | 2020 / 2021 |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Equitable Share | R 435,877,000 | R 461,838,000 | R 503,224,000 |
| Municipal Infrastructure Grant | R 235,888,000 | R 241,038,000 | R 255,284,000 |
| Water Services Infrastructure Grant | R 55,000,000 | R 95,000,000 | R 100,225,000 |
| Financial Management Grant | R 1,865,000 | R 1,865,000 | R 1,800,000 |
| Expanded Works Programme Grant | R 3,250,000 | R 0,00 | R 0,00 |
| Water Income | R 276,879,596 | R 291,831,094 | R 307,881,804 |
| Sanitation Income | R 97,282,020 | R 102,535,249 | R 108,174,688 |
| Interest on Investments | R 2,535,182 | R 2,672,082 | R 2,819,046 |
| Interest on overdue accounts | R 508,485 | R 535,943 | R 565,420 |
| Rental and Other Income | R 1,091,486 | R 1,150,426 | R 1,213,670 |
| Total Revenue | R 1,110,176,769 | R 1,198,465,794 | R 1,281,187,628 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

6.1.3.1 Analysis of the Conditions of Grants

The equitable share serves as a subsidy to the municipalities to cater for the following three components: Basic Services Component; Institutional Component; and Community Services Component. In terms of 2018 Division of Revenue Bill which was approved in February 2018 together with the National Budget by Parliament provided the following allocation of Equitable Share:

Table 6.1.3.1: 2018 Allocation of Equitable Share

| Description | Allocation Per DORA | Amount |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Basic Services | 79,5% | 346,522,215 |
| Institutional | 8,2% | 35,741,914 |
| Community Services | 12,3% | 53,612,871 |
| TOTAL | | 435,877,000 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

N.B. An equitable share over the medium term is allocated to compensate for the rising costs of providing free basic services to the growing number of indigent households. This covers the likely above-inflation increases in the costs of bulk water and electricity. This also allows for faster increases in the allocations to poorer and rural municipalities through the redistributive components of the equitable share formula.

6.1.3.1.1 Basic Services Component

The allocation of equitable share to this component helps the municipalities to provide free basic water, sanitation, electricity and refuse removal to households that fall below affordability threshold. However, in respect of uGu District municipality we only provide free basic water and sanitation. This must cover free 6kl of water provided to poor households per month. However, at uGu every household is getting free 6kl of water per month irrespective being indigent or non-indigent. Since government only subsidises only indigent households, it means the municipality funds free 6kl of water for non-indigents households through internally generated revenue, which currently is difficult to collect which give more financial strain to the municipality.

N.B. The affordability formula measure (i.e. used to determine how many households need free basic services) is based on the level of two state old pensions. From the recently approved National Budget by Parliament, it resolved that from 01 April 2018 to 30 September 2018, the state old age pension will be R1690.00 and from 01 October 2018 to 31 March 2019 will be R1700.00. It means that the poverty or affordability threshold from 01 April 2018 to 30 September 2018 will be: $R1690 \times 2 = R3380$ per month; meaning that from this period all households who's their total income per household of above R3380 per month must pay for municipal services. From 01 October 2018 to 31 March 2019 the poverty or affordability threshold will be: $1700 \times 2 = R3400$ per month. Following Statistics South Africa (STATS SA) has calculated that 59% of all households in South Africa fall below poverty or affordability threshold.

The basic services component provides a subsidy of R383.12 per month in 2018/2019 financial year for the costs of providing basic services to the poor households. The subsidy provides funding to poor households for free 6kl of water and sanitation based on the levels of services per National Policy. The monthly subsidy of R383.12 is allocated to the poor households as follows in terms of recently approved Division of Revenue Bill together with National Budget in February 2018:

Table 6.1.3.1.1.1: Monthly Allocations per Households Below Poverty Level

| Monthly Costs Components | Operations | Maintenance | Total |
|--------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|
| Electricity costs | R73.46 | R8.16 | R81.62 |
| Water costs | R112.90 | R12.54 | R125.45 |
| Sanitation costs | R86.19 | R9.58 | R95.77 |
| Other costs | R72 | R8.03 | R80.28 |
| TOTAL | R344.81 | R38.31 | R383.12 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

N.B. When budgeting for 2018/2019 for Free Basic Water and Sanitation to poor households, the following formula must be applied: Free Basic Water and Sanitation = $R383.12 \times \text{Number of indigents households} \times 12 \text{ Months}$. However, our challenge is that the municipality is giving all households free 6kl of water on a monthly basis which gives financial strain or pressure to the municipality. Also, those who are able to pay for services are not paying.

As a result of this the municipality becomes unable to provide sufficient funding for the following:

- 8% of Value of Infrastructural Assets for Repairs and Maintenance of Infrastructure; of which currently the value of our completed Infrastructural Assets (i.e. Water and Sanitation) using 2016/17 audited Annual Financial Statements (AFS) is R2,358,985,132.00; meaning in 2018/19 financial year at minimum we should budget for R188,718,810.60 which is impossible in the current situation;
- Replacement of Aging Infrastructure.

In terms of 2018 Division of Revenue Bill as approved by Parliament together with the budget, it has been proposed at minimum the Equitable Share subsidy allocated to uGu District for poor households must be broken down per local municipality in terms of spending as follows for 2018/2019 financial year:

Table 6.1.3.1.1.2: Equitable Share per Local Municipality

| Municipality | Water | Sanitation | Total |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| UMdoni | R36,593,000 | R27,936,000 | R64,529,000 |
| Umzumbe | R32,346,000 | 24,694,000 | R57,040,000 |
| UMuziwabantu | R24,176,000 | R18,456,000 | R42,632,000 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | R83,235,000 | R63,543,000 | R146,778,000 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

6.1.3.1.2 Institutional Component

To provide basic services to the households, the municipality must be able to run basic administration. Most municipalities should be able to fund their majority of administration costs with their own revenue. But because poor households are not able to contribute in full towards administration costs of the municipality, the equitable share includes an institutional support component to meet the municipalities halfway. The subsidy of equitable share towards administration costs is limited to 8.2% of the Equitable Share. Therefore, in 2018/2019 financial year, government will subsidise uGu District with only R35,741,914.00 (i.e. 8.2%) towards its Administration Costs. Any additional costs must get from its internal revenue. However, an additional subsidy of R6.6 million which does not form part of the Equitable Share Formula will be made available in 2018/2019 financial year to subsidise Councillors Remuneration. Any additional costs must come from internal revenue.

6.1.3.1.3 Community Services Component

This component from Equitable Share Formula, subsidises services that benefits communities rather than individual households (i.e. which are provided for in the basic services component). Such services include these services e.g. municipal health services, fire services etc.). Therefore, in 2018/2019 financial year, equitable share subsidy for uGu District Municipality towards these services is only limited to R53,612,871.00 (i.e. 12.3%). Any additional costs must come from internal revenue.

6.1.3.2 Municipal Infrastructure Grant (MIG)

The MIG allocation for 2018/2019 financial year will be R235,888,000. This means that the projects to be implemented during 2018/2019 financial year must be limited to annual allocation of R235,888,000. The amount of R235,888,000 must equate to the service providers appointed as well. Therefore, no commitment exceeding R235,888,000 must take place. The Project Management Unit (PMU) must manage projects with due diligence by ensuring the following:

- I. Professional Fees for each project are limited to 14% of the total project costs;
- ii. The variation orders are prevented as there will be no funds available to cover them;
- iii. The initial scope of work is thoroughly analysed and checked to ensure that it cover all work to be performed;
- iv. The MIG Implementation Plan is implemented in accordance with the Cash Flows per actual trench received;
- v. The actual expenditure per project must not exceed the actual trench received per quarter;

- vi. The budget for each project must be managed and monitored in accordance with actual cash allocation received per trench per quarter;
- vii. The performance of the service providers must be managed properly and impose or enforce penalties for none performance. If needs be blacklist all none performers;
- viii. Making sure that retentions are invested and not utilised in other projects;
- ix. Ensure that consultant or engineer is held responsible and accountable for any deficiencies in the performance of the contractors;
- x. Discourages cessionary agreements; etc.

The 2018 Division of Revenue Bill as approved by Parliament together with the National Budget has broken down the MIG allocation to uGu District Municipality to be utilised or spent at minimum per Local Municipality as follows for 2018/2019 financial year:

Table 6.1.3.2.1: MIG Allocation per Local Municipality

| Municipality | Minimum Projects Allocations |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| UMdoni | R42,176,000 |
| Umzumbe | R75,130,000 |
| UMuziwabantu | R33,631,000 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | R79,952,000 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

N.B., It means that in each LM there must be project(s) funded by MIG at minimum amounted to the amounts above, to be implemented during 2018/2019 financial year. In order to ensure efficient operations in respect of water and sanitation provision, the PMU must transfer all completed projects to Operations and Maintenance Unit of which such unit must have clear Operations and Maintenance Plan(s) for all completed projects.

6.1.3.3 Water Services Infrastructure Grant (WSIG)

The WSIG allocation for 2018/2019 financial year will be R55,000,000. This means that the projects to be implemented during 2018/2019 financial year must be limited to annual allocation of R55,000,000. The amount of R55,000,000 must equate to the service providers appointed as well. Therefore, no commitment exceeding R55,000,000 must take place. The Project Management Unit must follow the same as MIG projects above in managing projects implemented using WSIG. Also, Operations and Maintenance Unit must have a clear Operations and Maintenance Plan(s) for completed projects to ensure efficiency in water and sanitation provision. The 2018 Division of Revenue Bill as approved by Parliament together with the National Budget has broken down the WSIG allocation to uGu District Municipality to be utilised or spent at minimum per Local Municipality as follows for 2018/2019 financial year:

Table 6.1.3.3.1: WSIG Allocation per Local Municipality

| Municipality | Minimum Projects Allocations |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|
| UMdoni | R15,000,000 |
| Umzumbe | R10,000,000 |
| UMuziwabantu | R10,000,000 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | R20,000,000 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

N.B. At least each LM must have a project(s) implemented during 2018/2019 financial year under WSIG at minimum amounts per schedule above.

6.1.3.4 Expanded Public Works Programme Targets

In terms of 2018 Division of Revenue Bill as approved by Parliament together with the National Budget, uGu has been allocated a target of 1,058 employments through EPWP.

6.1.3.5 Capital Projects Funded by Internal Revenue

Looking at the current financial situation of the municipality it is most unlikely that the municipality will be able to fund any capital project during 2018 / 2019 from internal revenue. Therefore, it is advisable that all capital projects must be funded only by allocated Capital Grants due to spending pressures facing the municipality.

6.1.4 Operating Expenditure Budget 2018 / 2019 – 2020 / 2021

Table 6.1.4.1 gives a brief overview of the 2018 / 2019 – 2020 / 2021 operating expenditure budget.

Table 6.1.4.1: Operating Expenditure Budget 2018 / 2019 – 2020 / 2021

| Description | 2018 / 2019 | 2019 / 2020 | 2020 / 2021 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Employee Related Costs | R 356 742 873.16 | R 375 650 245.44 | R 395 559 708.45 |
| Remuneration of Councillors | R 11 508 644.67 | R 12 118 602.84 | R 12 760 888.79 |
| Debt Impairment | R 3 159 000.00 | R 3 326 427.00 | R 3 502 727.63 |
| Depreciation and Asset Impairment | R 85 462 491.00 | R 89 992 003.02 | R 94 761 579.18 |
| Finance Charges | R 15 654 318.44 | R 16 483 997.32 | R 17 357 649.18 |
| Bulk Purchases | R 79 000 000.00 | R 83 187 000.00 | R 87 595 911.00 |
| Other Materials | R 17 427 953.61 | R 18 351 635.15 | R 19 324 271.81 |
| Contracted Services | R 105 266 947.61 | R 110 846 095.83 | R 116 720 938.91 |
| Transfers and Subsidies | R 19 280 430.00 | R 20 302 292.79 | R 21 378 314.30 |
| Other Expenditure | R 107 717 939.23 | R 113 426 990.01 | R 119 438 620.48 |
| Total Operating Expenditure | R 801 220 597.72 | R 843 685 289.40 | R 888 400 609.73 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

6.1.4.1 Employee Related Costs (including councillor allowances)

The Salary and Wage Collective Agreement for the period 01 July 2015 to 31 June 2018 has come to an end. The process is under consultation, therefore in the absence of other information from South African Local Government Bargaining Council (SALGBC) communication will be provided at a later stage. However, the municipality must make budget allocation for the increment in 2018 / 2019 financial year.

N.B. Therefore, the salary and wages increment is proposed at 8.8% in 2018/2019 financial year. The increment of 8.3% is based on the following:

Table 6.1.9.1: Proposed Salary and Wage Increment

| Basis | % |
|--|------|
| Forecasted CPI per Macroeconomic Performance | 5.3% |
| Assumed Adjusting Factor during negotiation processes | 3.5% |
| Proposed Increment | 8.8% |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

The proposed increment of 8.8% is based on both Employees, Section 54/56 Employees and Councillors.

6.1.4.1.1 Employees Salary Budget

The employees' salary and wages for the 2018 / 2019 financial year is R 356 742 873.16 as reflected in Table 6.1.4.1.1 below. The employees' salary and wage budget take about 95.34% of internal revenue of the municipality. The municipality will be engaging different strategies to bring the salary and wage budget down such as:

- Re-engineering of the organisational process (e.g. combining some units, freezing of some positions if they become vacant);

- Reduction of excessive overtime and S&T Travelling claims;
- Reduction of the excessive acting of employees to high positions;
- Elimination of the acting of employees on unfunded positions etc.

Table 6.1.4.1.1.1: Employees Salary Budget

| Description | Monthly | 2018 / 19 Budget |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Gross Actual Current Employees –Cost of warm bodies per payroll for the month of March 2018 as a base | R 27 017 683.30 | R 324 212 199.60 |
| Proposed Increment of 8.8% | | R 28 530 673.56 |
| Filling of Critical Vacant Posts from Organogram | | R 2 500 000.00 |
| Total | | R 356 742 873.16 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

6.1.4.1.2 Councillors Remuneration and Traditional Leaders

The Councillors and Traditional Leaders remuneration for the 2018 / 2019 financial year amounts to R 11 508 644.67 as reflected in Table 6.1.4.1.2.1 below.

Table 6.1.4.1.2.1: Councillors Remuneration and Traditional Leaders

| Description | Monthly | 2018 / 19 Budget |
|--|--------------|------------------------|
| Gross Actual Current Employees – Cost of warm bodies per payroll for the month of March 2018 as a base | R 650 251.43 | R 7 803 017.16 |
| Proposed Increment of 8.8% | | R 686 665.51 |
| Filling of Deputy Mayor Vacancy | | R 938 962.00 |
| Sitting Allowance for Traditional Leaders | | |
| Tools of Traditional Leaders | | R 80 000.00 |
| Travelling Claims for Councillors | | R 200 000.00 |
| Total | | R 11 508 644.67 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

6.1.4.2 Other Expenditure

Table 6.1.4.1.2.2 provides a summary of other operation expenditure of the municipality.

Table 6.1.4.1.2.2: Other Operational Expenditure

| Expenditure Description | 2018 / 2019 |
|--|----------------|
| Youth Development Programme | R 1 870 496.00 |
| Rights of a Child | R 210 795.00 |
| Gender Programmes | R 539 096.00 |
| Elderly | R 98 670.00 |
| People Living with Disability | R 448 500.00 |
| HIV / AIDS | R 457 470.00 |
| Sports and Recreation Programmes | R 5 249 677.00 |
| Poverty Alleviation Programme (i.e EPWP) | R 9 345 495.00 |
| Community Initiatives Support | R 448 500.00 |
| Public Participation | R 2 500 000.00 |
| SMME Development Support | R 500 000.00 |
| Cooperatives Development Support | R 500 000.00 |
| Mayoral Bursaries | R 500 000.00 |
| Community Skills Development | R 8 500 000.00 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

6.1.5 Capital Budget 2018 / 2019

The 2018 / 2019 Capital Budget amounts to R 314 000 000.00 as reflected in Table 6.1.5.1 below.

Table 6.1.5.1: Capital Budget 2018 / 2019

| Municipality | Minimum MIG Funded Projects | Minimum WSIG Funded Projects | Total Capital Projects |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Umdoni | R 42 176 000.00 | R 15 000 000.00 | R 57 176 000.00 |
| Umzumbe | R 75 130 000.00 | R 10 000 000.00 | R 85 130 000.00 |
| Umuziwabantu | R 33 631 000.00 | R 10 000 000.00 | R 43 631 000.00 |
| Ray Nkonyeni | R 79 952 000.00 | R 20 000 000.00 | R 99 952 000.00 |
| Total 2018 / 2019 Allocation | R 230 889 000.00 | R 55 000 000.00 | R 285 889 000.00 |
| Plus, PMU fees limited to a Maximum of 5% | R 4 111 000.00 | R 0.00 | R 4 111 000 |
| Grand Total Grants Funded | R 235 000 000.00 | R 55 000 000.00 | R 290 000 000.00 |
| Capital Expenditure Internally Funded | | | R 24 000 000.00 |
| Total Capital Budget | | | R 314 000 000.00 |

Source: Ugu District Municipality Draft Budget, 2018

6.2 Five-Year Financial Plan

The five-year financial plan is prepared in terms of Section 26 (h) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act and Planning and Performance Regulations 2001, which stipulates that a financial plan must be prepared as part of the Integrated Development Plan (IDP). The financial plan includes an Operating Budget, a Capital Investment

Programme, and the Sources of Funding for the Capital Investment Programme, financial strategies and programmes, various financial management policies adopted by Council, key financial targets, key performance indicators, and a budget according to the IDP priorities. The 2017 / 2018 – 2021 / 2022 Financial Plan is attached as Annexure 9.

CHAPTER 7: ORGANISATIONAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Ugu District municipality has an Organisational Performance Management System (OPMS) and the annual operational plan in place which is the Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP). The municipality has merged OPMS and SDBIP processes to ensure consistent alignment in the implementation. This is in line with the legislation as the Municipal Systems Act (MSA), 2000 requires municipalities to establish a performance management system. Further, the MSA and the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA) requires the Integrated Development Plan (IDP) to be aligned to the municipal budget and to be monitored for the performance of the budget against the IDP via the Service Delivery and the Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP). The 2018 / 2019 Scorecards and SDBIP are attached as Annexure 14.

7.1 Organisational Performance Management System

The implementation of OPMS involved four main tasks which are namely:

- Creation of the Organisational Scorecard;
- Preparation of Service Delivery Budget Implementation Plan;
- Preparation of the Annual Performance Plans, Performance Agreements and Personal Development Plans for the Municipal Manager and the Managers that report to the Municipal Manager; and
- Compilation of Portfolios of Evidence.

The first step is concerned with the creation of the Organisational Scorecard which is the log-frame template that includes:

- A unique consecutive number for each Performance Measure/ Indicator.
- National Key Performance Areas (KPA).
- Strategic Objectives per KPA.
- Measurable Objective (s)/ Output (s) per Strategic Objective.
- Performance Measure (s)/ Indicator (s) per Measurable Objective/ Output.
- Demand data (Number of households requiring the service).
- Baseline data (The status quo at the start of the year).
- Backlog data (Demand minus baseline).
- Quarterly targets for the backlog that will be addressed in the year under review.
- The Department that is responsible for the output.
- The estimated financial implication.
- The Wards that will benefit from the services delivered.

This scorecard is used to monitor the performance of the Municipality and is used to cascade responsibility down to the Municipal Manager and Managers accountable to the Municipal Manager who prepare more detailed implementation plans in the form of the SDBIP and Performance Plan which also informs their Performance Agreements. Step three is concerned with the preparation of the SDBIP which is discussed in detail in section 7.2. Step four deals with the Preparation of S57 Managers' Performance Agreements, Annual Performance Plans & Personal Development Plans which involved three tasks which are:

1. Step 1: Preparation of the Municipal Manager and Managers accountable to the Municipal Managers' Performance Agreements.
2. Step 2: Preparation of the Municipal Manager, Managers accountable to the Municipal Managers' Annual Performance Plans
3. Step 3: Preparation of the Municipal Manager and Managers accountable to the Municipal Managers' Personal Development Plans.

The fourth and last step is the compilation of Portfolio of Evidence which is concerned with the verification of all the information supplied. The time frames and summary of the tasks involved in the implementation of OPMS in the Ugu District Municipality is illustrated in Figure 7.1.1. The reporting process commences from a departmental level from where it flows to the strategic level, political level and on to the community, the users of the services. The process followed is illustrated in Figure 7.1.2., the entire process is driven by the PMS unit in the Office of the Municipal Manager and coordinated in co-operation with the PMS Champions in each Department.




Figure 7.1.2: Ugu DM PMS Reporting Flowchart
Source: Ugu DM PMS, 2018

7.1.1 Departmental Level

The reporting initiated at the section / unit level and flows all the way to the organisational level as illustrated in Figure 7.1.2., . Each month of the financial year a sectional meeting is held in all the departments where the supervisors / officers' report to their respective Managers who then submit a consolidated section report to their respective General Managers of departments. The Managers submit their reports and give monthly progress on the implementation of their set objectives on their performance contracts at departmental meetings which are also held on a monthly basis. The General Managers then consolidate the sections' reports into the Departmental Report. At this point, the General Managers work with the PMS champions at their department to coordinate the compilation of portfolio of evidence files. The General Managers submit reports, which include recommendations, to the relevant portfolio committees on a monthly basis. On a quarterly basis, the General Manager consolidates the monthly reports into a quarterly report and together with the PMS champions compiles the POE file and submits to the PMS unit by the 05th of the first month after the end of each quarter.

7.1.2 Strategic / Organisational Level

The PMS unit on receipt of departments' quarterly performance reports scrutinizes the reports and consolidates them into the organizational performance report accompanied by the POE files. The PMS unit then sends the reports together with the POE files to the internal audit unit for auditing no later than the 10th of the first month after the end of each quarter. Once the PMS receives feedback from the internal audit unit it channels the comments to the right departments through the PMS Champion and once there is an agreement between the departments and the PMS unit regarding the report, it is then sent to the Accounting Officer for interrogation and scrutiny. The Accounting Officer uses the MANCO and Extended MANCO for this purpose, which doubles up as a pre-quarterly review session. Once the reports and POEs are audited vigorously, MANCO interrogates the quarterly organisational performance report. In the process members of the MANCO concentrate on monitoring progress towards the achievement of performance targets and KPIs. After this is done recommendations are formulated which are aimed at taking corrective action if necessary. Before the 30th of every month after the end of each quarter, the quarterly review session is then held which includes the Extended MANCO, the PMS champions and the EXCO. As can be seen in Figure 7.1.2., the PMS champions play a critical role in linking the PMS unit and the department.

7.1.3 Political Level

In the quarterly performance review sessions, the quarterly performance reports are evaluated critically by the EXCO members. This evaluation is aimed at determining to what extent the performance targets and KPIs are positively impacting on the development objectives. Recommendations are then formulated around corrective actions in this regard if necessary. The quarterly municipal performance report, together with recommendations, is then forwarded to the council.

7.2 Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan

The SDBIP facilitates the process of holding management accountable for their performance. It provides the basis for measuring performance in the delivery of services.

7.2.1 Legislative Mandates

The SDBIP is essentially the management and implementation tool which sets in-year information, such as quarterly service delivery targets, and links each service delivery output to the budget of the municipality, thus providing credible management information and a detailed plan for how the municipality will provide such services and the inputs and financial resources to be used (MFMA Circular 13).

The SDBIP therefore serves as a “contract” between the administration, Council and community expressing the goals and objectives set by the Council as quantifiable outcomes that can be implemented by the administration over the next twelve months. This provides the basis for measuring performance in service delivery against end of year targets and implementing the budget.

The SDBIP is a layered plan, with the top layer dealing with consolidated service delivery targets and linking these targets to management. The next layer will detail each output and activities for each output that are linked to middle and junior management. Much of this detail will be included in Performance Plans. The top layer cannot be revised without Council’s approval of an adjustments budget; however, the lower level can be continually revised.

The SDBIP is an implementation plan approved by the Mayor and not Council, after Council has approved the budget. The Ugu District used the five (5) components as proposed by National Treasury for the SDBIP, which are:

- Ward Information.
- Monthly projections of revenue to be collected by source.
- Quarterly projections of service delivery targets and performance indicators for each Vote.
- Monthly projections of operating and capital expenditure and revenue for each Vote.
- Detailed capital works plan broken down by Ward over three (3) years.

7.2.2 Monitoring Performance

In terms of monitoring performance, the PMS engages in three main tasks which are:

- Measuring Performance;
- Compilation of Quarterly Performance Reports at a Departmental level;
- Compilation of Consolidated Quarterly, Half-Yearly and Annual Performance Reports at a Municipal level

Municipal Managers and Managers accountable to the Municipal Manager are responsible for Quarterly Performance Reports at a Departmental level. These reports are submitted to officials responsible for Organisational Performance Management Systems and Individual Performance Management Systems. The individual’s performance is monitored throughout the financial year. The Departmental Performance Reports will be consolidated to represent the Municipal Performance Reports and monitoring will be performed against the targets set in the Organisational Scorecard.

In compiling the Municipal Performance Report, the departmental management and the Municipal MANCO team should evaluate the effectiveness of current programmes and strategies for delivery in order to determine whether they are on track for delivering the desired outcomes. Key characteristics include:

- Keeps track, oversight, analyses, and documents progress.
- Focuses on inputs, activities, outputs, implementation processes, continued relevance, likely results at outcome level.
- Answers what activities were implemented, and results achieved.

- Alerts managers to problems and provides options for corrective actions.
- Self-assessment by programme Managers, Supervisors, community stakeholders, and donors.

7.2.3 Performance Evaluation

Performance evaluation involves three main tasks which are:

- Define Evaluation Objectives
- Review Information Collected during Monitoring
- Document Findings and Formulate Recommendations

Evaluation is a periodic, in-depth analysis of programme performance. It relies on data generated through monitoring activities as well as information obtained from other sources (e.g., studies, research, in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, surveys etc.). Evaluations are often (but not always) conducted with the assistance of external evaluators. Section 47 of the Municipal Systems Act requires the MEC responsible for Local Government to submit an Annual Report on the performance of municipalities in the province to the Provincial Legislature, the Minister responsible for Local Government and the National Council for Provinces. In order to comply with this requirement, all municipalities are required to submit Annual Performance Reports to the MEC. Key characteristics include:

- A Periodic assessment at important milestones such as the Half- Yearly and Annual Performance Reports or a Five-Year Review.
- In-depth analysis compares planned to actual achievements.
- Focuses on outputs in relation to inputs, results in relation to cost, processes used to achieve results, overall relevance, impact, and sustainability.
- Answers why and how results were achieved. Contributes to building theories and models for change.
- Provides Managers with strategy and policy options.
- Internal and/or external analysis by programme Managers, Supervisors, community stakeholders, donors, and/or external evaluators.

CHAPTER 8: ANNEXURES

Table 8.1: Ugu District Status Quo of Sector Plans

| No. | Sector Plan | Completed? | Adopted? | Adoption Date | Next Review |
|-----|--|------------|----------|---------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Agricultural Plan | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 2 | Air Quality Management Plan | Yes | Yes | Sept 2018 | Sept 2019 |
| 3 | Anti-Fraud & Anti-Corruption Strategy | Yes | Yes | March 2019 | March 2020 |
| 4 | Business Continuity Plan | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2020 |
| 5 | Capital Investment Plan | No | No | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 6 | Coastal Zone Management Plan (CZMP) | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 7 | Communication Strategy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 8 | Disaster Management Plan | Yes | Yes | 2017 | June 2019 |
| 9 | District Fire Safety Plan | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 10 | District Growth and Development Strategy | Yes | No | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 11 | Fire and Rescue Strategy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 12 | Employment Equity Plan | Yes | Yes | Next Financial Year | June 2019 |
| 13 | Energy Master Plan (Electricity Master Plan) | No | No | N/A | N/A |
| 14 | Environmental Management Framework | Yes | No | June 2018 | June 2020 |
| 15 | Five Year Financial Management Plan | Yes | Yes | May 2018 | May 2019 |
| 16 | Fraud Prevention Strategy | Yes | Yes | Sept 2018 | Sept 2019 |
| 17 | Fraud Response Plan | Yes | Yes | Sept 2018 | Sept 2019 |
| 18 | Human Resource Development Strategy | Yes | Yes | December 2014 | December 2019 |
| 19 | Human Resource Plan | Yes | Yes | 22 November 2016 | November 2019 |
| 20 | ICT Disaster Recovery Plan | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 21 | ICT Strategy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 22 | IDP Process Plan/ IDP Framework Plan | Yes | Yes | August 2018 | August 2019 |
| 23 | Integrated Waste Management Plan | Yes | Yes | October 2018 | October 2019 |
| 24 | Land Use Management Framework (LUMF) | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 25 | Litigation Risk Management Strategy | Yes | Yes | 23 March 2018 | March 2019 |
| 26 | Local Economic Development Strategy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 27 | Organizational Performance Management Plan | Yes | Yes | July 2018 | July 2019 |
| 28 | Public Participation Strategy | Yes | Yes | 26 January 2017 | June 2020 |
| 29 | Integrated Transport Plan (ITP) | Yes | Yes | June 2006 | June 2019 |

| | | | | | |
|----|--|-----|-----|------------------|---------------|
| 30 | Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan | Yes | N/A | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 31 | Spatial Development Framework | Yes | Yes | February 2019 | June 2019 |
| 32 | Water Services and Sanitation Master Plan | Yes | Yes | June 2006 | June 2019 |
| 33 | Water Services Development Plan | Yes | Yes | 2018 | 2019 |
| 34 | Workplace Skills Plan | Yes | Yes | 22 February 2018 | February 2019 |

Source: Ugu DM, 2018

Table 8.2: Ugu District Policy Schedule and Status Quo

| No. | Policy | Completed? | Adopted? | Adoption Date | Next Review |
|-----|--|------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Applications User Management Policy | Yes | Yes | 26 June 2014 | May 2019 |
| 2 | Asset Disposal Policy | Yes | Yes | 26 July 2017 | May 2019 |
| 3 | Asset Management Policy | Yes | Yes | 26 July 2017 | May 2019 |
| 4 | Basic Water Services Policy | Yes | Yes | 24 May 2018 | May 2019 |
| 5 | Borrowing Policy | Yes | Yes | 30 July 2015 | June 2020 |
| 6 | BTS Policy | Yes | Yes | 27 November 2014 | June 2020 |
| 7 | Budget Policy | Yes | Yes | 24 May 2018 | May 2019 |
| 8 | Bursary Policy | Yes | Yes | 27 February 2014 | June 2020 |
| 9 | Car Allowance Policy | Yes | Yes | 29 October 2015 | June 2020 |
| 10 | Cash and Investment Policy | Yes | Yes | 30 July 2015 | June 2020 |
| 11 | Corporate ICT Governance Framework | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 12 | Death of Staff Member Policy | Yes | Yes | 30 May 2013 | June 2020 |
| 13 | Emerging Contractors Policy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2020 |
| 14 | Facilities Management Policy | Yes | Yes | 23 March 2017 | 30 March 2019 |
| 15 | Fixed Asset Management Policy | Yes | Yes | 24 May 2018 | May 2019 |
| 16 | Fleet Management Policy | Yes | Yes | 25 November 2015 | June 2020 |
| 17 | Funding and Reserves Policy | Yes | Yes | 30 July 2015 | June 2019 |
| 18 | Geographic Information Systems Policy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 19 | ICT Governance Charter | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 20 | ICT Operations Policy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 21 | ICT Security Policy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 22 | ICT User Management Policy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 23 | Illegal Connections Policy | Yes | Yes | 25 September 2015 | June 2020 |
| 24 | Incentives and Rewards Policy | Yes | Yes | 25 September 2014 | June 2020 |

| | | | | | |
|----|---|-----|-----|------------------|---------------|
| 25 | Indigent Support Policy | Yes | Yes | 24 May 2018 | May 2019 |
| 26 | Informal Sector Policy | Yes | No | June 2018 | June 2020 |
| 27 | Insurance Policy and Insurance Handling Procedure | Yes | Yes | 26 July 2017 | June 2020 |
| 28 | Irregular and Fruitless Expenditure Policy | Yes | Yes | 29 August 2013 | June 2020 |
| 29 | Land Policy | Yes | Yes | 27 November 2014 | June 2020 |
| 30 | Litigation Risk Management Policy and Procedure | Yes | Yes | 23 March 2018 | March 2019 |
| 31 | Organisational Performance Management Systems Policy and Procedure framework | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 32 | PAIA Policy and Procedure Manual | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2019 |
| 33 | Records Management Policy | Yes | Yes | 27 November 2014 | June 2020 |
| 34 | Registry Procedure Manual | Yes | Yes | 27 November 2014 | June 2020 |
| 35 | Risk Management Policy and Commitment Charter | Yes | Yes | 23 March 2017 | June 2020 |
| 36 | Security Policy | Yes | Yes | 23 March 2017 | 30 March 2019 |
| 37 | SMME Policy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2020 |
| 38 | Subsistence and Travel Policy | Yes | Yes | 29 May 2014 | May 2019 |
| 39 | Supply Chain Management Policy | Yes | Yes | August 2018 | August 2019 |
| 40 | Termination of Service Policy | Yes | Yes | June 2018 | June 2020 |
| 41 | Training Policy | Yes | Yes | 30 May 2014 | May 2020 |
| 42 | Virement Policy | Yes | Yes | 24 May 2018 | May 2019 |

Source: Ugu DM, 2018

Table 8.3: Ugu District By-Law Schedule and Status Qou

| No. | By-Law | Completed? | Adopted? | Adoption Date | Next Review |
|-----|---|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| 1 | Public Health By-Laws | Yes | Yes | 25 May 2017 | June 2020 |
| 2 | Air Quality By-Laws | Yes | Yes | Sept 2013 | June 2020 |
| 3 | Water and Sanitation By-Laws | Yes | Yes | 17 Sep 2009 | June 2020 |
| 4 | Ugu District Fire and Safety By-Laws | Yes | Yes | 26 Feb 2015 | June 2020 |
| 5 | Ugu Disaster Management By-Laws | Yes | Yes | 26 Feb 2015 | June 2020 |

Source: Ugu DM, 2018

